

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan

*At the end of the
 Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments
 June 2014 – May 2015*

October 2015



Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan

*At the end of the
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments
June 2014 – May 2015*

October 2015



PILDAT is an independent, non-partisan and not-for-profit indigenous research and training institution with the mission to strengthen democracy and democratic institutions in Pakistan.

PILDAT is a registered non-profit entity under the Societies Registration Act XXI of 1860, Pakistan.

Copyright © Pakistan Institute of Legislative Development And Transparency - PILDAT

All Rights Reserved

Printed in Pakistan

Published: October 2015

ISBN: 978-969-558-556-2

Any part of this publication can be used or cited with a clear reference to PILDAT.



Islamabad Office: P. O. Box 278, F-8, Postal Code: 44220, Islamabad, Pakistan
Lahore Office: P. O. Box 11098, L.C.C.H.S, Postal Code: 54792, Lahore, Pakistan
E-mail: info@pildat.org | Website: www.pildat.org

October 2015

CONTENTS

Preface

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Executive Summary

Quality of Governance – Federal Government–Views of Respondents from All Over Pakistan	41
Quality of Governance – Balochistan Government–Views of Respondents from All Over Balochistan	139
Quality of Governance – Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government–Views of Respondents from All Over Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	201
Quality of Governance – Punjab Government –Views of Respondents from All Over Punjab	263
Quality of Governance – Sindh Government –Views of Respondents from All Over Sindh	325
Detailed Indicators on Quality of Governance in Pakistan	387
Figures and Tables	
Figure 1: Governance Track Record - Negative Evaluation Indicators	21
Figure 2: Governance Track Record - Positive Evaluation Indicators	22
Figure 3: Performance of Chief Ministers (All Over Pakistan)	29
Figure 4: Performance of Provincial Governments (All Over Pakistan)	30
Figure 5: Trust in Institutions (All Over Pakistan)	31
Figure 6: Performance of Federal Ministries	33
Figure 7: Performance of Provincial Departments	36
Figure 8: Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)	47
Figure 9: Poverty Alleviation (All Over Pakistan)	50
Figure 10: Education (All Over Pakistan)	53
Figure 11: Healthcare (All Over Pakistan)	56
Figure 12: Transparency (All Over Pakistan)	59
Figure 13: Energy Production and Management (All Over Pakistan)	62
Figure 14: Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)	65
Figure 15: Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)	68
Figure 16: Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)	71
Figure 17: Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)	74
Figure 18: Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	77
Figure 19: Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)	80
Figure 20: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)	83
Figure 21: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)	86
Figure 22: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)	89
Figure 23: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)	92
Figure 24: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)	95
Figure 25: Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)	98

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Figure 26: Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)	101
Figure 27: Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)	104
Figure 28: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	107
Figure 29: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	110
Figure 30: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (All Over Pakistan)	113
Figure 31: Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)	116
Figure 32: National Security (All Over Pakistan)	119
Figure 33: Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)	122
Figure 34: Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Independence and Efficiency (All Over Pakistan)	125
Figure 35: Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Merit-Based Appointments (All Over Pakistan)	128
Figure 36: Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)	131
Figure 37: Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)	134
Figure 38: Law and Order (Balochistan)	143
Figure 39: Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)	145
Figure 40: Education (Balochistan)	147
Figure 41: Healthcare (Balochistan)	149
Figure 42: Transparency (Balochistan)	151
Figure 43: Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)	153
Figure 44: Anti- Corruption (Balochistan)	155
Figure 45: Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)	157
Figure 46: Tax Collection (Balochistan)	159
Figure 47: Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)	161
Figure 48: Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)	163
Figure 49: Development Programmes (Balochistan)	165
Figure 50: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)	167
Figure 51: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)	169
Figure 52: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)	171
Figure 53: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)	173
Figure 54: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)	175
Figure 55: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)	177
Figure 56: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)	179
Figure 57: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)	181
Figure 58: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Balochistan)	183
Figure 59: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Balochistan)	185
Figure 60: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Balochistan)	187
Figure 61: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)	189
Figure 62: Public Transport (Balochistan)	191
Figure 63: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Balochistan)	193
Figure 64: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)	195
Figure 65: Water Resources Development and Management (Balochistan)	197
Figure 66: Law and Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	205
Figure 67: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	207
Figure 68: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	209
Figure 69: Healthcare (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	211
Figure 70: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	213
Figure 71: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	215

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Figure 72: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	217
Figure 73: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	219
Figure 74: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	221
Figure 75: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	223
Figure 76: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	225
Figure 77: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	227
Figure 78: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	229
Figure 79: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	231
Figure 80: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	233
Figure 81: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	235
Figure 82: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	237
Figure 83: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	239
Figure 84: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	241
Figure 85: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	243
Figure 86: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	245
Figure 87: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	247
Figure 88: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	249
Figure 89: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	251
Figure 90: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	253
Figure 91: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	255
Figure 92: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	257
Figure 93: Water Resources Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	259
Figure 94: Law and Order (Punjab)	267
Figure 95: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)	269
Figure 96: Education (Punjab)	271
Figure 97: Healthcare (Punjab)	273
Figure 98: Transparency (Punjab)	275
Figure 99: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)	277
Figure 100: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)	279
Figure 101: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)	281
Figure 102: Tax Collection (Punjab)	283
Figure 103: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)	285
Figure 104: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)	287
Figure 105: Development Programmes (Punjab)	289
Figure 106: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)	291
Figure 107: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Punjab)	293
Figure 108: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)	295
Figure 109: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)	297
Figure 110: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)	299
Figure 111: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)	301
Figure 112: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Punjab)	303
Figure 113: Immunization of Children (Punjab)	305
Figure 114: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Punjab)	307
Figure 115: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Punjab)	309
Figure 116: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Punjab)	311
Figure 117: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)	313
Figure 118: Public Transport (Punjab)	315
Figure 119: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Punjab)	317
Figure 120: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)	319

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Figure 121: Water Resources Development and Management (Punjab)	321
Figure 122: Law and Order (Sindh)	329
Figure 123: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)	331
Figure 124: Education (Sindh)	333
Figure 125: Healthcare (Sindh)	335
Figure 126: Transparency (Sindh)	337
Figure 127: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)	339
Figure 128: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)	341
Figure 129: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)	343
Figure 130: Tax Collection (Sindh)	345
Figure 131: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)	347
Figure 132: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)	349
Figure 133: Development Programmes (Sindh)	351
Figure 134: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)	353
Figure 135: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)	355
Figure 136: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)	357
Figure 137: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)	359
Figure 138: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)	361
Figure 139: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)	363
Figure 140: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Sindh)	365
Figure 141: Immunization of Children (Sindh)	367
Figure 142: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Sindh)	369
Figure 143: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Sindh)	371
Figure 144: Devolution of Powers to the lower tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Sindh)	373
Figure 145: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)	375
Figure 146: Public Transport (Sindh)	377
Figure 147: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Sindh)	379
Figure 148: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)	381
Figure 149: Water Resources Development and Management (Sindh)	383
Figure 150: Comparative Position with respect to Zardari (All Over Pakistan)	392
Figure 151: Comparative Position with respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)	395
Figure 152: Future Possibility – Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)	398
Figure 153: Future Possibility – Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)	401
Figure 154: Future Possibility –Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)	404
Figure 155: Future Possibility – Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	407
Figure 156: Future Possibility-Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)	410
Figure 157: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with the USA (All Over Pakistan)	413
Figure 158: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)	416
Figure 159: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with Afghanistan (All Over Pakistan)	419
Figure 160: Trust in Institutions- National Accountability Bureau (All Over Pakistan)	422
Figure 161: Trust in Institutions – Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)	425
Figure 162: Trust in Institutions- Armed Forces (All Over Pakistan)	428
Figure 163: Trust in Institutions- Police (All Over Pakistan)	431
Figure 164: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)	434
Figure 165: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	436
Figure 166: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	439
Figure 167: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	442
Figure 168: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	445

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Figure 169: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	448
Figure 170: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	451
Figure 171: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	454
Figure 172: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	457
Figure 173: Biggest Current Issue in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)	460
Figure 174: Performance of Ministry of Commerce (Federal)	463
Figure 175: Performance of Ministry of Defence (Federal)	466
Figure 176: Performance of Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatization (Federal)	469
Figure 177: Performance of Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Federal)	472
Figure 178: Performance of Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage (Federal)	475
Figure 179: Performance of the Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication (Federal)	478
Figure 180: Performance of the Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control (Federal)	481
Figure 181: Performance of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources (Federal)	484
Figure 182: Performance of the Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms (Federal)	487
Figure 183: Performance of the Ministry of Railways (Federal)	490
Figure 184: Performance of the Ministry of Water and Power (Federal)	493
Figure 185: Performance of the Department for Education (Provincial)	496
Figure 186: Performance of the Department for Health (Provincial)	498
Figure 187: Performance of the Department for Interior (Provincial)	500
Figure 188: Performance of the Department for Finance (Provincial)	502
Figure 189: Performance of the Department for Agriculture (Provincial)	504
Figure 190: Performance of the Department for Water and Irrigation (Provincial)	506
Figure 191: Performance of the Department for Telecommunication (Provincial)	508
Figure 192: Performance of the Department for Local Governments (Provincial)	510
Figure 193: Performance of the Department for Mines and Minerals (Provincial)	512
Figure 194: Performance of the Department for Forestry (Provincial)	514
Figure 195: Performance of the Department for Planning and Development (Provincial)	516
Table 1: Core Governance Indicators	18
Table 2: Federal Government Score Card (National Evaluation)	20
Table 3: Provincial Scores	24
Table 4: Governance Scores: National Scores vs. Provincial Scores	27
Table 5: Performance of Chief Ministers	28
Table 6: Performance of Provincial Governments	29
Table 7: Trust in Institutions	31
Table 8: Performance of Federal Ministries	32
Table 9: Performance of Provincial Departments	35
Table 10: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance-Federal Government	41
Table 11: Comparative Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance – For All Five Governments	42
Table 12: Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)	48
Table 13: Poverty Alleviation (All Over Pakistan)	51
Table 14: Education (All Over Pakistan)	54
Table 15: Healthcare (All Over Pakistan)	57
Table 16: Transparency (All Over Pakistan)	60
Table 17: Energy Production and Management (All Over Pakistan)	63
Table 18: Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)	66
Table 19: Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)	69
Table 20: Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)	72

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 21: Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)	75
Table 22: Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	78
Table 23: Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)	81
Table 24: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)	84
Table 25: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)	87
Table 26: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)	90
Table 27: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)	93
Table 28: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)	96
Table 29: Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)	99
Table 30: Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)	102
Table 31: Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)	105
Table 32: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	108
Table 33: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)	111
Table 34: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	114
Table 35: Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)	117
Table 36: National Security (All Over Pakistan)	120
Table 37: Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)	123
Table 38: Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Independence and Efficiency (All Over Pakistan)	126
Table 39: Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Merit-Based Appointments (All Over Pakistan)	129
Table 40: Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)	132
Table 41: Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)	135
Table 42: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance- Balochistan	139
Table 43: Law and Order (Balochistan)	144
Table 44: Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)	146
Table 45: Education (Balochistan)	148
Table 46: Healthcare (Balochistan)	150
Table 47: Transparency (Balochistan)	152
Table 48: Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)	154
Table 49: Anti-Corruption (Balochistan)	156
Table 50: Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)	158
Table 51: Tax Collection (Balochistan)	160
Table 52: Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)	162
Table 53: Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)	164
Table 54: Development Programmes (Balochistan)	166
Table 55: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)	168
Table 56: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)	170
Table 57: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)	172
Table 58: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)	174
Table 59: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)	176
Table 60: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)	178
Table 61: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)	180
Table 62: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)	182
Table 63: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Balochistan)	184
Table 64: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Balochistan)	186
Table 65: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Balochistan)	188
Table 66: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)	190
Table 67: Public Transport (Balochistan)	192
Table 68: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Balochistan)	194
Table 69: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)	196

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 70: Water Resources Development and Management (Balochistan)	198
Table 71: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance- Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	201
Table 72: Law and Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	206
Table 73: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	208
Table 74: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	210
Table 75: Healthcare (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	212
Table 76: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	214
Table 77: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	216
Table 78: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	218
Table 79: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	220
Table 80: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	222
Table 81: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	224
Table 82: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	226
Table 83: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	228
Table 84: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	230
Table 85: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	232
Table 86: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	234
Table 87: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	236
Table 88: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	238
Table 89: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	240
Table 90: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	242
Table 91: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	244
Table 92: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	246
Table 93: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	248
Table 94: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	250
Table 95: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	252
Table 96: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	254
Table 97: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	256
Table 98: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	258
Table 99: Water Resources Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)	260
Table 100: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance-Punjab	263
Table 101: Law and Order (Punjab)	268
Table 102: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)	270
Table 103: Education (Punjab)	272
Table 104: Healthcare (Punjab)	274
Table 105: Transparency (Punjab)	276
Table 106: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)	278
Table 107: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)	280
Table 108: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)	282
Table 109: Tax Collection (Punjab)	284
Table 110: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)	286
Table 111: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)	288
Table 112: Development Programmes (Punjab)	290
Table 113: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)	292
Table 114: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Punjab)	294
Table 115: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)	296
Table 116: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)	298
Table 117: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)	300
Table 118: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)	302
Table 119: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Punjab)	304
Table 120: Immunization of Children (Punjab)	306

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 121: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Punjab)	308
Table 122: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Punjab)	210
Table 123: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Punjab)	312
Table 124: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)	314
Table 125: Public Transport (Punjab)	316
Table 126: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Punjab)	318
Table 127: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)	320
Table 128: Water Resources Development and Management (Punjab)	322
Table 129: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance- Sindh	325
Table 130: Law and Order (Sindh)	330
Table 131: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)	332
Table 132: Education (Sindh)	334
Table 133: Healthcare (Sindh)	336
Table 134: Transparency (Sindh)	338
Table 135: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)	340
Table 136: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)	342
Table 137: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)	344
Table 138: Tax Collection (Sindh)	346
Table 139: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)	348
Table 140: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)	350
Table 141: Development Programmes (Sindh)	352
Table 142: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)	354
Table 143: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)	356
Table 144: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)	358
Table 145: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)	360
Table 146: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)	362
Table 147: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)	364
Table 148: Disaster Preparedness & Management	366
Table 149: Immunization of Children	368
Table 150: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Sindh)	370
Table 151: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Sindh)	372
Table 152: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Sindh)	374
Table 153: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)	376
Table 154: Public Transport (Sindh)	378
Table 155: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Sindh)	380
Table 156: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)	382
Table 157: Water Resources Development and Management (Sindh)	384
Table 158: Comparative Position with respect to Zardari (All Over Pakistan)	393
Table 159: Comparative Position with respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)	396
Table 160: Future Possibility – Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)	399
Table 161: Future Possibility – Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)	402
Table 162: Future Possibility –Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)	405
Table 163: Future Possibility – Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)	408
Table 164: Future Possibility-Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)	411
Table 165: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with the USA (All Over Pakistan)	414
Table 166: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)	417
Table 167: Future Possibility – Improving Relations with Afghanistan (All Over Pakistan)	420

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 168: Trust in Institutions- National Accountability Bureau (All Over Pakistan)	423
Table 169: Trust in Institutions – Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)	426
Table 170: Trust in Institutions- Army (All Over Pakistan)	429
Table 171: Trust in Institutions- Police (All Over Pakistan)	432
Table 172: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)	435
Table 173: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	437
Table 174: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	440
Table 175: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	443
Table 176: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	446
Table 177: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)	449
Table 178: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)	452
Table 179: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)	455
Table 180: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)	458
Table 181: Biggest Current Issue in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)	461
Table 182: Performance of the Ministry of Commerce (Federal)	464
Table 183: Performance of the Ministry of Defence (Federal)	467
Table 184: Performance of the Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatization (Federal)	470
Table 185: Performance of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Federal)	473
Table 186: Performance of the Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage (Federal)	476
Table 187: Performance of the Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication (Federal)	479
Table 188: Performance of the Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control (Federal)	482
Table 189: Performance of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources (Federal)	485
Table 190: Performance of the Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms (Federal)	488
Table 191: Performance of the Ministry of Railways (Federal)	491
Table 192: Performance of the Ministry of Water and Power (Federal)	494
Table 193: Performance of the Department for Education (Provincial)	497
Table 194: Performance of the Department for Health (Provincial)	499
Table 195: Performance of the Department for Interior (Provincial)	501
Table 196: Performance of the Department for Finance (Provincial)	503
Table 197: Performance of the Department for Agriculture (Provincial)	505
Table 198: Performance of the Department for Water and Irrigation (Provincial)	507
Table 199: Performance of the Department for Telecommunication (Provincial)	509
Table 200: Performance of the Department for Local Governments (Provincial)	511
Table 201: Performance of the Department for Mines and Minerals (Provincial)	513
Table 202: Performance of the Department for Forestry (Provincial)	515
Table 203: Performance of the Provincial Department for Planning and Development (Provincial)	517
Appendix	520
Section A: Research Methodology	525
Section 3: Questionnaire	

October 2015

PREFACE

PILDAT Report on **Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan: Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015** is the second in a series of reports, the first being published in August 2014, to assess the Quality of Governance in Pakistan through a nationwide public opinion poll. Earlier, upon the completion of first 100 days of these Governments in office in September 2013, PILDAT had undertaken and published a public verdict on Performance of Governance and Democracy in First 100 Days of Pakistan's National and Provincial Governments.

This is the second in a series of reports to examine the Quality of Governance based on public opinion upon the completion of the 2nd year of National and Provincial Governments elected through the May 2013 General Election. As democracy has made progress in Pakistan over the years, PILDAT believes the focus should be on *performance* of democracy, separate from the *process* of democracy, to gauge how democracy has delivered to the citizens in terms of providing good governance.

Framework for Assessing Quality of Governance

In order to assess the quality of governance on a scientific basis, PILDAT has developed an indigenous framework, which takes into account key governance indicators within the context of Pakistan. The framework has been used to develop a questionnaire for the public opinion poll.

The nationwide poll was conducted during **June 02-12, 2015**. It was conceived and undertaken by PILDAT. The respondents for the survey included **3231 citizens** from rural and urban areas from all the four provinces of Pakistan. The fieldwork for the report was done in person at respondents' homes. The sample is also based on a cross-section of various age, income, education and language groups of the population. Error margin is estimated to be approximately $\pm 2-5\%$ at 95% confidence level.

PILDAT's initiative to assess Quality of Governance is by no means an exercise at finger pointing; it is, in fact, a collaborative effort to highlight areas of strengths and potential areas requiring improvement in the quality of governance in the five Governments. This public opinion poll report is an effort to assist elected political Governments at the Centre and the Provinces to improve their quality of governance based on scientifically obtained public opinion.

Disclaimer

The results of this Report do not necessarily represent views held by PILDAT. The results only represent public opinion, computed on the basis of views expressed by anonymous respondents selected through the procedure outlined in the methodology section of this Report. PILDAT cannot be held liable to the users of this data. The analysis of this public opinion poll results has been authored by Dr. Ijaz Shafi Gilani, a pioneer in political polls in Pakistan and an eminent political scientist and analyst, upon request by PILDAT and using the results of the public opinion poll conducted by PILDAT including fieldwork, data entry and the general research design.

Islamabad
October 2015

October 2015

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ANP	Awami National Party
BA	Bachelor of Arts
BSc	Bachelor of Science
BBA	Bachelor of Business Administration
B.Com	Bachelor of Commerce
BNP	Balochistan National Party
CM	Chief Minister
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
COAS	Chief of Army Staff
DK	Don't Know
ECP	Election Commission of Pakistan
FA	Faculty of Arts
F.S.c	Faculty of Science
GP	Gallup Pakistan
HH-Income	Household Income
JI	Jamaat-e-Islami
JUI-F	Jamiat Ulema-e-Islam
KP	Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
MA	Master of Arts
M.S.c	Master of Science
MBA	Master of Business Administration
M.Com	Master of Commerce
MQM	Muttahida Quami Movement
NAB	National Accountability Bureau
NEPRA	National Electric Power Regulatory Authority
NR	No Response
OGRA	Oil & Gas Regulatory Authority
PAT	Pakistan Awami Tehreek
PEMRA	Pakistan Electronic Media Regulatory Authority
PM	Prime Minister
PMAP	<i>Pakhtunkhwa Milli Awami Party</i>
PML-N	Pakistan Muslim League - Nawaz
PML-Q	Pakistan Muslim League – Quaid
PPP	Pakistan People's Party
PTI	Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

October 2015

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AFTER TWO YEARS, CHALLENGES TO GOOD GOVERNANCE STILL PERSIST

Two years into the General Elections of 2013, the incumbent Governments have been unable to convince the public over their performance in key areas of governance. The survey by Pakistan's most prominent watcher on democracy and governance, PILDAT, shows that popular opinion over the performance of elected governments, both at the federal and provincial level, has been one of increasing scepticism and mistrust. Despite significant gains made in improving Pakistan's security situation, a majority of the public still feels that the Government has been lacking in its drive to resolve more pressing socio-economic and political problems facing the Country.

The PILDAT Report on Governance awards a number of 'Red Cards' and only a few 'Green Cards' while assessing the performance of the Federal Government for key governance indicators during the past two years. Apart from achieving popular support in only a few major areas, such as **Law and Order, National Security, Utility Bills Collection, Immunization of Children, Healthcare and Education**, the overall public evaluation of the Federal Government has been negative. Upon a further interpretation of the survey on governance, PILDAT believes that the public is particularly concerned over a lack of effort by the Federal Government towards espousing accountability and transparency in the working of the bureaucracy and institutions of governance. Subsequently, a majority of the public has disapproved of the workings of all Federal Ministries, with the exception of the Ministry of Defense. The Government's efforts to curb unemployment, alleviate poverty and resolve the energy crisis in the Country have also been viewed sceptically by the public.

The survey findings in this PILDAT report also point to an encouraging public approval on key governance areas for the Provincial Governments of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) as well as the Punjab. The Provincial Government of KP has secured overwhelmingly popular support for its performance in the majority of governance areas. Whereas, the people of Balochistan and Sindh have provided a scathing assessment of their respective Provincial Governments; negatively rating them in most of the governance indicators.

The PILDAT survey shows that popular grievances are getting pinned down on the poor performance of politicians. The politicians running their respective Provincial Governments and the Federal Government are being blamed for their perceived failure to effectively tackle the major issues impeding Pakistan's development as well as showing a lack of sincerity in effectively running their Departments and Ministries.

Additionally, the Report identifies popular distrust for State Institutions of accountability and security, such as the National Accountability Bureau (NAB), Government Officers and the Police. Conversely, a significant section of the population has exhibited a strong trust for the Nation's Armed Forces.

The findings of this PILDAT Report include a number of highlights, which are reported in this Executive Summary. More detailed findings are presented in the 2-volume Report, which PILDAT is releasing for the benefit of all political stakeholders

October 2015

Table 1: Core Governance Indicators

No.	Indicator	Explanation
1	Law and Order	Measures the public opinion on Government's Performance at maintaining peace, security, law & order
2	Poverty Alleviation	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance on eradicating poverty
3	Education	Measures the public opinion on Government's achievements in reforming and improving the education system and resources in the country
4	Healthcare	Measures the public opinion on Government's success at improving healthcare facilities and resources across the country
5	Transparency	Gauges the public opinion on the level of openness and public engagement by the Government with regards to policies and implementation
6	Energy Production and Management	Measures the public opinion on the current state of electricity generation, supply, and services, as well as reforms in the power generation sector
7	Anti-Corruption	Measures the public opinion on Government's success at eradicating and controlling corruption
8	Investment Friendliness	Measures public opinion on Government's performance on improving local and foreign investment
9	Tax Collection	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at improving tax collection
10	Utility Bills Collection	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at efficiently collecting utility bills
11	Management of Unemployment	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at managing unemployment
12	Development Programmes	Measures the public opinion on effectiveness of the Government's development policies and implementation
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	Measures the public opinion on Government's achievement at ensuring the impartiality and independence of Civil Servants
14	Merit-based Recruitment and Promotions	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at using merit to recruit and promote employees in public organizations

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	Measures the public opinion on Government's use of technologies to improve governance and service delivery
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Efficient Public Procurement	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at transparent and open public procurement policies
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance regarding supply and distribution of agricultural inputs
18	Environmental Sustainability	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at reducing environmental degradation and pollution
19	Disaster Preparedness & Management	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at creating and ensuring effective plans and strategies to counter and mitigate natural disasters
20	Immunization of Children	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at immunizing children – especially against polio
21	Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers	Gauges the public opinion on the Government's performance at devolving powers to the local level and establishing local governments
22	Access to an Efficient Justice System	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at improving and streamlining the justice system in the country
23	National Security	Measures the public opinion on Federal Government's performance on national security
24	Foreign Policy Management	Measures the public opinion on performance of the Federal Government in managing foreign affairs
25	Performance of Regulatory Bodies	Measures the public opinion on Federal Government's performance at ensuring the independence and effective operation of Regulatory Bodies
26	Merit-based Appointments in Regulatory Bodies	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at recruiting and promoting through merit in Regulatory Bodies
27	Management of Inflation	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at managing inflation in the country
28	Water Resource Development and Management	Measures the public opinion on Government's performance at developing and effectively managing water resources in the country

October 2015

Federal Government's Scorecard

The scorecard shows the Federal Government's Approval Rating, i.e., sum of responses in category 'Approved a Lot' and 'Approved Somewhat', on the core governance indicators. A rating, less than 50% shows that more people have disapproved or chose to remain silent on the issue. It has been shaded as 'red' on the Approval Scorecard column in Table 2. Similarly, an Approval Rating of more than 50% implies that a minority of the population has expressed disapproval or has chosen to remain silent on the issue. An Approval Rating exceeding 50% has been allotted the colour 'green' on the Approval Scorecard column seen in Table 2. In Table 2, we have also ranked different indicators from highest Approval Rating to lowest Approval Rating, in order to outline areas where the Federal Government has performed well in gaining public's perceived approval.

Table 2: Federal Government's Scorecard (National Evaluation)


No.	Indicator	Approval Rating 2015	Approval Scorecard (Green or Red)	Ranking
1	Law & Order	55%	Green	4
2	Poverty Alleviation	28%	Red	14
3	Education	64%	Green	2
4	Healthcare	54%	Green	5
5	Transparency	30%	Red	13
6	Energy Production & Management	30%	Red	13
7	Anti-Corruption	27%	Red	16
8	Investment Friendliness	44%	Red	8
9	Tax Collection	38%	Red	10
10	Utility Bills Collection	56%	Green	3
11	Management of Unemployment	25%	Red	18
12	Development Programmes	44%	Red	8
13	Quality & Independence of Civil Service	26%	Red	17
14	Merit-based Recruitment and Promotions	34%	Red	11
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	48%	Red	7
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurement	28%	Red	15
17	Management & Supply of Agricultural Inputs	40%	Red	9
18	Environmental Sustainability	33%	Red	12
19	Disaster Preparedness & Management	38%	Red	10

October 2015

20	Immunization of Children	85%		1
21	Devolution of Powers to Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments	29%		14
22	Devolution of Powers to Lower Tiers – Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	27%		16
23	Devolution of Powers to Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	28%		15
24	Access to an Efficient Justice System	38%		10
25	National Security	53%		6
26	Foreign Policy Management	44%		8
27	Performance of Regulatory Bodies	30%		13
28	Merit-based Appointments in Regulatory Bodies	28%		15
29	Management of Inflation	33%		12
30	Water Resource Development & Management	38%		10
GREEN SCORE CARD INDICATORS			6	
RED SCORE CARD INDICATORS			24	

Legend: Green means Approval Rating for the Indicator is more than 50%
Red means Approval Rating for the indicator is less than 50%

Figure 1: Governance Track Record - Negative Evaluation Indicators



October 2015


Performance of the Federal Government – Public Opinion Mostly Negative

The Approval Ratings in 2015 seen in Table 2 show that on most indicators, the public evaluated the Federal Government's governance performance negatively. 24 out of the 30 Governance indicators assessed for the Federal Government received an Approval Rating below 50%, thus implying that the majority of the survey respondents disapproved of the performance of the Federal Government in those 24 indicators. The Federal Government's governance performance has been positively evaluated only on six indicators: **Immunization (85%)**; **Education (64%)**; **Utility Bills Collection (56%)**; **Law and Order (55%)**; **Healthcare (54%)** and **National Security (53%)**. In all the remaining policy areas that were included in the survey, the majority opinion was one of disapproval on the performance of the Federal Government. On all the remaining policy indicators, more than 50% of the respondents deemed the performance of the Federal Government to be unsatisfactory. On the indicators of **Poverty Alleviation (28%)**, **Transparency (30%)**, **Management of Unemployment (25%)**, **Quality & Independence of Civil Service (26%)**, **Merit-based Appointments in Regulatory Bodies (28%)**, **Devolution of Powers to Lower Tiers-Establishment of Local Governments (29%)**, the public was extremely critical of the Government's performance as can be seen by the fact that Approval Ratings for these indicators were below 30% of all respondents.

Renewed Hope for the Government on Foreign Policy, Procurement, Investment, Agriculture and Development

Crucial distinction must also be made for those indicators where the Approval Ratings are closer to the 50% mark, hence implying that the public's overall assessment on such indicators is currently divided, with negative evaluations slightly outpacing positive evaluations. For instance, on **Foreign Policy Management (44%)**, **Development Programmes (44%)**, **Investment Friendliness (44%)** and the **Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (40%)**, Federal Government's negative evaluation is only slightly greater than its positive assessment. These indicators highlight areas where the Federal Government has managed to perform well, and has also been evaluated positively by a significant proportion of the public. Continued attention and reform in these areas can help improve the Approval Ratings for the Federal Government.

Figure 2: Governance Track Record - Positive Evaluation Indicators



October 2015

Federal Government Strong in areas of National Security, Education, Immunization of Children, Healthcare and Utility Bills Collection

Public opinion on the Federal Government's two year governance performance indicates that The PML-N led Government has garnered positive assessments by the majority of the public on **Immunization of Children (85%), Healthcare (54%), Education (64%), National Security (53%), Law & Order (55%) and Utility Bills Collection (56%)**. These indicators have been ranked as green on the Approval Scorecard and suggest that the Government's efforts to strengthen Pakistan in these respective areas have been well received by a majority of the Pakistani public. Given Pakistan's on-going struggle against violent militancy and the relative success of the operation Zarb-e-Azb by the Pakistani Army, this positive score reflects the public's expectation that this Government can succeed in purging rampant terrorism and violent militancy in the Country. Furthermore, the aggressive Polio Campaign undertaken by the Federal Government to make Pakistan a Polio-free Nation has been positively perceived by the public.

Ability of the Centre to Curb Poverty and Unemployment - Question Marks Remain

In contrast, the current Government's performance on **Poverty Alleviation** and **Management of Unemployment** has been poorly assessed by the people of Pakistan; with Approval Ratings of **28%** and **25%** respectively. These issues are critical in resuscitating Pakistan's socio-economic growth and development. A negative assessment by an overwhelming majority of the representative sample of the Pakistani public on these indicators reveals the public's dissatisfaction with the Government's efforts of these two years aimed at resolving these key challenges that affect the daily livelihoods of ordinary citizens across the Country.

The Government's often hyped about economic reforms and policies have, as yet, failed to trickle down to the masses in the form of palpable positive changes in livelihoods – with the public feeling that unemployment, and poverty remain the core economic challenges for the people of Pakistan. Alternatively put, the Government's macroeconomic policy reforms may be working, but microeconomic improvements are yet to take root in the country after several years of economic decline and stagnation.

Public Verdict on Resolving the Energy Crisis: Federal Government Remains Clueless

The 'Energy Crisis' in Pakistan continues to remain a massive hurdle in the social and economic development of Pakistan. Despite the Federal Government's apparent efforts and policy focus designed to reform the Energy Sector and increase the production capacity, the Pakistani public has deemed the efforts of these two years as insufficient. On **Energy Production and Management**, the Government was able to get an Approval Rating of only **30%**, thus illustrating the substantial level of dissatisfaction with the continuing energy crisis in the country – particularly with incessant load shedding and power outages that have not only caused misery to the urban and rural diaspora but have also crippled industries and businesses nationwide.

A Persistent Lack of Accountability & Transparency in the Public Sector

Accountability and Transparency have been the usual political slogans used by political parties at the time of elections. Good governance enshrined with concepts of 'Accountability and Transparency' have, over the years, also gained public legitimacy as key goals that Pakistan needs to achieve. Public discourse on this issue is visible through media attention it has captured as well as the recent focus by the Supreme Court on a number of graft cases as well as grilling of the Chief Accountability watchdog, the NAB, by the Chief Justice of Pakistan.

The Government scored rather poorly on indicators of Transparency and Anti-Corruption. On **Transparency**, the Government scored an Approval Rating of only **30%** (ranked **13th** in terms of public approval), while in the fight against corruption the Government fared even worse in the eyes of the public, with the indicator of **Anti-Corruption** getting an even lower Approval Rating of **27%**. Furthermore, on **Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (34%, ranked at 11th** in terms of public approval), **Poverty Alleviation (28%, ranked at 14th)**, **Quality and Independence of Civil Service (26%, ranked at 17th)**, a resounding majority of the respondents was convinced that the Government and its supporting institutions of governance are still strongly afflicted with issues of corruption, a lack of merit and fairness in recruitments

October 2015

and appointments and malpractice. These numbers show that the current Federal Government needs to do more in shaking off the lack of trust and perceptions of rampant corruption in its management of the Country's affairs.

Provincial Government Scorecard (Provincial Governance Evaluation)

The questions included and asked in the provincial segment of the opinion poll asked respondents to evaluate the performance of their Provincial Government on the core governance indicators relevant to Provincial governance.

The Approval Rating Scores published here provide an indication of how residents from each Province evaluated the state of governance in their Province. The list of indicators used for Provincial governance analysis is slightly different and includes subjects that have been devolved to the provinces in the aftermath of the 18th Constitutional Amendment.

Table 3: Provincial Scores

No.	Indicator	Provincial Scores			
		Balochistan	KP	Punjab	Sindh
1	Law & Order	44%	72%	51%	53%
2	Poverty Alleviation	13%	46%	29%	16%
3	Education	45%	80%	75%	38%
4	Healthcare	35%	75%	60%	35%
5	Transparency	24%	55%	25%	21%
6	Energy Production and Management	18%	40%	37%	21%
7	Anti-Corruption	19%	51%	23%	23%
8	Investment Friendliness	32%	45%	44%	20%
9	Tax Collection	33%	48%	35%	40%
10	Utility Bills Collection	39%	55%	60%	40%
11	Management of Unemployment	9%	41%	25%	12%
12	Development Programmes	25%	49%	51%	23%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	25%	37%	22%	26%
14	Merit-Based Recruitments and Promotions	18%	68%	39%	19%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	33%	58%	52%	29%
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurement	26%	47%	24%	16%

October 2015

17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	31%	56%	41%	25%
18	Environmental Sustainability	20%	59%	32%	26%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	32%	56%	43%	22%
20	Immunization of Children	79%	90%	91%	77%
21	Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers – Establishment of Local Governments	51%	56%	26%	28%
22	Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers –Distribution of Resources to Local and Provincial Governments	27%	40%	24%	26%
23	Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers –Effective Devolution of Power	25%	40%	21%	26%
24	Access to an Efficient Justice System	32%	59%	39%	34%
25	Public Transport	30%	57%	61%	27%
26	Access to Safe Drinking Water	20%	61%	44%	18%
27	Sanitation	14%	52%	35%	23%
28	Water Resources Development and Management	21%	57%	41%	29%
GREEN SCORE CARD INDICATORS		2/28	18/28	8/28	2/28
RED SCORE CARD INDICATORS		26/28	10/28	20/28	26/28

Legend: Green means Approval Rating for the Indicator is more than 50% Red means Approval Rating for the indicator is less than 50%

Performance of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government – A Clear Front-Runner

The KP Government achieved positive Approval Ratings by the majority of the respondents in a resounding **18 out of the 28** Provincial Governance indicators covered in this Study: **Law and Order (72%), Education (80%), Healthcare (75%), Transparency (55%), Anti-Corruption (51%), Utility Bills Collection (55%), Merit-Based Recruitments and Promotions (68%), Use of Technologies for Better Governance (58%), Management and Supply of Agricultural Inputs (56%), Immunization of Children (90%), Environmental Sustainability (59%), Access to an Efficient Justice System (59%), Sanitation (52%), Access to Safe Drinking Water (61%), Disaster Preparedness and Management (56%) and Public Transport (57%)**, thereby dispelling any challenge from the Provincial Government in Punjab, where the PML-N was able to secure Approval Ratings in **only 8 out of the 28** governance indicators.

More impressively, the KP Government barely scored negative ratings in almost all of the other indicators, performing substantially better than the Punjab Government in the eyes of the public. A significant proportion of the respondents approved of the performance of the Government in KP on the following performance indicators: **Poverty Alleviation (46%), Management of Unemployment (41%), Investment Friendliness (45%), Tax Collection (48%), Development Programmes (49%), and Management of Unemployment (41%)**. These Approval Ratings illustrate

October 2015

the positive perception of the work being done by the Government in these areas.

It was only in a few performance indicators that the Provincial Government of KP was viewed by a majority of the respondents to have performed relatively poorly: **Quality & Independence of Civil Service (37%)** and **Energy Production and Management (40%)**. Even then, a significant proportion of the respondents (above 30%) still approved of the work being carried out by the KP Government regarding improvements in Energy production and management and the Civil Service.

Public Perception on Performance of the Provincial Government of Punjab

Despite lagging behind KP in terms of performance, the Provincial Government of Punjab was still able to outscore the rest of the Provincial Governments in terms of performance in the policy areas outlined in this study.

The Punjab Government's best Approval Rating was registered in **Immunization of Children (91%)**. Similarly, the Provincial Government's concerted focus on infrastructure improvement, with **Public Transport** and **Development Programmes** receiving positive Approval Ratings of **61%** and **51%** in 2015, respectively. Much has been made of the Punjab Government's focus on improving the educational infrastructure and services in the Province. At present, the Government's reforms and projects in **Education** garnered a positive Approval Rating of **75%**. Punjab Government also performed well in the **Healthcare** sector, generating a positive response of **60%** by the majority of the respondents. Furthermore, the Government's efforts at stabilising the economy of Punjab also observed a positive perception by the public, as can be seen by the positive Approval Ratings secured by Punjab in the **Collection of Utility Bills (60%)**. In total, the Punjab Government generated positive Governance Approval Ratings in **8 out of the 28** indicators studied for the four provinces.

Approval Ratings for 4 indicators for the Punjab Government were **barely negative**, in comparison to 7 such policy indicators for the KP Government. On these indicators the negative evaluations only slightly outpaced positive assessments of the Provincial Government's performance, which alludes to Approval Ratings that can easily cross the 50% mark if the Provincial Government retains its focus and policy direction: **Investment Friendliness (44%)**, **Management of Supply of Agricultural Products (41%)**, **Access to Safe Drinking Water (44%)**, and **Disaster Preparedness & Management (43%)**.

However, the PML-N led Provincial Government in Punjab also reflects some of the negative scores achieved by the party's Government at the Federal level. The Punjab Government received the lowest Approval Ratings in **Energy Production & Management (37%)**, **Poverty Alleviation (29%)**, **Anti-Corruption (23%)**, **Transparency (25%)**, **Management of Unemployment (25%)**, and **Quality and Independence of Civil Service (22%)**. A majority of the public opinion severely disapproved of the lack of work being done in alleviating poverty and reducing unemployment, both at the Federal and the Provincial level, with Approval Ratings not even crossing the 30% mark. These trends seem to be reflective of the notion that despite initiating macro level projects, the Government of the PML-N is still lacking in providing some of the basic necessities of life to people.

The Government in Balochistan – Public Opinion Overwhelmingly Negative

Of the four provinces evaluated and compared in this study for the respective Provincial Governments' governance performance, the empirical results clearly rank the Provincial Government of Balochistan on the lowest tier in terms of its performance in the governance indicators.

The people of Balochistan evaluated their Provincial Government's governance track-record negatively on **26 out of the 28 indicators** included in this survey. This prevalent negativity in the province reflects the poor state of governance in the Provincial Government. The Balochistan Government scored the lowest Approval Ratings on: **Anti-Corruption (19%)**, **Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (18%)**, **Energy Production and Management (18%)**, **Poverty Alleviation (13%)**, **Management of Unemployment (9%)**, **Environmental Sustainability (20%)**, **Access to Safe Drinking Water (20%)** and **Sanitation (14%)**. In all these governance indicators, the Approval Rating given to the Provincial Government was less than 20%, thus implying that a dominant majority of the Balochi public was extremely

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

critical of the performance of the Provincial Government. The Balochistan Government's positive Approval Rating was recorded on **Immunization of Children (79%) and Devolution of Power to the Lower Tiers-Establishment of Local Government (51%)**. The empirical results obtained from this study clearly indicate that the people of Balochistan are undoubtedly the ones most dissatisfied and disillusioned with the performance of their government, compared to all the other provinces.

Public Verdict on Performance of Sindh Government - One of Extreme Disapproval

The Provincial Government of Sindh also suffered from a fate similar to the one experienced by the Government of Balochistan, two years since coming into power after the elections of 2013. The Government of Pakistan People's Party in Sindh also recorded Approval Ratings below the 50% mark in **26 out of 28 governance indicators**, managing positive Approval Ratings only in **Immunization of Children (77%) and Law and Order (53%)**.

The Sindh Government scored the lowest Approval Ratings on: **Poverty Alleviation (16%), Management of Unemployment (12%), Access to Safe Drinking Water (18%), Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (19%) and Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurement(16%)**. The proportion of the Sindhi respondents that approved of the work being done by the Government in these policy issues was less than 20% of all respondents, thus highlighting the overwhelming negativity persisting among the public regarding the performance of their Government on these areas.

Table 4: Governance Scores: National Scores Vs. Provincial Scores

	Indicator	National Scores	Provincial Scores			
			Balochistan	KP	Punjab	Sindh
1	Law and Order	55%	44%	72%	51%	53%
2	Poverty Alleviation	28%	13%	46%	29%	16%
3	Education	64%	45%	80%	75%	38%
4	Healthcare	54%	35%	75%	60%	35%
5	Transparency	30%	24%	55%	25%	21%
6	Energy Production and Management	30%	18%	40%	37%	21%
7	Anti-Corruption	27%	19%	51%	23%	23%
8	Investment Friendliness	44%	32%	45%	43%	20%
9	Tax Collection	38%	33%	48%	35%	40%
10	Utility Bills Collection	56%	39%	55%	60%	40%
11	Management of Unemployment	25%	9%	41%	25%	12%
12	Development Programmes	44%	25%	49%	51%	23%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	26%	25%	37%	22%	26%

October 2015

14	Merit-Based Recruitment and	34%	18%	68%	39%	19%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	48%	33%	58%	52%	29%
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurement	28%	26%	47%	24%	16%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	40%	31%	56%	41%	25%
18	Environmental Sustainability	33%	20%	59%	32%	26%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	38%	32%	56%	43%	22%
20	Immunization of Children	85%	79%	90%	91%	77%
21	Access to an Efficient Justice System	38%	32%	59%	39%	34%

Performance of the Prime Minister: 73% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

While 73% of Pakistanis state that they rate his performance as 'Good' to 'Very Good', 24% rated his performance in the last two years as 'Bad' to 'Very Bad'.

Performance of Chief Ministers


Table 5: Performance of Chief Ministers

Chief Minister	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Approval Rating 2015
CM Punjab	33%	45%	10%	4%	78%
CM Sindh	5%	24%	25%	18%	29%
CM KP	10%	28%	17%	12%	38%
CM Balochistan	7%	21%	16%	13%	28%

Respondents from across Pakistan were asked to evaluate the performance of the four Chief Ministers. The Approval Ratings of each Chief Minister in 2015 are given in Figure 3.

October 2015

Figure 3: Performance of the Chief Ministers



Performance of CM Punjab: 78% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Chief Minister of Punjab, Shahbaz Sharif, received the highest rating from respondents across Pakistan, with an aggregate Approval Rating of 78%, eclipsing all others. 33% of the respondents rated his performance as 'Very Good' while 45% rated it as 'Good'. 14% respondents rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of CM Sindh: 29% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

In contrast with CM Punjab, CM Sindh, Syed Qaim Ali Shah, is perceived as a less popular figure by the public. A significant 43% of the respondents rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. 29% of the respondents rated CM Sindh's performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. Thus, the Chief Minister of Sindh received the second lowest Approval Rating amongst the Chief Ministers of the four provinces.

Performance of CM KP: 38% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

Chief Minister of KP, Pervez Khattak's performance ratings are also in the negative when respondents from across Pakistan were asked to evaluate his overall performance. 29% of the respondents rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad' while 38% of the public evaluated his performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of CM Balochistan: 28% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

Chief Minister of Balochistan, Abdul Malik Baloch fares the worst in terms of his overall Approval Rating amongst the ratings of the Chief Ministers of the other provinces. While 28% respondents rated his performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good', a larger proportion of 29% of the public rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.


Table 6: Performance of Provincial Governments

Province	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Approval Rating 2015
Punjab	32%	44%	10%	4%	76%
Sindh	5%	25%	24%	19%	30%
Balochistan	5%	23%	18%	13%	28%
KP	10%	28%	16%	12%	38%

October 2015

Respondents from across Pakistan were asked to evaluate the performance of the four Provincial Governments. The Approval Ratings of each Provincial Government in 2015 are given in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Performance of Provincial Governments



Performance of Punjab Provincial Government: 76% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

32% of the respondents rated the Punjab Government's performance as 'Good' and 44% rated it as 'Very Good'. In comparison, a small proportion of 14% of the respondents rated the performance of the Government as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. At this point in time, with an Approval Rating of 77% in 2015, the Punjab Government enjoys the healthiest Approval Rating of the four provinces.

Performance of Sindh Provincial Government: 30% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Sindh Government is evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 43% of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 30% were in approval of the performance of the Provincial Government. Thus, the Government of Sindh's performance is rated as the second lowest out of the four provinces.

Performance of Balochistan Provincial Government: 28% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Balochistan Government is evaluated negatively by the majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. 30% of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 28% of the public stood in approval of the Provincial Government. These scores give the Balochistan Provincial Government the lowest Approval Rating in 2015 amongst the four provinces.

Performance of KP Provincial Government: 38% provide Approval Ratings in 2015


The KP Government is also evaluated negatively but still fares better than the Governments of Sindh and Balochistan. 28% of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while 38% gave a positive assessment of the Provincial Government, thus giving KP an Approval Rating of 38%.

October 2015

Table 7: Trust in Institutions

Institution	A lot	Somewhat	Little	Not at all	Approval Rating 2015
NAB	8%	22%	20%	11%	30%
Government Officers	7%	25%	30%	25%	32%
Army	46%	29%	8%	5%	75%
Police	11%	21%	25%	32%	32%

Figure 5: Trust in Institutions



Trust in Institutions; NAB: 30% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

At present, Pakistanis appear uncertain about the National Accountability Bureau (NAB). 31% respondents assert that they have little to no trust at all in the NAB, while 30% report that they have 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Trust' in the Bureau, thus giving the NAB the lowest Approval Rating out of the institutions under discussion.

Trust in Institutions; Government Officers: 32% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A majority of the representative sample of Pakistanis (55%) state that they have little to no trust at all in Government officers. However, only 29% of them state they have 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Trust' in the country's bureaucrats, thereby suggesting that the Pakistani public at large does not trust Government officers.

Trust in Institutions; Armed Forces: 75% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

At present, 75% Pakistanis claim to have 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Trust' in the Country's Armed Forces, thus becoming the Institution rated the highest by Pakistanis. On the other hand, only 13% Pakistanis state that they have little to no trust at all in the Armed Forces.

Trust in Institutions; Police: 32% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A substantial 57% of all respondents stated that they had little to no trust at all in Pakistan's Police. Only 32% of the

October 2015

respondents have 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Trust' in the Police Force, thus making it one of the institutions whose performance has been deemed unsatisfactory by the majority of the representative sample of the Pakistani public.


Biggest Current Issue

The biggest identified challenge for the Country is the **Energy Crisis**, which is currently identified by 25% of the Survey Respondents as the biggest problem facing the state. **Unemployment** came in second, with 19% Respondents identifying it as a serious challenge for the Country. The problem of maintaining **Law & Order and Peace in light of Terrorism** ranked as the third biggest problem, as identified by 15% of the respondents. Finally, **Poverty** was identified by 12% of the public respondents as a major national problem facing the Country at this point in time. However, the problem of **Corruption** was not perceived as a major issue by Pakistanis; with only 3% of the representative sample of the Pakistani public considering it as a major issue facing Pakistan in 2015.

Table 8: Performance of Federal Ministries

Federal Ministry	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	Approval Rating 2015
Ministry of Commerce	11%	36%	18%	6%	28%	47%
Ministry of Defence	14%	41%	15%	6%	24%	55%
Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatisation	7%	26%	23%	9%	33%	33%
Ministry of Foreign Affairs	7%	30%	18%	9%	35%	37%
Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage	9%	32%	18%	7%	31%	41%
Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication	11%	34%	14%	7%	32%	45%
Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control	7%	21%	21%	17%	31%	28%
Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources	9%	31%	20%	15%	23%	40%
Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms	8%	32%	16%	11%	0%	40%
Ministry of Railways	13%	30%	17%	16%	22%	43%
Ministry of Water and Power	10%	17%	20%	36%	16%	27%

Figure 6: Performance of Federal Ministries



Performance of Ministry of Commerce: 47% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Commerce performed decently as an encouraging 47% of the respondents approved of its performance in 2015. 24% however negatively rated the performance of the Ministry.

Performance of Ministry of Defence: 55% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of the Defence was the only Ministry under the Federal Government whose performance was approved by a majority of the respondents, representative of the larger Pakistani Public (55%) in 2015. Only 21% of the public felt that the performance of the Defence Ministry was not up to the mark.

Performance of Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatisation: 33% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The performance of this particular Ministry was positively rated by a minority of the respondents as less than 50% of the public (33%) voted the performance as 'Very Good' or 'Good' in 2015. On the other hand, 32% of the survey respondents rated the performance of the Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatisation as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of Ministry of Foreign Affairs: 37% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs was positively voted by less than half of the respondents as only 37% Pakistanis rated the Ministry's performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. On the other hand, 27% respondents perceived the performance of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to be 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

October 2015

Performance of Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage: 41% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage did comparatively better as 41% of the respondents approved of the performance of this Ministry in 2015. With added effort, the Approval Ratings for this Ministry have the potential to outpace the fifty percent mark and resultantly gain the approval of the majority of Pakistanis. As it stands, 25% of the public has voted the performance of this Ministry as Bad or Very Bad.

Performance of Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication: 45% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication also performed well as a significant proportion of the Pakistani public (45%) surveyed, gave approval in 2015, only a few percentage points behind the majority approval mark of fifty percent. Conversely, only 21% Pakistanis gave negative reviews over the performance of this Ministry. Further improvements in the workings of this Ministry can contribute in this Ministry being approved by a majority of the Pakistani public.

Performance of Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control: 28% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A majority of the public disapproved of the performance of this Ministry. Only 28% of the respondents rated the performance of the Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control as Good or Very Good. On the other hand, a significant 38% of the public believed the performance of this Ministry to be 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources: 40% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Approval Ratings for this Ministry also exhibit encouraging signs as a significant 40% of the public rated the performance of this Ministry as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. This implies that with added effort, the Approval Rating can be improved to constitute the opinion of the majority of Pakistanis. On the flip side, 35% of the public felt that the performance of this Ministry was 'Bad' or 'Very Bad' in 2015.

Performance of Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms: 40% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A significant proportion of the respondents (40%) approved of the performance of this Ministry in 2015. Hence, additional improvements in the performance of the Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms can result in the Approval Ratings crossing the fifty percent mark and thus being approved by a majority of the public. As it stands in 2015, 27% Pakistanis still feel the performance of this Ministry is not up to the mark and have voted 'Bad' or 'Very Bad' against it.

Performance of Ministry of Railways: 43% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Performance of Ministry of Railways in 2015 was encouraging as 43% respondents were in approval. On the other hand, 33% of the responded were in disapproval of the performance of this Ministry.

Performance of Ministry of Water and Power: 27% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The performance of this Ministry was rated negatively by a majority of the public respondents as 56% of them felt that the performance was 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. On the other hand, only 27% of the respondents felt that the performance of the Ministry was 'Good' or 'Very Good'.


October 2015

Table 9: Performance of Provincial Departments

Provincial Department	Balochistan Approval Rating 2015	KP Approval Rating 2015	Punjab Approval Rating 2015	Sindh Approval Rating 2015
Provincial Department for Education	61%	86%	78%	41%
Provincial Department for Health	49%	85%	67%	38%
Provincial Home Department (Interior)	39%	57%	33%	33%
Provincial Department for Finance	31%	56%	31%	24%
Provincial Department for Agriculture	22%	53%	40%	25%
Provincial Department for Water and Irrigation	16%	53%	39%	22%
Provincial Department for Telecommunication	28%	50%	35%	25%
Provincial Department for Local Governments	34%	56%	26%	23%
Provincial Department for Mines and Minerals	19%	36%	16%	18%
Provincial Department for Forestry	22%	55%	24%	18%
Provincial Department for Planning and Development	29%	48%	39%	29%

October 2015

Figure 7: Performance of Provincial Departments



Performance of Provincial Department for Education: Highest Approval Rating for KP in 2015

A resounding majority of the respondents of KP approved of the performance of the Provincial Department for Education within their respective Province as 86% respondents felt that the performance was 'Very Good' or 'Good'. Both Punjab and Balochistan recorded Approval Ratings above 50%, hence the majority of the respondents in these provinces were positive regarding the work done by their Governments regarding education. Sindh recorded the lowest Approval Rating as only 41% of the public approved of the performance of Sindh Government.

Performance of Provincial Department for Health: KP Records Highest Approval Rating in 2015

The Provincial Department for Health performed well in KP as a significant 85% of the respondents rated its as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. Punjab came in second with an Approval Rating of 67%. In both Balochistan (49%) and Sindh (38%), the majority of the public negatively rated the performance of their respective Government.

Performance of Provincial Home Department: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

A majority of the public negatively rated the performance of the Provincial Home Department. Only a minority of the public approved of the performance of their Government regarding this Department in Balochistan (39%), Punjab (33%) and Sindh (33%). However, a majority of respondents in KP (57%) approved of the performance of the Home Department in 2015.

Performance of Provincial Department for Finance: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Finance Department also generally performed poorly at the Provincial level as a minority of the public of Punjab (31%), Sindh (24%) and Balochistan (31%) rated its performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. On the other hand, 56% respondents in KP approved of the performance of the Finance Department and voted either 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of Provincial Department for Agriculture: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department of Agriculture also performed poorly in Punjab (40%), Sindh (25%) and Balochistan (22%) as the majority respondents in these Provinces rated the Provincial Department negatively, either 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. In KP, a majority (53%) approved of the performance of their Provincial Department for Agriculture.

October 2015

Performance of Provincial Department for Water and Irrigation: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department of Water and Irrigation also generally performed poorly at the Provincial level as a minority of the public of Punjab (39%), Sindh (22%) and Balochistan (16%) rated its performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. On the other hand, 53% respondents in KP approved of the performance of the Department and voted either 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of Provincial Department for Telecommunication: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department for Telecommunication also performed poorly in Punjab (35%), Sindh (25%), and Balochistan (28%) as the majority respondents in these Provinces rated the Provincial Department negatively, either 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. In KP, a majority (50%) approved of the performance of their Provincial Department.

Performance of Provincial Department for Local Governments: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department for Local Governments also performed poorly in Punjab (26%), Sindh (23%), and Balochistan (34%) as the majority respondents in these Provinces rated the Provincial Department negatively, either 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. In KP, a majority (56%) approved of the performance of their Provincial Department.

Performance of Provincial Department for Mines and Minerals: People from all Provinces provide Negative Approval Ratings in 2015

The Provincial Department for Mines and Minerals was ranked the lowest in terms of performance by all respondents in 2015 as the majority public of all the Provinces rated their respective Provincial Departments negatively. A minority of the respondents in Punjab (16%), Sindh (18%), Balochistan (19%) and KP (36%) rated the performance of their Department for Mines and Minerals as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. The majority rated the performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of Provincial Department for Forestry: Highest Approval Rating for KP in 2015

The Department for Forestry also performed poorly in all Provinces except KP as it got the approval of only a minority of the respondents in the Provinces. 55% respondents in KP felt that the performance of their Department was 'Very Good' or 'Good'. In comparison, only 24%, 18% and 22% respondents in Punjab, Sindh and Balochistan respectively, approved of the performance of their respective Provincial Departments.

Performance of Provincial Department for Planning and Development: People from all Provinces provide Negative Approval Ratings in 2015

The Department for Planning and Development was ranked negatively in terms of performance by all respondents in 2015 as the majority public of all the Provinces rated their respective Provincial Departments negatively. A minority of the respondents in Punjab (39%), Sindh (29%), Balochistan (29%) and KP (48%) rated the performance of their Departments as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. The majority rated the performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

**Quality of Governance – Federal Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Pakistan**

October 2015

Table 10: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance – Federal Government

No.	Indicator	Approval Rating 2015	Approval Rating 2014
1	Law and Order	55%	48%
2	Poverty Alleviation	28%	23%
3	Education	64%	48%
4	Health Care	54%	45%
5	Transparency	30%	45%
6	Energy Production and Management	30%	23%
7	Anti-Corruption	27%	26%
8	Investment Friendliness	44%	43%
9	Tax Collection	38%	40%
10	Utility Bills Collection	56%	45%
11	Management of Unemployment	25%	31%
12	Development Programmes	44%	46%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	26%	32%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	34%	29%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	48%	38%
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	28%	36%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	40%	45%
18	Environmental Sustainability	33%	36%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	38%	38%
20	Immunization of Children	85%	56%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments	29%	30%

October 2015

22	Devolution of Powers to the Tower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	27%	34%
23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	28%	33%
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	38%	36%
25	National Security	53%	61%
26	Foreign Policy Management	44%	48%
27	Performance of Regulatory Bodies	30%	38%
28	Merit Based Appointments in Regulatory Bodies	28%	38%
29	Management of Inflation	33%	25%
30	Water Resources Development and Management	38%	38%

Table 11: Comparative Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance – For all Five Governments

No.	Indicator	All Pakistan	Balochistan	KP	Punjab	Sindh
1	Law and Order	55%	44%	72%	51%	53%
2	Poverty Alleviation	28%	13%	46%	29%	16%
3	Education	64%	45%	80%	75%	38%
4	Health Care	54%	35%	75%	60%	35%
5	Transparency	29%	24%	55%	25%	21%
6	Energy Production and Management	30%	18%	40%	37%	21%
7	Anti-Corruption	27%	19%	51%	23%	23%
8	Investment Friendliness	43%	32%	45%	44%	20%

October 2015

9	Tax Collection	39%	33%	48%	35%	40%
10	Utility Bills Collection	56%	39%	55%	60%	40%
11	Management of Unemployment	24%	9%	41%	25%	12%
12	Development Programmes	44%	25%	49%	51%	23%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	26%	25%	37%	22%	26%
14	Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions	34%	18%	68%	39%	19%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	28%	26%	47%	24%	16%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	27%	33%	58%	52%	29%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	41%	31%	56%	41%	25%
18	Environment Sustainability	33%	20%	59%	32%	26%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	38%	32%	56%	43%	22%
20	Immunization of Children	85%	79%	90%	91%	77%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments	29%	51%	56%	26%	28%
22	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	27%	27%	40%	24%	26%
23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	28%	25%	40%	21%	26%
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	38%	32%	59%	39%	34%
25	National Security	53%	0%	0%	0%	0%
26	Foreign Policy Management	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%
27	Performance of Regulatory Bodies - Independence and Efficiency	30%	0%	0%	0%	0%
28	Performance of Regulatory Bodies- Merit Based Appointments	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%
29	Management of Inflation	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30	Water Resources Development and Management	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%

October 2015

31	Public Transport	0%	30%	57%	61%	27%
32	Access to Safe Drinking Water	0%	20%	61%	44%	18%
33	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	0%	14%	52%	35%	23%
34	Irrigation Water Development and Management	0%	21%	57%	41%	29%

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 55% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 38% gave a negative rating while 55% gave a positive rating to the Government regarding the issue of law and order. The overall Approval Rating is therefore at 55%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 28% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 28% provided a positive rating for the alleviation of poverty under the current Government while 69% provided a negative rating. The overall Approval Rating is therefore 28%.

Public Opinion on Education - 64% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 64% respondents gave positive ratings to education under the Federal Government while 32% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Healthcare - 54% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the effectiveness of Healthcare system stands at an overall 54% positive Approval Rating by the public. On the other hand, 41% of the public gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 30% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of transparency, 30% of the respondents viewed Government organizations as positive while 34% rated it negatively. Hence the overall Approval Rating in 2015 stood at a mere 30% of the Pakistani population.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management -30% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results reveal that 30% of the respondents rated energy production and management positively while a majority; 65% rated it negatively. The Approval Rating in 2015 stood at 30%.

Public Opinion on Anti- Corruption - 27% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that 27% people rated anti-corruption efforts as positive while 58% as negative. The Approval Rating in 2015 for actions taken against corruption is therefore 27%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 44% provide Approval Rating in 2015

43% of those questioned rated the investment friendliness efforts as positive and 24% as negative. Hence the Approval Rating meter stands out at 44% of the Pakistani public.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 38% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's perception of the tax collection tactics of the Government was not very favourable with 35% of the people in disapproval. The Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be just 38% of the population.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 56% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of utility bill collection, 56% rated it positive while 33% were negative about it. The Approval Rating for this category was therefore 56%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 25% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 25% of the public gave positive ratings to the management of unemployment by the Federal Government while an outright majority of 72% gave a negative rating. Thus, the Government's overall Approval Rating in 2015 regarding the handling of unemployment was a low 25%.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 44% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that 44% people rated development programmes as positive while 32% of the people rated them as negative. The Approval Rating 2015 for development programmes of the Federal Government stood at 44% in 2015.

October 2015

Public Opinion on Quality & Independence of Civil Service - 26% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Approximately, 26% of the public provided a positive rating for the quality & independence of civil service under the current Government while 32% provided a negative rating. The Approval Rating is therefore 26%.

Merit-Based Recruitments and Promotions - 34% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on merit-based recruitments and promotions was below 50%, hence a majority of the public was in disapproval of the system of merit-based hiring by the Federal Government. Only 34% of the public gave a positive rating while 54% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 28% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 28% of the public gave positive ratings to the clean, efficient and economic public procurements while 30% gave a negative rating. Thus the Approval Rating in 2015 is 28%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies for Better Governance - 48% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Approximately, 48% of the people rated the use of technologies for better governance as positive while only 21% rated it negatively. The overall Approval Rating in 2015 was 48%.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 40% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Approval Rating in 2015 was 40% of the public as this proportion positively rated the management of supply of agricultural inputs. Meanwhile, 45% people rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Environment Sustainability - 33% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts towards environment sustainability was rated positively at 33% (Approval Rating in 2015) and negatively at 57%.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 38% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 38% of the public gave positive ratings to disaster preparedness and management under the Federal Government while 46% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 85% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that a resounding majority of 85% of the people rated immunization of children positively, making it the highest ranked indicator of the Federal Government. 12% of the people viewed the policies on immunization negatively.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Establishment of Local Governments - 29% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the establishment of Local Governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 29% of the public. 19% of the population's views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments - 27% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the distribution of resources to Local Governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 27% of the public. 30% of the respondent's views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Effective Devolution of Power - 28% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the effective devolution of power, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 28% of the public. 20% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 38% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the access to efficient justice system is less than 50% as only 38% of the public gave it a positive rating. 27% of the public rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on National Security - 53% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 53% of the public gave positive ratings to national security while 23% gave a negative rating. Thus the overall Approval Rating in 2015 was positive at 53%.

Public Opinion on Foreign Policy Management - 44% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's opinion on the Government's foreign policy management was rated positively at 44% and

October 2015

negatively at 24% of the public. Hence, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 44%.

Public Opinion on Performance of Regulatory Bodies - 30% provide Approval Rating in 2015

30% respondents rated the performance of regulatory bodies positively and 22% rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Merit Based Appointments in Regulatory Bodies - 28% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the performance of regulatory bodies is negative with an Approval Rating of 28% in 2015. The public gave a positive rating of 28% while 24% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Management of Inflation - 33% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts towards management of inflation was rated positively at 33% and negative at 64%. The overall Approval Rating in 2015 was therefore 33% of the public respondents.


Public Opinion on Water Resources Development and Management - 38% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on Water Resources Management and Development is resoundingly negative since only 38% of the respondents gave it a positive rating in 2015. Contrary to this; 54% of the public rated it negatively.

October 2015

Figure 8: Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Federal Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force) please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 55%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 12: Law and Order (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Federal Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force) please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	10%	45%	24%	14%	7%	1%	55%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	47%	25%	14%	4%	0%	56%
Female	11%	43%	23%	14%	10%	1%	54%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	12%	46%	24%	13%	5%	0%	58%
Middle (30-50)	9%	45%	24%	13%	7%	1%	54%
Old (50+)	9%	43%	24%	15%	9%	1%	52%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	43%	24%	14%	9%	1%	53%
Medium	11%	44%	26%	15%	5%	0%	55%
High	10%	51%	23%	12%	4%	0%	61%
Don't Know	12%	43%	17%	15%	13%	0%	55%
No Answer	3%	53%	23%	14%	6%	1%	56%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	9%	34%	31%	24%	2%	1%	43%
KP	21%	51%	17%	7%	5%	0%	72%
Punjab	7%	43%	26%	16%	9%	1%	50%
Sindh	12%	52%	21%	8%	5%	1%	64%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	13%	47%	26%	10%	3%	1%	60%
Rural	9%	44%	23%	15%	9%	1%	53%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	9%	45%	23%	14%	8%	0%	54%
PTI	11%	42%	28%	14%	5%	0%	53%
PPP	8%	45%	27%	12%	8%	1%	53%
All others	12%	47%	23%	13%	5%	1%	59%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 9: Poverty Alleviation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 13: Poverty Alleviation(All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	23%	36%	33%	3%	1%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	23%	36%	34%	2%	1%	28%
Female	4%	23%	36%	32%	4%	1%	27%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	23%	35%	33%	3%	1%	29%
Middle (30-50)	4%	24%	36%	33%	2%	1%	28%
Old (50+)	4%	19%	39%	33%	4%	1%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	23%	33%	34%	3%	1%	28%
Medium	4%	20%	37%	36%	2%	1%	24%
High	4%	27%	39%	29%	2%	0%	31%
Don't Know	4%	15%	45%	31%	5%	0%	19%
No Answer	3%	33%	33%	28%	3%	0%	36%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	0%	17%	39%	42%	1%	1%	17%
KP	15%	29%	24%	28%	3%	0%	44%
Punjab	4%	25%	38%	30%	3%	1%	29%
Sindh	2%	18%	37%	39%	2%	1%	20%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	4%	21%	40%	33%	2%	1%	25%
Rural	5%	24%	34%	33%	3%	1%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	27%	37%	29%	2%	1%	32%
PTI	7%	18%	34%	40%	2%	0%	25%
PPP	3%	20%	37%	35%	4%	2%	23%
All others	5%	21%	35%	35%	3%	1%	26%

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 10: Education (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (such as, literacy rate, children's enrolment and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 64%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 14: Education(All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (such as, literacy rate, children's enrolment and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	14%	50%	21%	11%	4%	0%	64%
Gender-wise							
Male	12%	46%	25%	13%	3%	1%	58%
Female	16%	54%	17%	9%	4%	0%	70%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	15%	49%	22%	11%	3%	0%	64%
Middle (30-50)	14%	49%	22%	12%	3%	1%	63%
Old (50+)	13%	52%	21%	9%	6%	0%	65%
Household Income-wise							
Low	12%	50%	21%	12%	5%	1%	62%
Medium	15%	50%	22%	11%	2%	0%	65%
High	19%	49%	24%	8%	1%	0%	68%
Don't Know	17%	48%	16%	14%	5%	0%	65%
No Answer	6%	57%	21%	12%	3%	1%	63%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	6%	34%	27%	29%	5%	0%	40%
KP	24%	48%	16%	10%	2%	0%	72%
Punjab	16%	57%	16%	6%	4%	1%	73%
Sindh	6%	39%	35%	18%	2%	1%	45%
Location-wise							
Urban	14%	50%	23%	10%	3%	0%	64%
Rural	14%	49%	20%	12%	4%	1%	63%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	14%	49%	22%	10%	5%	0%	75%
PTI	12%	41%	26%	17%	2%	1%	60%
PPP	8%	43%	27%	18%	3%	0%	57%
All others	10%	34%	35%	18%	4%	0%	54%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 11: Healthcare(All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 54%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 15: Healthcare(All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	12%	42%	27%	14%	4%	0%	54%
Gender-wise							
Male	12%	37%	32%	16%	3%	0%	49%
Female	12%	47%	23%	12%	5%	1%	59%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	12%	43%	25%	16%	3%	0%	55%
Middle (30-50)	12%	43%	28%	13%	4%	0%	55%
Old (50+)	12%	39%	29%	14%	6%	1%	51%
Household Income-wise							
Low	11%	42%	28%	15%	5%	1%	53%
Medium	12%	44%	27%	15%	3%	0%	56%
High	15%	42%	29%	12%	3%	0%	57%
Don't Know	16%	37%	22%	19%	5%	2%	53%
No Answer	4%	52%	29%	10%	4%	1%	56%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	7%	27%	37%	26%	3%	0%	34%
KP	23%	46%	22%	6%	3%	0%	69%
Punjab	13%	47%	24%	11%	5%	1%	60%
Sindh	5%	35%	35%	23%	2%	0%	40%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	12%	41%	28%	15%	4%	0%	53%
Rural	12%	43%	27%	14%	4%	0%	55%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	14%	49%	22%	10%	5%	0%	63%
PTI	12%	41%	26%	17%	2%	1%	53%
PPP	8%	43%	27%	18%	3%	0%	51%
All others	10%	34%	35%	18%	4%	0%	44%

Notes:

Age: **Young:** Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: **Low:** Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 12: Transparency (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 29%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 16: Transparency (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	25%	23%	11%	34%	2%	29%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	24%	27%	14%	28%	2%	29%
Female	5%	25%	19%	9%	40%	3%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	27%	24%	11%	29%	2%	33%
Middle (30-50)	4%	25%	22%	12%	34%	2%	29%
Old (50+)	4%	19%	23%	11%	42%	2%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	22%	21%	13%	36%	3%	27%
Medium	5%	28%	25%	11%	31%	2%	33%
High	5%	30%	26%	11%	26%	2%	35%
Don't Know	5%	16%	16%	8%	53%	1%	21%
No Answer	1%	24%	24%	14%	36%	1%	25%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	3%	23%	31%	14%	25%	4%	26%
KP	18%	32%	20%	12%	18%	0%	50%
Punjab	3%	24%	18%	10%	44%	2%	27%
Sindh	2%	24%	33%	14%	23%	4%	26%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	5%	28%	27%	11%	27%	3%	33%
Rural	5%	23%	21%	12%	38%	2%	28%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	26%	18%	9%	41%	1%	31%
PTI	7%	25%	26%	14%	26%	2%	32%
PPP	2%	24%	29%	12%	31%	3%	26%
All others	5%	23%	26%	14%	28%	4%	28%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 13: Energy Production and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvements in the electricity situation in the country (such as adequate), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 30%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 17: Energy Production and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvements in the electricity situation in the country (such as adequate), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	4%	26%	29%	36%	4%	1%	30%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	30%	30%	32%	3%	1%	35%
Female	4%	22%	29%	40%	4%	1%	26%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	24%	28%	39%	4%	0%	29%
Middle (30-50)	4%	26%	30%	35%	4%	1%	30%
Old (50+)	4%	26%	31%	35%	4%	1%	30%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	23%	29%	37%	5%	1%	28%
Medium	4%	25%	30%	37%	3%	1%	29%
High	5%	31%	30%	31%	3%	0%	36%
Don't Know	3%	31%	27%	36%	3%	0%	34%
No Answer	1%	19%	35%	41%	3%	1%	20%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	2%	20%	24%	50%	5%	0%	22%
KP	13%	19%	33%	32%	2%	0%	32%
Punjab	4%	33%	27%	34%	2%	1%	37%
Sindh	2%	16%	35%	39%	8%	2%	18%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	4%	26%	31%	35%	2%	1%	30%
Rural	5%	26%	28%	36%	4%	1%	30%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	33%	27%	32%	2%	1%	38%
PTI	4%	20%	32%	41%	3%	0%	24%
PPP	4%	20%	29%	35%	10%	3%	24%
All others	4%	20%	32%	40%	4%	1%	24%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 14: Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding eradication or minimisation of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as NAB, Federal Ombudsman, etc., please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 18: Anti-Corruption (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding eradication or minimisation of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as NAB, Federal Ombudsman, etc., please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	22%	31%	27%	14%	1%	27%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	26%	30%	30%	9%	1%	31%
Female	5%	18%	32%	25%	19%	2%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	26%	30%	27%	11%	1%	31%
Middle (30-50)	5%	21%	31%	28%	15%	1%	26%
Old (50+)	4%	19%	33%	27%	17%	0%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	20%	30%	27%	17%	1%	25%
Medium	4%	25%	31%	30%	10%	1%	29%
High	6%	23%	33%	28%	9%	1%	29%
Don't Know	5%	12%	31%	24%	28%	0%	17%
No Answer	4%	36%	36%	17%	7%	0%	40%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	1%	20%	31%	34%	12%	2%	21%
KP	11%	30%	32%	14%	13%	1%	41%
Punjab	3%	19%	31%	29%	16%	1%	22%
Sindh	6%	24%	29%	29%	10%	1%	30%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	7%	22%	32%	30%	9%	1%	29%
Rural	4%	22%	31%	26%	16%	1%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	23%	29%	28%	15%	1%	28%
PTI	5%	20%	32%	30%	12%	1%	25%
PPP	4%	25%	32%	26%	14%	0%	29%
All others	5%	21%	32%	27%	14%	2%	26%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 15: Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 44%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 19: Investment Friendliness (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8%	36%	16%	8%	31%	2%	44%
Gender-wise							
Male	10%	41%	20%	9%	19%	1%	51%
Female	5%	30%	12%	7%	44%	3%	35%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	38%	16%	8%	27%	2%	47%
Middle (30-50)	7%	35%	16%	8%	32%	2%	42%
Old (50+)	7%	35%	14%	7%	35%	2%	42%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	33%	14%	9%	35%	2%	41%
Medium	7%	36%	20%	8%	28%	2%	43%
High	10%	44%	16%	6%	23%	2%	54%
Don't Know	4%	39%	12%	8%	37%	1%	43%
No Answer	5%	28%	16%	11%	39%	1%	33%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	7%	39%	19%	11%	23%	2%	46%
KP	17%	33%	17%	7%	26%	1%	50%
Punjab	7%	38%	11%	7%	35%	1%	45%
Sindh	5%	31%	25%	9%	27%	3%	36%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	9%	39%	12%	7%	33%	1%	48%
PTI	7%	28%	23%	11%	30%	1%	35%
PPP	4%	34%	19%	11%	31%	3%	38%
All others	8%	35%	19%	7%	29%	2%	43%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 16: Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 20: Tax Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	33%	23%	12%	24%	2%	38%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	37%	24%	15%	16%	1%	44%
Female	4%	29%	21%	10%	33%	3%	33%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	36%	25%	11%	21%	2%	41%
Middle (30-50)	6%	34%	21%	13%	24%	2%	40%
Old (50+)	5%	26%	24%	12%	31%	3%	31%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	33%	20%	12%	27%	3%	39%
Medium	5%	37%	26%	11%	19%	2%	42%
High	4%	34%	29%	14%	17%	1%	38%
Don't Know	4%	24%	17%	14%	40%	1%	28%
No Answer	3%	30%	19%	16%	29%	3%	33%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	8%	33%	23%	18%	16%	2%	41%
KP	11%	33%	22%	12%	21%	1%	44%
Punjab	3%	31%	21%	13%	30%	2%	34%
Sindh	7%	38%	27%	9%	15%	3%	45%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	6%	33%	29%	14%	15%	2%	39%
Rural	5%	33%	20%	12%	28%	2%	38%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	35%	19%	11%	28%	2%	40%
PTI	5%	29%	24%	20%	21%	1%	34%
PPP	5%	37%	25%	10%	21%	3%	42%
All others	7%	30%	26%	14%	21%	2%	37%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 17: Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as electricity and gas bills), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 56%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 21: Utility Bills Collection (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as electricity and gas bills), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8%	48%	22%	11%	10%	1%	56%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	52%	21%	11%	6%	1%	61%
Female	8%	43%	23%	10%	15%	2%	51%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	47%	21%	11%	10%	0%	58%
Middle (30-50)	8%	49%	22%	11%	9%	1%	57%
Old (50+)	5%	46%	24%	11%	13%	2%	51%
Household Income-wise							
Low	9%	47%	20%	10%	13%	1%	56%
Medium	10%	49%	21%	11%	7%	1%	59%
High	8%	50%	26%	9%	6%	0%	58%
Don't Know	5%	47%	26%	10%	12%	1%	52%
No Answer	4%	40%	25%	22%	8%	1%	44%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	7%	36%	24%	18%	14%	1%	43%
KP	22%	36%	19%	12%	11%	1%	58%
Punjab	6%	55%	18%	10%	10%	1%	61%
Sindh	7%	42%	33%	9%	8%	1%	49%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	9%	52%	26%	8%	5%	1%	61%
Rural	8%	46%	20%	12%	13%	1%	54%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	57%	16%	8%	10%	1%	65%
PTI	13%	39%	25%	13%	10%	0%	52%
PPP	5%	48%	27%	9%	11%	1%	53%
All others	9%	39%	27%	14%	10%	2%	48%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'good'

October 2015

Figure 18: Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 25%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 22: Management of Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	20%	34%	38%	3%	0%	25%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	19%	35%	39%	2%	0%	24%
Female	4%	20%	33%	37%	5%	0%	24%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	19%	33%	39%	3%	0%	24%
Middle (30-50)	5%	20%	33%	39%	3%	1%	25%
Old (50+)	3%	18%	37%	37%	5%	1%	21%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	19%	33%	39%	4%	1%	24%
Medium	4%	19%	32%	43%	2%	0%	23%
High	5%	20%	38%	33%	4%	0%	25%
Don't Know	4%	15%	40%	35%	5%	0%	19%
No Answer	1%	38%	27%	29%	4%	1%	39%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	1%	10%	29%	59%	1%	1%	11%
KP	14%	25%	26%	31%	4%	0%	39%
Punjab	4%	22%	35%	35%	4%	0%	26%
Sindh	3%	15%	36%	43%	3%	1%	18%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	5%	17%	41%	35%	3%	0%	22%
Rural	5%	21%	30%	40%	4%	1%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	23%	35%	33%	3%	0%	28%
PTI	4%	17%	35%	40%	3%	0%	21%
PPP	3%	17%	32%	43%	4%	2%	20%
All others	5%	17%	32%	43%	3%	0%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101)

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 19: Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 44%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 23: Development Programmes (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9%	35%	21%	11%	23%	1%	44%
Gender-wise							
Male	10%	34%	24%	13%	19%	1%	44%
Female	9%	35%	19%	9%	28%	2%	44%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	10%	34%	22%	11%	22%	1%	44%
Middle (30-50)	8%	36%	21%	11%	22%	1%	44%
Old (50+)	10%	31%	19%	10%	28%	1%	41%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	29%	23%	13%	26%	2%	37%
Medium	8%	41%	21%	11%	19%	1%	49%
High	16%	43%	18%	8%	14%	1%	59%
Don't Know	8%	36%	11%	10%	36%	0%	44%
No Answer	2%	25%	31%	9%	33%	1%	27%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	21%	37%	21%	16%	1%	25%
KP	14%	35%	20%	12%	19%	1%	49%
Punjab	11%	39%	14%	8%	28%	1%	50%
Sindh	5%	29%	33%	14%	17%	2%	34%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	11%	40%	23%	9%	16%	2%	51%
Rural	8%	32%	21%	12%	27%	1%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	13%	39%	15%	7%	24%	1%	52%
PTI	9%	33%	23%	14%	21%	0%	42%
PPP	3%	28%	31%	15%	22%	2%	31%
All others	6%	32%	25%	13%	22%	1%	38%

Notes:

Age: **Young:** Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: **Low:** Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 20: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 26%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 24: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	21%	21%	11%	39%	3%	26%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	24%	24%	13%	30%	2%	30%
Female	4%	18%	18%	9%	48%	4%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	24%	20%	12%	36%	2%	30%
Middle (30-50)	5%	21%	22%	11%	38%	4%	26%
Old (50+)	3%	18%	23%	10%	44%	2%	21%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	21%	19%	12%	39%	4%	27%
Medium	4%	22%	24%	10%	37%	2%	26%
High	4%	25%	26%	9%	33%	2%	29%
Don't Know	4%	12%	19%	12%	52%	2%	16%
No Answer	1%	22%	15%	14%	43%	4%	23%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	2%	18%	34%	14%	28%	5%	20%
KP	15%	24%	14%	15%	31%	1%	39%
Punjab	3%	19%	16%	10%	49%	3%	22%
Sindh	4%	26%	33%	11%	22%	4%	30%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	5%	23%	31%	12%	28%	3%	28%
Rural	5%	20%	17%	11%	44%	3%	25%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	24%	16%	9%	45%	2%	29%
PTI	3%	20%	23%	16%	35%	3%	23%
PPP	5%	24%	25%	9%	33%	5%	29%
All others	5%	17%	26%	14%	35%	3%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 21: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 34%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 25: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8%	26%	30%	24%	11%	1%	34%
Gender-wise							
Male	8%	24%	33%	27%	8%	1%	32%
Female	8%	29%	27%	22%	14%	1%	37%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	25%	31%	26%	10%	1%	33%
Middle (30-50)	8%	27%	29%	25%	11%	1%	35%
Old (50+)	8%	23%	33%	21%	14%	2%	31%
Household Income-wise							
Low	9%	22%	29%	26%	13%	2%	31%
Medium	9%	32%	28%	22%	8%	1%	41%
High	6%	30%	34%	22%	7%	1%	36%
Don't Know	7%	21%	29%	26%	15%	2%	28%
No Answer	3%	27%	34%	24%	10%	1%	30%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	1%	12%	45%	32%	8%	2%	13%
KP	26%	25%	26%	16%	7%	0%	51%
Punjab	7%	30%	28%	20%	15%	1%	37%
Sindh	4%	21%	32%	37%	5%	1%	25%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	7%	28%	32%	25%	6%	1%	35%
Rural	8%	25%	29%	24%	13%	1%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	7%	33%	27%	20%	12%	1%	40%
PTI	11%	23%	28%	26%	10%	2%	34%
PPP	4%	25%	31%	30%	8%	2%	29%
All others	9%	18%	34%	28%	10%	1%	27%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 22: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Federal Government, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 26: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Federal Government, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	4%	24%	21%	9%	40%	3%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	24%	24%	11%	35%	3%	28%
Female	3%	23%	18%	7%	45%	4%	26%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	27%	21%	8%	37%	3%	31%
Middle (30-50)	4%	24%	21%	9%	39%	3%	28%
Old (50+)	3%	18%	21%	10%	46%	4%	21%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	21%	22%	9%	40%	5%	25%
Medium	4%	27%	21%	10%	36%	3%	31%
High	3%	29%	24%	7%	36%	2%	32%
Don't Know	2%	13%	19%	11%	54%	1%	15%
No Answer	1%	28%	11%	9%	47%	4%	29%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	2%	26%	26%	13%	27%	5%	28%
KP	12%	33%	18%	8%	29%	1%	45%
Punjab	2%	22%	16%	7%	50%	3%	24%
Sindh	3%	22%	33%	13%	25%	5%	25%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	4%	27%	23%	10%	34%	3%	31%
Rural	3%	22%	20%	9%	42%	4%	25%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	3%	25%	16%	7%	48%	3%	28%
PTI	4%	19%	26%	9%	39%	3%	23%
PPP	3%	24%	28%	9%	32%	3%	27%
All others	4%	23%	24%	12%	32%	5%	27%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 23: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 48%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 27: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	11%	37%	15%	6%	29%	3%	48%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	35%	19%	7%	26%	3%	46%
Female	10%	39%	11%	4%	33%	3%	49%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	12%	39%	15%	6%	26%	3%	51%
Middle (30-50)	10%	36%	15%	6%	30%	3%	46%
Old (50+)	10%	32%	16%	4%	35%	3%	42%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	33%	14%	8%	31%	5%	43%
Medium	14%	42%	18%	3%	21%	1%	56%
High	11%	45%	17%	4%	23%	1%	56%
Don't Know	6%	29%	12%	4%	49%	1%	35%
No Answer	6%	27%	12%	7%	46%	3%	33%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	5%	32%	24%	13%	24%	2%	37%
KP	24%	34%	15%	6%	21%	1%	58%
Punjab	10%	41%	7%	4%	34%	4%	51%
Sindh	6%	29%	30%	7%	26%	3%	35%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	12%	46%	18%	3%	18%	3%	58%
Rural	10%	32%	14%	7%	35%	3%	42%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	11%	44%	8%	4%	30%	3%	55%
PTI	11%	35%	19%	5%	28%	2%	46%
PPP	6%	29%	23%	8%	32%	4%	35%
All others	12%	30%	20%	7%	28%	3%	42%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 24: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 28: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	6%	34%	29%	16%	14%	1%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	31%	32%	20%	11%	1%	37%
Female	7%	38%	26%	11%	16%	1%	45%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	35%	24%	18%	14%	1%	43%
Middle (30-50)	6%	36%	30%	15%	13%	1%	42%
Old (50+)	5%	30%	36%	13%	15%	1%	35%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	34%	32%	15%	12%	1%	40%
Medium	8%	37%	24%	16%	15%	1%	45%
High	6%	37%	29%	13%	15%	0%	43%
Don't Know	4%	27%	37%	18%	13%	1%	31%
No Answer	5%	32%	21%	23%	19%	2%	37%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	30%	33%	26%	8%	0%	34%
KP	20%	36%	23%	11%	10%	1%	56%
Punjab	5%	36%	27%	15%	16%	1%	41%
Sindh	3%	31%	37%	15%	13%	2%	34%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	5%	38%	28%	10%	19%	2%	43%
Rural	7%	33%	30%	18%	11%	1%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	38%	27%	15%	15%	1%	44%
PTI	5%	34%	31%	11%	17%	1%	39%
PPP	5%	34%	35%	18%	7%	1%	39%
All others	8%	31%	29%	17%	14%	1%	39%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 25: Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 33%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 29: Environmental Sustainability (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	28%	36%	21%	10%	1%	33%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	28%	36%	22%	8%	1%	33%
Female	6%	29%	35%	19%	11%	1%	35%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	27%	36%	23%	7%	1%	33%
Middle (30-50)	5%	29%	35%	21%	9%	1%	34%
Old (50+)	5%	27%	38%	16%	14%	1%	32%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	27%	34%	21%	12%	1%	32%
Medium	7%	30%	36%	20%	7%	1%	37%
High	4%	32%	42%	16%	5%	0%	36%
Don't Know	4%	23%	30%	22%	20%	1%	27%
No Answer	0%	33%	26%	34%	6%	2%	33%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	1%	20%	40%	33%	6%	1%	21%
KP	21%	33%	21%	19%	5%	1%	54%
Punjab	3%	29%	35%	19%	13%	1%	32%
Sindh	3%	27%	42%	21%	6%	2%	30%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	5%	28%	42%	17%	7%	1%	33%
Rural	5%	28%	33%	22%	11%	1%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	33%	33%	17%	12%	1%	37%
PTI	7%	27%	37%	20%	8%	1%	34%
PPP	3%	31%	32%	21%	11%	2%	34%
All others	6%	22%	40%	25%	6%	1%	28%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 26: Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 30: Disaster Preparedness and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9%	29%	29%	17%	16%	1%	38%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	28%	29%	19%	15%	1%	37%
Female	9%	29%	29%	15%	17%	2%	38%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	30%	29%	19%	13%	1%	39%
Middle (30-50)	9%	28%	29%	18%	16%	1%	37%
Old (50+)	10%	28%	28%	12%	21%	2%	38%
Household Income-wise							
Low	9%	27%	25%	17%	20%	2%	36%
Medium	8%	31%	32%	17%	11%	1%	39%
High	11%	32%	35%	15%	8%	0%	43%
Don't Know	8%	26%	21%	13%	32%	1%	34%
No Answer	6%	25%	23%	34%	11%	2%	31%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	8%	28%	32%	28%	5%	0%	36%
KP	22%	27%	26%	19%	7%	0%	49%
Punjab	8%	33%	26%	12%	19%	1%	41%
Sindh	4%	19%	35%	24%	17%	2%	23%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	8%	25%	35%	17%	14%	1%	33%
Rural	9%	31%	26%	17%	17%	1%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	36%	25%	11%	17%	1%	46%
PTI	12%	23%	30%	19%	14%	2%	35%
PPP	4%	27%	27%	20%	20%	2%	31%
All others	8%	22%	34%	22%	14%	1%	30%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 27: Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 85%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 31: Immunization of Children (All Over Pakistan)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	38%	47%	8%	4%	2%	1%	85%
Gender-wise							
Male	33%	49%	10%	6%	2%	0%	82%
Female	42%	45%	6%	3%	3%	1%	87%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	39%	45%	8%	5%	2%	1%	84%
Middle (30-50)	36%	49%	8%	4%	2%	1%	85%
Old (50+)	39%	47%	8%	3%	3%	1%	86%
Household Income-wise							
Low	33%	47%	10%	6%	3%	1%	80%
Medium	40%	47%	7%	4%	1%	0%	87%
High	45%	48%	4%	2%	1%	0%	93%
Don't Know	42%	42%	5%	5%	4%	1%	84%
No Answer	27%	56%	10%	3%	3%	1%	83%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	34%	46%	9%	9%	2%	1%	80%
KP	48%	40%	8%	3%	2%	0%	88%
Punjab	42%	47%	6%	3%	2%	1%	89%
Sindh	24%	54%	12%	7%	2%	0%	78%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	39%	52%	5%	3%	2%	0%	91%
Rural	37%	46%	9%	5%	3%	1%	83%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	47%	43%	6%	3%	2%	1%	90%
PTI	35%	48%	8%	6%	2%	1%	83%
PPP	19%	52%	16%	9%	3%	1%	71%
All others	34%	52%	7%	4%	2%	1%	86%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101)

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'.

October 2015

Figure 28: Devolution of Powers to The lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the performance of the Federal Government in establishing Local and Regional Government in Federal Areas (such as Islamabad, Cantonments and FATA) has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 29%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 32: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the performance of the Federal Government in establishing Local and Regional Government in Federal Areas (such as Islamabad, Cantonments and FATA) has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	6%	23%	13%	6%	49%	3%	29%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	24%	17%	7%	44%	2%	30%
Female	7%	21%	9%	5%	55%	4%	28%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	25%	14%	6%	45%	4%	32%
Middle (30-50)	6%	23%	13%	6%	48%	3%	29%
Old (50+)	5%	18%	12%	5%	56%	4%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	20%	14%	8%	49%	4%	25%
Medium	7%	25%	13%	4%	49%	3%	32%
High	8%	27%	14%	5%	45%	2%	35%
Don't Know	6%	19%	7%	4%	60%	5%	25%
No Answer	3%	25%	16%	5%	49%	3%	28%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	12%	25%	12%	8%	38%	6%	37%
KP	15%	26%	17%	9%	31%	1%	41%
Punjab	4%	19%	10%	5%	60%	3%	23%
Sindh	5%	28%	19%	6%	37%	5%	33%

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	6%	24%	17%	4%	45%	4%	31%
Rural	6%	22%	12%	7%	51%	3%	28%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	21%	9%	4%	57%	3%	27%
PTI	7%	24%	18%	7%	42%	3%	31%
PPP	4%	31%	15%	6%	40%	4%	35%
All others	7%	22%	16%	8%	44%	4%	29%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 29: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether Federal Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among the Provinces has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 33: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether Federal Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among the Provinces has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan Average	4%	23%	21%	9%	39%	4%	27%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	25%	23%	12%	33%	2%	30%
Female	4%	21%	19%	6%	45%	5%	25%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	25%	21%	11%	35%	4%	30%
Middle (30-50)	4%	23%	21%	9%	39%	4%	27%
Old (50+)	4%	20%	21%	7%	45%	4%	24%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	20%	23%	11%	38%	4%	24%
Medium	6%	22%	20%	8%	40%	5%	28%
High	5%	28%	22%	7%	36%	2%	33%
Don't Know	4%	23%	13%	9%	48%	4%	27%
No Answer	3%	25%	15%	12%	43%	3%	28%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	3%	20%	32%	15%	26%	4%	23%
KP	14%	17%	22%	13%	33%	1%	31%
Punjab	3%	22%	17%	6%	48%	4%	25%
Sindh	2%	29%	27%	12%	26%	4%	31%

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	5%	28%	25%	6%	33%	4%	33%
Rural	4%	20%	19%	11%	42%	4%	24%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	23%	17%	6%	46%	4%	27%
PTI	5%	21%	23%	10%	38%	3%	26%
PPP	3%	32%	21%	13%	30%	3%	35%
All others	6%	19%	26%	12%	35%	3%	25%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 30: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the Federal Government's performance in making Provincial Governments autonomous after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 34: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the Federal Government's performance in making Provincial Governments autonomous after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	23%	14%	6%	47%	6%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	27%	19%	7%	38%	4%	32%
Female	5%	18%	9%	4%	56%	7%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	24%	15%	5%	43%	6%	31%
Middle (30-50)	4%	24%	13%	6%	47%	6%	28%
Old (50+)	5%	18%	14%	4%	55%	5%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	21%	14%	7%	48%	6%	25%
Medium	7%	23%	13%	4%	45%	8%	30%
High	6%	28%	16%	4%	43%	4%	34%
Don't Know	4%	19%	9%	5%	60%	2%	23%
No Answer	3%	27%	13%	7%	43%	8%	30%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	6%	26%	18%	5%	39%	5%	32%
KP	16%	28%	14%	7%	30%	5%	44%
Punjab	3%	19%	10%	4%	59%	5%	22%
Sindh	4%	28%	22%	8%	32%	7%	32%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	6%	26%	17%	4%	41%	6%	32%
Rural	5%	21%	13%	6%	50%	6%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	21%	11%	3%	56%	5%	25%
PTI	7%	20%	16%	10%	44%	4%	27%
PPP	3%	26%	14%	8%	41%	8%	29%
All others	8%	24%	17%	7%	39%	6%	32%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'good'

October 2015

Figure 31: Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Federal



Approval Rating 2015 = 38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 35: Access to Efficient Justice System (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	31%	18%	9%	32%	3%	38%
Gender-wise							
Male	8%	33%	21%	10%	27%	2%	41%
Female	7%	30%	15%	8%	37%	4%	37%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	34%	17%	9%	29%	3%	43%
Middle (30-50)	7%	31%	18%	9%	32%	3%	38%
Old (50+)	5%	30%	17%	8%	36%	5%	35%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	29%	17%	9%	33%	4%	37%
Medium	6%	37%	19%	8%	28%	2%	43%
High	8%	36%	19%	8%	27%	2%	44%
Don't Know	5%	20%	17%	12%	43%	3%	25%
No Answer	4%	27%	16%	7%	40%	6%	31%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	29%	30%	13%	22%	3%	33%
KP	23%	35%	9%	6%	26%	1%	58%
Punjab	5%	32%	15%	10%	36%	3%	37%
Sindh	6%	28%	26%	7%	29%	5%	34%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	8%	35%	20%	8%	26%	3%	43%
Rural	7%	29%	17%	9%	35%	3%	36%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	7%	34%	15%	8%	34%	2%	41%
PTI	6%	33%	20%	10%	28%	3%	39%
PPP	6%	29%	25%	7%	30%	5%	35%
All others	9%	28%	18%	11%	31%	3%	37%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 32: National Security (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to effective execution of national defence and security policies, has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 53%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 36: National Security (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to effective execution of national defence and security policies, has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9%	44%	16%	7%	23%	2%	53%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	50%	16%	7%	15%	1%	61%
Female	7%	38%	15%	6%	31%	3%	45%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	43%	14%	7%	23%	2%	54%
Middle (30-50)	8%	46%	16%	7%	22%	2%	54%
Old (50+)	9%	41%	18%	4%	26%	2%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	39%	16%	9%	25%	3%	49%
Medium	9%	45%	19%	6%	20%	2%	54%
High	8%	59%	12%	3%	16%	1%	67%
Don't Know	8%	36%	12%	6%	37%	1%	44%
No Answer	4%	38%	21%	6%	30%	2%	43%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	8%	40%	19%	10%	20%	4%	48%
KP	21%	43%	14%	5%	16%	1%	64%
Punjab	7%	45%	14%	6%	27%	2%	52%
Sindh	7%	45%	21%	8%	18%	2%	52%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	10%	48%	18%	5%	18%	1%	58%
Rural	9%	42%	15%	7%	25%	2%	51%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	9%	48%	13%	5%	24%	2%	57%
PTI	9%	43%	24%	7%	18%	0%	52%
PPP	4%	44%	16%	8%	27%	1%	48%
All others	11%	40%	18%	8%	21%	2%	51%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 33: Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the Federal Government's performance regarding the foreign policy has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 44%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 37: Foreign Policy Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the Federal Government's performance regarding the foreign policy has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	37%	18%	6%	30%	3%	44%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	42%	20%	7%	20%	3%	51%
Female	5%	32%	15%	5%	40%	2%	37%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	37%	17%	6%	29%	2%	46%
Middle (30-50)	7%	39%	18%	6%	27%	3%	46%
Old (50+)	6%	32%	18%	6%	37%	2%	38%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	31%	18%	7%	33%	4%	39%
Medium	7%	44%	20%	5%	23%	2%	51%
High	8%	50%	15%	4%	22%	1%	58%
Don't Know	4%	27%	14%	7%	47%	2%	31%
No Answer	2%	32%	17%	9%	39%	1%	34%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	38%	20%	6%	25%	7%	42%
KP	17%	40%	19%	6%	19%	1%	57%
Punjab	6%	37%	13%	6%	35%	3%	43%
Sindh	5%	36%	26%	7%	24%	1%	41%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	9%	41%	22%	5%	22%	2%	50%
Rural	6%	35%	16%	7%	33%	3%	41%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	39%	12%	5%	33%	2%	47%
PTI	7%	36%	23%	8%	23%	2%	43%
PPP	5%	35%	20%	6%	33%	2%	40%
All others	7%	36%	22%	7%	25%	3%	43%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 34: Performance of Regulatory Bodies (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to making regulatory bodies independent and effective (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank, etc.) has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 30%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 38: Performance of Regulatory Bodies – (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to making regulatory bodies independent and effective (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank, etc.) has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	4%	26%	16%	6%	45%	3%	30%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	28%	20%	7%	38%	2%	32%
Female	4%	24%	12%	4%	52%	5%	28%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	28%	17%	5%	42%	3%	33%
Middle (30-50)	5%	26%	15%	6%	45%	3%	31%
Old (50+)	2%	21%	16%	5%	52%	4%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	23%	15%	6%	47%	4%	28%
Medium	4%	32%	17%	5%	39%	4%	36%
High	5%	28%	19%	4%	42%	2%	33%
Don't Know	1%	20%	10%	6%	59%	3%	21%
No Answer	3%	23%	18%	8%	47%	1%	26%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	23%	24%	6%	40%	5%	27%
KP	12%	33%	20%	2%	31%	2%	45%
Punjab	3%	21%	11%	5%	57%	4%	24%
Sindh	4%	34%	23%	9%	27%	3%	38%

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	4%	28%	19%	7%	39%	4%	32%
Rural	4%	25%	15%	5%	48%	3%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	3%	25%	12%	3%	53%	4%	28%
PTI	5%	21%	18%	8%	45%	3%	26%
PPP	4%	34%	18%	6%	35%	3%	38%
All others	5%	25%	21%	8%	39%	3%	30%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 35: Merit-Based Appointments in Regulatory Bodies (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to ensuring timely appointment of Heads and various employees of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank, etc.) based on merit, has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 39: Merit-Based Appointments in Regulatory Bodies (All Over Pakistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to ensuring timely appointment of Heads and various employees of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank, etc.) based on merit, has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	4%	24%	17%	7%	44%	4%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	25%	21%	8%	38%	3%	29%
Female	4%	22%	13%	6%	50%	5%	26%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	26%	18%	7%	40%	4%	31%
Middle (30-50)	5%	23%	17%	7%	43%	4%	28%
Old (50+)	2%	20%	16%	6%	51%	4%	22%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	21%	15%	8%	46%	5%	25%
Medium	4%	29%	20%	4%	39%	4%	33%
High	5%	25%	20%	6%	41%	3%	30%
Don't Know	2%	16%	16%	10%	55%	2%	18%
No Answer	1%	21%	19%	10%	47%	1%	22%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	3%	19%	27%	8%	38%	6%	22%
KP	11%	31%	18%	7%	31%	3%	42%
Punjab	4%	20%	11%	6%	55%	5%	24%
Sindh	3%	30%	28%	11%	27%	3%	33%

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	4%	26%	21%	8%	38%	4%	30%
Rural	4%	22%	16%	7%	47%	4%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	23%	12%	4%	53%	4%	27%
PTI	4%	20%	17%	9%	45%	5%	24%
PPP	4%	26%	27%	7%	33%	3%	30%
All others	5%	24%	20%	10%	36%	5%	29%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 36: Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past two years, with regards to controlling inflation, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 33%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 40: Management of Inflation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past two years, with regards to controlling inflation, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8%	25%	25%	39%	3%	1%	33%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	27%	25%	36%	2%	1%	36%
Female	7%	22%	25%	42%	4%	1%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	24%	25%	39%	2%	1%	33%
Middle (30-50)	8%	26%	24%	39%	3%	1%	33%
Old (50+)	8%	23%	27%	39%	3%	0%	31%
Household Income-wise							
Low	9%	22%	23%	42%	4%	1%	31%
Medium	7%	26%	25%	39%	2%	1%	33%
High	10%	28%	28%	33%	1%	0%	38%
Don't Know	6%	25%	32%	34%	3%	1%	31%
No Answer	3%	24%	20%	45%	8%	1%	27%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	7%	17%	21%	51%	2%	3%	24%
KP	13%	18%	22%	42%	4%	0%	31%
Punjab	9%	29%	25%	33%	3%	1%	38%
Sindh	3%	20%	28%	46%	2%	1%	23%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	6%	26%	27%	38%	2%	1%	32%
Rural	9%	24%	23%	40%	3%	1%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	11%	31%	24%	31%	2%	0%	42%
PTI	6%	16%	27%	49%	2%	1%	22%
PPP	6%	19%	27%	42%	4%	2%	25%
All others	5%	21%	24%	45%	3%	1%	26%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 37: Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question:In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past two years, with regards to the improvement and management of water resources, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 41: Water Resources Development and Management (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past two years, with regards to the improvement and management of water resources, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	6%	32%	33%	21%	7%	1%	38%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	34%	32%	22%	6%	0%	40%
Female	6%	30%	35%	19%	9%	1%	36%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	34%	32%	21%	6%	0%	40%
Middle (30-50)	6%	32%	34%	20%	7%	1%	38%
Old (50+)	6%	29%	35%	21%	10%	0%	35%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	29%	31%	22%	9%	1%	37%
Medium	5%	36%	33%	19%	6%	1%	41%
High	6%	34%	39%	16%	5%	0%	40%
Don't Know	3%	31%	36%	21%	8%	0%	34%
No Answer	6%	27%	32%	27%	7%	1%	33%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	19%	37%	37%	3%	1%	23%
KP	14%	41%	24%	17%	5%	0%	55%
Punjab	6%	36%	32%	16%	10%	1%	42%
Sindh	4%	24%	42%	27%	4%	1%	28%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	6%	32%	37%	20%	4%	0%	38%
Rural	6%	32%	32%	21%	9%	1%	38%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	38%	31%	16%	9%	0%	44%
PTI	7%	27%	35%	25%	5%	1%	34%
PPP	6%	30%	38%	18%	8%	1%	36%
All others	6%	26%	35%	26%	6%	1%	32%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2013 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2013 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

**Quality of Governance – Balochistan Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Balochistan**

October 2015

Table 42: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance- Balochistan

No.	Indicator	Approval Rating 2015	Approval Rating 2014
1	Law and Order	44%	46%
2	Poverty Alleviation	13%	19%
3	Education	45%	41%
4	Healthcare	35%	42%
5	Transparency	24%	39%
6	Energy Production and Management	18%	40%
7	Anti-Corruption	19%	36%
8	Investment Friendliness	32%	32%
9	Tax Collection	33%	35%
10	Utility Bills Collection	39%	45%
11	Management of Unemployment	9%	19%
12	Development Programmes	25%	45%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	25%	36%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	18%	24%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	26%	36%
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	33%	30%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	31%	41%
18	Environmental Sustainability	20%	44%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	32%	48%
20	Immunization of Children	79%	50%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments	50%	57%
22	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	27%	N/A

October 2015

23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power	25%	N/A
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	32%	31%
25	Public Transport	30%	60%
26	Access to Safe Drinking Water	20%	52%
27	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	14%	38%
28	Water Resources Development and Management	21%	39%

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 44% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 44% gave a positive rating while 54% gave a negative rating to the Provincial Government regarding the issue of law and order. The overall Approval Rating is therefore at 44%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 13% provide Approval Rating in 2015

13% provided a positive rating to the alleviation of poverty under the current Government while a large majority of 84% of the Balochi people provided a negative rating. The overall Approval Rating is therefore only 13%.

Public Opinion on Education - 45% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 45% of the Balochi public gave positive ratings to education under the Provincial Government while 51% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Health Care - 35% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the effectiveness of health care system stands at an overall 35% of the public in approval. On the other hand, a majority 63% of the public gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 24% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of transparency, 24% of the respondents viewed Government organizations as positive while 50% rated it negatively. Hence the overall Approval Rating in 2015 stood at a mere 24% of the Balochi respondents.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management - 18% provide Approval Rating in 2015

18% of the respondents rated energy production and

management positively while a majority 79% of the Balochi public rated it negatively. The Approval Rating in 2015 stood at just 18%.

Public Opinion on Anti- Corruption - 19% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that only 19% people rated anti-corruption efforts as positive while 68% viewed them as negative. The Approval Rating in 2015 for actions taken against corruption is therefore 19%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 32% provide Approval Rating in 2015

32% of those questioned rated the investment friendliness efforts as positive and 46% as negative. Hence the Approval Rating meter stands out at 32% of the public of Balochistan.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 33% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's perception of the tax collection tactics of the Provincial Government was not very favourable with 47% of the people in disapproval. The Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be just 33% of the sample population.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 39% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of utility bills collection, 39% rated it positive while 47% were negative about it. The Approval Rating for this category was therefore 39%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 9% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 9% of the public gave positive ratings to the management of unemployment by the Provincial Government while an outright majority of 88% gave a negative rating. Thus, the Government's overall Approval Rating in 2015 regarding the handling of unemployment was the lowest at just 9% of the population of Balochistan

October 2015

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 25% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that 25% people rated development programmes as positive while 65% of the people rated them as negative. The Approval Rating for development programmes of the Provincial Government therefore stands at 25% in 2015.

Public Opinion on Quality & Independence of Civil Service - 25% provide Approval Rating in 2015

25% of the public provided a positive rating for the quality & independence of civil service under the current Government while 44% provided a negative rating. The Approval Rating is therefore 25% in 2015.

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions - 18% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on merit-based recruitments and promotions was below 50%, hence a majority of the public was in disapproval of the system of merit-based hiring by the Provincial Government. Only 18% of the respondents gave a positive rating while 72% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 26% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 26% of the public gave positive ratings to the clean, efficient and economic public procurements while 45% gave a negative rating. Thus the Approval Rating in 2015 is 26%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies for Better Governance - 33% provide Approval Rating in 2015

33% of the people rated the use of technologies for better governance as positive while 44% rated it negatively. The overall Approval Rating in 2015 was 33% of the Balochi public.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 31% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Approval Rating in 2015 was 31% of the respondents as this proportion positively rated the management of supply of agricultural inputs. Meanwhile, 63% people rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Environment Sustainability - 20% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts towards environment sustainability was rated positively at a mere 20% and negatively at 75% of the population of the province.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 32% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 32% of the surveyed public gave positive ratings to the disaster preparedness & management of the Provincial Government while 63% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 79% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that a resounding majority 79% of the respondents rated immunization of children positively, making it the highest ranked indicator of the Provincial Government. 21% of the people viewed the policies on immunization negatively.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Establishment of Local Governments - 51% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the establishment of local governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 51% of the public. 25% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments - 27% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the distribution of resources to local governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 27% of the public. 43% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Effective Devolution of Power - 25% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the effective devolution of power, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 25% of the Balochi public. 31% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 32% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the access to efficient justice system is less than fifty percent as only 32% of the surveyed public gave it a positive rating. 41% of the public rated it negatively.

October 2015

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 30% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Government received positive ratings from 30% of the respondents for its performance regarding public transport, while 67% of the respondents gave negative ratings. The Approval Rating in 2015 stands at 30% of the people of the Province.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water- 20% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Regarding the Government's performance on supply of access to safe drinking water, 20% of the respondents gave a positive rating while the majority of the people were of a negative opinion (78%). The Approval Rating in 2015 is therefore very low at just 20% of the population of the Province.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 14% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Only 14% of the respondents gave positive ratings while a resounding 80% gave negative ratings to the Governments for its performance on sanitation.


Public Opinion on Water Resources Development and Management - 21% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 21% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Provincial Government for its performance on development and management of irrigation water. On the other hand, 73% gave negative ratings. Hence, the Approval Rating for this indicator was rather low in 2015; at a mere 21% of the population.

October 2015

Figure 38: Law and Order (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 44%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 43: Law and Order (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	7%	37%	27%	27%	1%	1%	44%
Gender-wise							
Male	8%	38%	25%	26%	2%	1%	46%
Female	6%	35%	30%	28%	1%	1%	41%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	36%	28%	33%	0%	0%	39%
Middle (30-50)	10%	38%	25%	23%	2%	1%	48%
Old (50+)	11%	28%	33%	17%	6%	6%	39%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	39%	29%	25%	1%	2%	44%
Medium	6%	30%	31%	32%	0%	1%	36%
High	11%	42%	22%	22%	2%	0%	53%
Don't Know	10%	0%	20%	50%	20%	0%	10%
No Answer	17%	67%	17%	0%	0%	0%	84%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	24%	36%	32%	0%	0%	32%
Rural	7%	38%	27%	26%	2%	1%	45%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	52%	24%	20%	0%	0%	56%
PTI	0%	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	25%
PPP	8%	75%	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%
All others	8%	31%	28%	30%	2%	1%	39%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 39: Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 13%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 44: Poverty Alleviation (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	2%	11%	41%	43%	2%	1%	13%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	9%	48%	38%	3%	1%	11%
Female	2%	14%	35%	49%	1%	0%	16%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	11%	33%	53%	1%	1%	13%
Middle (30-50)	2%	12%	46%	37%	2%	1%	14%
Old (50+)	6%	6%	61%	22%	6%	0%	12%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	11%	42%	42%	3%	1%	13%
Medium	2%	8%	34%	55%	0%	1%	10%
High	2%	13%	56%	29%	0%	0%	15%
Don't Know	0%	10%	30%	50%	10%	0%	10%
No Answer	8%	33%	42%	17%	0%	0%	41%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	16%	44%	40%	0%	0%	16%
Rural	2%	11%	41%	44%	2%	1%	13%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	14%	44%	40%	0%	0%	16%
PTI	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
PPP	0%	0%	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%
All others	2%	12%	40%	43%	2%	1%	14%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 40: Education (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 45%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 45: Education (Balochistan)

Question:The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	9%	36%	23%	28%	2%	1%	45%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	37%	25%	25%	3%	1%	46%
Female	9%	35%	22%	31%	1%	1%	44%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	33%	25%	32%	3%	1%	41%
Middle (30-50)	10%	40%	23%	25%	1%	1%	50%
Old (50+)	17%	33%	17%	22%	6%	6%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	32%	21%	34%	3%	3%	39%
Medium	6%	39%	29%	26%	0%	0%	45%
High	11%	44%	22%	22%	0%	0%	55%
Don't Know	30%	0%	20%	20%	20%	10%	30%
No Answer	25%	58%	0%	17%	0%	0%	83%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	36%	28%	28%	0%	0%	44%
Rural	9%	36%	23%	28%	2%	2%	45%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	14%	50%	14%	20%	2%	0%	64%
PTI	25%	17%	25%	33%	0%	0%	42%
PPP	8%	67%	17%	8%	0%	0%	75%
All others	7%	32%	26%	31%	2%	2%	39%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 41: Healthcare (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 35%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 46: Healthcare (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	9%	26%	32%	31%	3%	0%	35%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	27%	35%	30%	0%	1%	36%
Female	9%	25%	29%	32%	5%	0%	34%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	22%	34%	33%	3%	1%	29%
Middle (30-50)	10%	29%	31%	29%	2%	0%	39%
Old (50+)	17%	22%	28%	33%	0%	0%	39%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	28%	33%	29%	4%	0%	33%
Medium	7%	22%	29%	39%	2%	1%	29%
High	18%	20%	44%	18%	0%	0%	38%
Don't Know	0%	30%	0%	70%	0%	0%	30%
No Answer	33%	50%	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	40%	28%	28%	0%	0%	44%
Rural	9%	24%	32%	31%	3%	0%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	25%	41%	25%	0%	0%	35%
PTI	8%	8%	58%	25%	0%	0%	16%
PPP	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%
All others	9%	27%	26%	34%	3%	1%	36%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 42: Transparency (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 24%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 47: Transparency (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015 7 = (1+2)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	4%	20%	32%	18%	20%	6%	24%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	19%	33%	21%	18%	6%	23%
Female	3%	22%	31%	15%	22%	7%	25%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	24%	32%	21%	18%	4%	26%
Middle (30-50)	4%	20%	34%	14%	20%	8%	24%
Old (50+)	11%	0%	22%	28%	33%	6%	11%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	17%	31%	16%	22%	13%	19%
Medium	5%	18%	32%	19%	25%	2%	23%
High	4%	29%	33%	22%	9%	2%	33%
Don't Know	0%	10%	40%	30%	20%	0%	10%
No Answer	8%	58%	33%	0%	0%	0%	66%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	24%	40%	24%	8%	0%	28%
Rural	4%	20%	31%	17%	21%	7%	24%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	28%	34%	24%	6%	2%	34%
PTI	8%	8%	42%	33%	8%	0%	16%
PPP	0%	17%	50%	8%	25%	0%	17%
All others	3%	19%	30%	16%	24%	8%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 43: Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 18%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 48: Energy Production and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015 7 = (1+2)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	5%	13%	28%	51%	3%	0	18%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	10%	35%	48%	4%	0	14%
Female	6%	15%	22%	54%	3%	0	21%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	14%	24%	56%	3%	0	17%
Middle (30-50)	8%	11%	31%	48%	3%	0	19%
Old (50+)	0%	17%	33%	44%	6%	0	17%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	7%	26%	56%	3%	0	15%
Medium	1%	16%	28%	54%	2%	0	17%
High	7%	16%	29%	47%	2%	0	23%
Don't Know	0%	10%	30%	40%	20%	0	10%
No Answer	8%	42%	42%	8%	0%	0	50%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	32%	16%	52%	0%	0	32%
Rural	5%	11%	29%	51%	4%	0	16%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	16%	20%	56%	2%	0	22%
PTI	0%	17%	42%	42%	0%	0	17%
PPP	0%	25%	33%	33%	8%	0	25%
All others	5%	11%	29%	52%	3%	0	16%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 44: Anti-Corruption (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 19

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 49: Anti-Corruption (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	3%	16%	33%	35%	10%	2%	19%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	22%	28%	36%	7%	2%	27%
Female	1%	10%	38%	35%	13%	2%	11%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	12%	38%	42%	7%	2%	13%
Middle (30-50)	5%	18%	28%	34%	13%	3%	23%
Old (50+)	6%	28%	44%	11%	11%	0%	34%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	18%	42%	30%	8%	3%	19%
Medium	2%	12%	24%	44%	14%	3%	14%
High	9%	18%	31%	36%	7%	0%	27%
Don't Know	0%	10%	10%	50%	30%	0%	10%
No Answer	17%	25%	58%	0%	0%	0%	42%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	12%	36%	48%	4%	0%	12%
Rural	4%	17%	33%	34%	11%	2%	21%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	20%	26%	50%	4%	0%	20%
PTI	0%	8%	58%	25%	8%	0%	8%
PPP	0%	8%	67%	17%	8%	0%	8%
All others	4%	16%	31%	34%	12%	3%	20%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 45: Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 32%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 50: Investment Friendliness (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	4%	28%	30%	16%	22%	1%	32%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	26%	34%	18%	17%	1%	30%
Female	3%	31%	25%	13%	27%	1%	34%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	28%	31%	15%	23%	1%	31%
Middle (30-50)	3%	31%	27%	17%	21%	1%	34%
Old (50+)	6%	17%	39%	11%	28%	0%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	23%	32%	15%	25%	2%	26%
Medium	2%	40%	21%	16%	22%	0%	42%
High	4%	27%	40%	16%	13%	0%	31%
Don't Know	0%	0%	40%	20%	40%	0%	0%
No Answer	25%	25%	33%	8%	8%	0%	50%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	28%	44%	16%	12%	0%	28%
Rural	4%	29%	28%	16%	23%	1%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	34%	38%	14%	10%	2%	36%
PTI	0%	42%	50%	0%	8%	0%	42%
PPP	0%	33%	17%	25%	25%	0%	33%
All others	4%	26%	27%	17%	26%	1%	30%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 46: Tax Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 34%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 51: Tax Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	10%	24%	27%	20%	17%	4%	34%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	21%	31%	21%	14%	4%	31%
Female	10%	26%	22%	19%	19%	4%	36%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	10%	26%	28%	23%	10%	3%	36%
Middle (30-50)	10%	23%	26%	18%	19%	4%	33%
Old (50+)	6%	11%	22%	17%	39%	6%	17%
Household Income-wise							
Low	12%	20%	23%	23%	17%	5%	32%
Medium	6%	29%	30%	17%	16%	3%	35%
High	2%	22%	40%	20%	13%	2%	24%
Don't Know	40%	0%	20%	10%	30%	0%	40%
No Answer	17%	50%	0%	17%	17%	0%	67%
Location-wise							
Urban	12%	16%	40%	32%	0%	0%	28%
Rural	9%	25%	25%	19%	18%	4%	34%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	34%	24%	24%	6%	2%	44%
PTI	8%	17%	33%	33%	0%	8%	25%
PPP	0%	17%	33%	33%	8%	8%	17%
All others	10%	22%	27%	17%	20%	4%	32%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 47: Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 39%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 52: Utility Bills Collection (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	7%	32%	29%	18%	14%	1%	39%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	27%	31%	21%	16%	1%	33%
Female	8%	37%	27%	15%	12%	1%	45%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	34%	26%	19%	11%	2%	42%
Middle (30-50)	6%	32%	31%	18%	13%	1%	38%
Old (50+)	0%	22%	28%	11%	39%	0%	22%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	25%	36%	18%	16%	2%	29%
Medium	6%	37%	26%	18%	13%	0%	43%
High	9%	31%	29%	22%	7%	2%	40%
Don't Know	30%	20%	10%	10%	30%	0%	50%
No Answer	8%	83%	8%	0%	0%	0%	91%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	44%	20%	28%	0%	0%	52%
Rural	7%	31%	30%	17%	15%	1%	38%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	12%	44%	24%	18%	2%	0%	56%
PTI	0%	25%	67%	8%	0%	0%	25%
PPP	0%	25%	33%	25%	17%	0%	25%
All others	6%	30%	28%	18%	17%	1%	36%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 48: Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 9%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 53: Management of Unemployment (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	0%	9%	30%	58%	2%	0%	9%
Gender-wise							
Male	0%	9%	27%	63%	1%	1%	9%
Female	1%	10%	33%	54%	4%	0%	11%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	8%	27%	62%	2%	1%	9%
Middle (30-50)	0%	10%	32%	57%	2%	0%	10%
Old (50+)	0%	11%	39%	44%	6%	0%	11%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	3%	33%	60%	3%	0%	4%
Medium	0%	7%	32%	59%	1%	1%	7%
High	0%	22%	22%	56%	0%	0%	22%
Don't Know	0%	10%	0%	80%	10%	0%	10%
No Answer	0%	42%	33%	25%	0%	0%	42%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	16%	20%	64%	0%	0%	16%
Rural	0%	9%	31%	58%	2%	0%	9%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	16%	28%	56%	0%	0%	16%
PTI	0%	0%	42%	58%	0%	0%	0%
PPP	0%	8%	42%	50%	0%	0%	8%
All others	1%	8%	29%	59%	3%	1%	9%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 49: Development Programmes (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 25%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 54: Development Programmes (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	3%	22%	37%	28%	10%	0%	25%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	25%	39%	27%	6%	1%	27%
Female	4%	18%	34%	29%	14%	0%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	21%	40%	29%	8%	0%	23%
Middle (30-50)	4%	21%	34%	27%	13%	1%	25%
Old (50+)	6%	28%	33%	33%	0%	0%	34%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	20%	37%	31%	9%	1%	22%
Medium	1%	17%	41%	30%	12%	0%	18%
High	11%	24%	33%	24%	7%	0%	35%
Don't Know	0%	20%	40%	30%	10%	0%	20%
No Answer	8%	58%	17%	0%	17%	0%	66%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	16%	52%	24%	8%	0%	16%
Rural	4%	22%	35%	29%	10%	0%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	18%	42%	34%	2%	0%	22%
PTI	8%	33%	50%	8%	0%	0%	41%
PPP	0%	17%	58%	17%	8%	0%	17%
All others	3%	22%	33%	29%	13%	1%	25%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 50: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 25%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 55: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	0%	24%	26%	18%	27%	4%	25%
Gender-wise							
Male	1%	26%	29%	19%	24%	1%	27%
Female	1%	21%	24%	16%	30%	8%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	19%	32%	20%	24%	4%	21%
Middle (30-50)	1%	28%	24%	16%	26%	5%	29%
Old (50+)	0%	22%	11%	11%	56%	0%	22%
Household Income-wise							
Low	0%	20%	26%	20%	30%	5%	20%
Medium	0%	21%	31%	17%	29%	3%	21%
High	7%	31%	29%	13%	18%	2%	38%
Don't Know	0%	10%	20%	20%	50%	0%	10%
No Answer	0%	67%	0%	17%	0%	17%	67%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	20%	56%	12%	12%	0%	20%
Rural	1%	24%	24%	18%	29%	5%	25%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	36%	30%	20%	10%	4%	36%
PTI	0%	8%	50%	33%	0%	8%	8%
PPP	0%	33%	25%	17%	25%	0%	33%
All others	1%	21%	24%	16%	33%	4%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 51: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 18%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 56: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	3%	15%	34%	38%	7%	2%	18%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	14%	33%	40%	5%	4%	19%
Female	1%	17%	36%	37%	9%	0%	18%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	11%	36%	43%	8%	2%	13%
Middle (30-50)	3%	19%	34%	36%	8%	1%	22%
Old (50+)	11%	22%	22%	33%	0%	11%	33%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	13%	44%	31%	8%	3%	15%
Medium	2%	11%	32%	45%	8%	1%	13%
High	9%	27%	20%	42%	2%	0%	36%
Don't Know	0%	10%	10%	60%	10%	10%	10%
No Answer	8%	33%	42%	17%	0%	0%	41%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	12%	20%	68%	0%	0%	12%
Rural	4%	16%	36%	35%	8%	2%	20%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	28%	22%	46%	0%	0%	32%
PTI	0%		25%	75%	0%	0%	0%
PPP	0%	25%	42%	17%	17%	0%	25%
All others	3%	13%	37%	36%	9%	2%	16%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+Good'

October 2015

Figure 52: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 26%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 57: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	3%	23%	25%	20%	24%	5%	26%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	18%	27%	24%	26%	3%	21%
Female	2%	29%	24%	16%	23%	7%	31%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	23%	29%	23%	19%	4%	26%
Middle (30-50)	2%	25%	21%	19%	30%	4%	27%
Old (50+)	6%	6%	39%	17%	17%	17%	12%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	20%	26%	16%	31%	7%	21%
Medium	2%	26%	24%	25%	20%	4%	28%
High	4%	27%	27%	22%	18%	2%	31%
Don't Know	0%	20%	30%	20%	30%	0%	20%
No Answer	17%	33%	25%	0%	17%	8%	50%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	24%	40%	16%	20%	0%	24%
Rural	3%	23%	24%	20%	25%	5%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	32%	18%	30%	14%	0%	38%
PTI	0%	0%	50%	33%	8%	8%	0%
PPP	0%	25%	42%	8%	25%	0%	25%
All others	2%	22%	25%	18%	28%	6%	24%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 53: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 33%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 58: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	6%	27%	27%	17%	22%	2%	33%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	18%	33%	22%	18%	4%	23%
Female	6%	35%	22%	11%	26%	0%	41%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	28%	30%	17%	20%	1%	33%
Middle (30-50)	6%	26%	26%	16%	23%	2%	32%
Old (50+)	6%	28%	22%	11%	28%	6%	34%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	27%	23%	21%	22%	3%	30%
Medium	3%	29%	32%	11%	25%	0%	32%
High	11%	24%	31%	16%	16%	2%	35%
Don't Know	0%	10%	30%	10%	50%	0%	10%
No Answer	33%	33%	25%	8%	0%	0%	66%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	44%	44%	4%	8%	0%	44%
Rural	6%	25%	26%	18%	24%	2%	31%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	40%	38%	6%	12%	0%	44%
PTI	0%	42%	33%	8%	17%	0%	42%
PPP	8%	25%	17%	33%	17%	0%	33%
All others	6%	23%	25%	19%	25%	2%	29%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 54: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 31%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 59: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	5%	26%	32%	31%	5%	3%	31%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	16%	38%	36%	4%	2%	20%
Female	6%	35%	26%	25%	6%	4%	41%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	23%	28%	37%	6%	4%	26%
Middle (30-50)	6%	28%	34%	27%	3%	2%	34%
Old (50+)	6%	28%	39%	17%	11%	0%	34%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	27%	36%	26%	3%	5%	30%
Medium	4%	31%	23%	34%	8%	0%	35%
High	9%	9%	42%	36%	0%	4%	18%
Don't Know	0%	20%	10%	50%	20%	0%	20%
No Answer	17%	42%	33%	0%	8%	0%	59%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	36%	20%	36%	4%	4%	36%
Rural	5%	25%	33%	30%	5%	3%	30%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	30%	38%	20%	6%	0%	36%
PTI	0%	17%	17%	25%	17%	25%	17%
PPP	8%	17%	50%	17%	0%	8%	25%
All others	4%	26%	30%	34%	4%	2%	30%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 55: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 20%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 60: Environmental Sustainability (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	4%	16%	36%	39%	5%	1%	20%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	11%	38%	39%	6%	1%	16%
Female	2%	19%	35%	40%	4%	0%	21%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	16%	31%	48%	4%	1%	17%
Middle (30-50)	6%	15%	41%	33%	5%	1%	21%
Old (50+)	6%	17%	39%	33%	6%	0%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	0%	9%	46%	40%	4%	1%	9%
Medium	6%	20%	30%	41%	2%	1%	26%
High	7%	20%	22%	44%	7%	0%	27%
Don't Know	0%	10%	40%	20%	30%	0%	10%
No Answer	8%	33%	42%	17%	0%	0%	41%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	16%	36%	48%	0%	0%	16%
Rural	4%	15%	36%	39%	5%	1%	19%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	14%	37%	41%	4%	0%	18%
PTI	0%	17%	17%	67%	0%	0%	17%
PPP	0%	33%	50%	17%	0%	0%	33%
All others	4%	15%	37%	39%	5%	1%	19%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+Good'

October 2015

Figure 56: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 32%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 61: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	10%	22%	32%	31%	4%	1%	32%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	26%	28%	33%	4%	1%	35%
Female	11%	19%	36%	29%	4%	1%	30%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	13%	33%	38%	5%	0%	24%
Middle (30-50)	8%	30%	30%	27%	3%	1%	38%
Old (50+)	22%	22%	39%	17%	0%	0%	44%
Household Income-wise							
Low	12%	22%	30%	31%	4%	2%	34%
Medium	8%	17%	38%	33%	4%	0%	25%
High	13%	33%	20%	33%	0%	0%	46%
Don't Know	0%	20%	20%	40%	20%	0%	20%
No Answer	8%	33%	50%	8%	0%	0%	41%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	24%	28%	48%	0%	0%	24%
Rural	11%	22%	32%	30%	4%	1%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	16%	20%	28%	34%	2%	0%	36%
PTI	17%	17%	17%	50%	0%	0%	34%
PPP	25%	33%	17%	17%	8%	0%	58%
All others	8%	22%	35%	30%	4%	1%	30%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 57: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 79%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 62: Immunization of Children (Balochistan)

Question:The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	38%	41%	11%	10%	1%	0%	79%
Gender-wise							
Male	36%	38%	14%	11%	1%	1%	74%
Female	39%	44%	7%	9%	1%	0%	83%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	33%	45%	10%	12%	0%	0%	78%
Middle (30-50)	38%	40%	11%	10%	1%	1%	78%
Old (50+)	61%	28%	11%	0%	0%	0%	89%
Household Income-wise							
Low	39%	42%	9%	9%	1%	1%	81%
Medium	41%	38%	9%	10%	1%	0%	79%
High	24%	53%	16%	7%	0%	0%	77%
Don't Know	20%	20%	20%	40%	0%	0%	40%
No Answer	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	56%	16%	20%	0%	0%	64%
Rural	40%	40%	10%	9%	1%	0%	80%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	44%	34%	10%	12%	0%	0%	78%
PTI	25%	58%	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%
PPP	8%	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
All others	38%	39%	11%	10%	1%	1%	77%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 58: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Establishment of Local Governments (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 51%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 63: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Establishment of Local Governments (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	14%	37%	20%	5%	21%	4%	51%
Gender-wise							
Male	16%	38%	23%	4%	16%	4%	54%
Female	11%	35%	17%	6%	27%	4%	46%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	10%	34%	19%	8%	25%	4%	44%
Middle (30-50)	16%	39%	19%	3%	19%	4%	55%
Old (50+)	17%	33%	28%	0%	22%	0%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	32%	27%	5%	21%	4%	42%
Medium	10%	44%	13%	4%	25%	3%	54%
High	31%	36%	7%	9%	18%	0%	67%
Don't Know	10%	20%	10%	0%	40%	20%	30%
No Answer	17%	33%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	60%	16%	4%	4%	8%	68%
Rural	14%	34%	20%	5%	23%	4%	48%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	28%	40%	18%	8%	6%	0%	68%
PTI	17%	42%	8%	8%	25%	0%	59%
PPP	33%	42%	8%	0%	17%	0%	75%
All others	9%	35%	21%	4%	25%	5%	44%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 59: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among districts and various Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 =27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 64: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among districts and various Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	2%	25%	27%	16%	27%	4%	27%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	26%	32%	18%	20%	3%	28%
Female	1%	24%	22%	13%	34%	6%	25%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	0%	18%	32%	19%	27%	5%	18%
Middle (30-50)	2%	28%	24%	14%	29%	3%	30%
Old (50+)	11%	39%	17%	11%	17%	6%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	24%	31%	15%	27%	2%	26%
Medium	2%	28%	20%	12%	31%	7%	30%
High	0%	25%	32%	21%	21%	2%	25%
Don't Know	0%	0%	40%	10%	40%	10%	0%
No Answer	8%	25%	25%	25%	17%	0%	33%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	32%	36%	8%	24%	0%	32%
Rural	2%	24%	26%	16%	27%	5%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	26%	32%	18%	18%	6%	26%
PTI	0%	17%	42%	33%	8%	0%	17%
PPP	0%	17%	25%	25%	33%	0%	17%
All others	2%	25%	25%	13%	30%	4%	27%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 60: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 =25%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 65: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Effective Devolution of Power (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?
Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	2%	23%	25%	6%	40%	5%	25%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	24%	27%	7%	36%	3%	27%
Female	1%	22%	23%	5%	43%	6%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	24%	24%	5%	43%	3%	25%
Middle (30-50)	3%	23%	27%	8%	34%	6%	26%
Old (50+)	6%	11%	17%	0%	67%	0%	17%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	21%	26%	7%	40%	4%	23%
Medium	2%	19%	22%	3%	51%	4%	21%
High	2%	34%	32%	9%	18%	5%	36%
Don't Know	0%	0%	40%	10%	50%	0%	0%
No Answer	8%	50%	17%	0%	17%	8%	58%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	56%	16%	4%	24%	0%	56%
Rural	2%	19%	26%	6%	41%	5%	21%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	31%	27%	6%	33%	4%	31%
PTI	0%	58%	17%	0%	17%	8%	58%
PPP	0%	50%	17%	0%	33%	0%	50%
All others	3%	17%	26%	7%	43%	5%	20%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 61: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 32%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 66: Access to Efficient Justice System (Balochistan)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	5%	27%	27%	14%	23%	5%	32%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	16%	38%	19%	21%	4%	19%
Female	8%	38%	16%	9%	24%	6%	46%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	28%	26%	16%	20%	3%	36%
Middle (30-50)	3%	27%	28%	12%	23%	6%	30%
Old (50+)	6%	22%	22%	11%	39%	0%	28%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	27%	19%	16%	25%	9%	31%
Medium	5%	27%	30%	13%	24%	1%	32%
High	2%	24%	47%	11%	16%	0%	26%
Don't Know	0%	20%	30%	10%	40%	0%	20%
No Answer	33%	42%	8%	0%	8%	8%	75%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	40%	44%	0%	16%	0%	40%
Rural	6%	25%	25%	15%	24%	5%	31%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	44%	38%	4%	8%	0%	50%
PTI	8%	17%	50%	17%	8%	0%	25%
PPP	0%	42%	25%	17%	8%	8%	42%
All others	5%	22%	23%	16%	28%	6%	27%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 62: Public Transport (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 30%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 67: Public Transport (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	4%	26%	35%	32%	3%	0%	30%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	16%	39%	35%	5%	0%	20%
Female	4%	35%	31%	29%	1%	1%	39%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	24%	41%	30%	2%	0%	28%
Middle (30-50)	5%	28%	30%	32%	4%	1%	33%
Old (50+)	6%	17%	33%	39%	6%	0%	22%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	24%	33%	37%	4%	0%	27%
Medium	2%	32%	38%	26%	1%	1%	34%
High	7%	18%	38%	36%	2%	0%	25%
Don't Know	10%	20%	30%	30%	10%	0%	30%
No Answer	25%	33%	25%	17%	0%	0%	58%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	44%	40%	16%	0%	0%	44%
Rural	5%	24%	34%	33%	4%	0%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	28%	48%	16%	0%	0%	36%
PTI	8%	33%	25%	33%	0%	0%	41%
PPP	0%	17%	50%	25%	8%	0%	17%
All others	3%	25%	31%	36%	4%	1%	28%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 63: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 20%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 68: Access to Safe Drinking Water (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	3%	17%	34%	44%	2%	1%	20%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	13%	38%	41%	4%	1%	16%
Female	2%	20%	31%	46%	0%	1%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	16%	33%	48%	0%	1%	19%
Middle (30-50)	3%	15%	38%	41%	2%	1%	18%
Old (50+)	0%	39%	17%	33%	11%	0%	39%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	17%	37%	43%	2%	1%	18%
Medium	2%	18%	26%	51%	1%	2%	20%
High	7%	9%	44%	40%	0%	0%	16%
Don't Know	0%	20%	20%	50%	10%	0%	20%
No Answer	8%	33%	50%	8%	0%	0%	41%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	28%	32%	36%	0%	0%	32%
Rural	2%	16%	35%	45%	2%	1%	18%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	20%	40%	40%	0%	0%	20%
PTI	0%	42%	25%	33%	0%	0%	42%
PPP	0%	33%	42%	17%	8%	0%	33%
All others	3%	13%	33%	47%	2%	1%	16%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 64: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 69: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	1%	13%	31%	49%	5%	0%	14%
Gender-wise							
Male	1%	10%	31%	47%	10%	1%	11%
Female	1%	17%	31%	51%	1%	0%	18%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	0%	16%	28%	54%	2%	0%	16%
Middle (30-50)	2%	11%	35%	46%	6%	0%	13%
Old (50+)	6%	17%	11%	33%	28%	6%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	0%	11%	29%	50%	9%	0%	11%
Medium	2%	10%	33%	53%	2%	0%	12%
High	2%	18%	36%	44%	0%	0%	20%
Don't Know	0%	30%	0%	50%	10%	10%	30%
No Answer	8%	33%	33%	25%	0%	0%	41%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	32%	20%	48%	0%	0%	32%
Rural	2%	12%	32%	49%	6%	0%	14%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	22%	32%	44%	2%	0%	22%
PTI	0%	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	33%
PPP	0%	8%	42%	33%	17%	0%	8%
All others	2%	11%	30%	52%	6%	1%	13%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 65: Water Resources Development and Management (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 21%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 70: Water Resources Development and Management (Balochistan)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Balochistan	4%	17%	32%	41%	5%	1%	21%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	12%	38%	36%	8%	1%	18%
Female	2%	22%	26%	46%	3%	1%	24%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	17%	38%	39%	3%	2%	20%
Middle (30-50)	5%	19%	28%	43%	5%	0%	24%
Old (50+)	6%	6%	28%	28%	28%	6%	12%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	12%	30%	46%	9%	1%	14%
Medium	6%	18%	30%	44%	2%	0%	24%
High	2%	18%	49%	29%	2%	0%	20%
Don't Know	0%	20%	30%	40%	0%	10%	20%
No Answer	17%	58%	17%	0%	0%	8%	75%
Location-wise							
Urban	0%	40%	32%	28%	0%	0%	40%
Rural	4%	15%	32%	42%	6%	1%	19%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	32%	36%	26%	2%	2%	34%
PTI	0%	8%	58%	25%	8%	0%	8%
PPP	0%	8%	42%	33%	17%	0%	8%
All others	5%	14%	29%	46%	5%	1%	19%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 285; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101). Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

**Quality of Governance – Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Khyber Pakhtunkhwa**

October 2015

Table 71: Report Card of Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - KhyberPakhtunkhwa

No.	Indicator	Approval Rating 2015	Approval Rating 2014
1	Law and Order	72%	45%
2	Poverty Alleviation	46%	32%
3	Education	80%	28%
4	Healthcare	75%	30%
5	Transparency	55%	53%
6	Energy Production and Management	40%	31%
7	Anti- Corruption	51%	28%
8	Investment Friendliness	45%	31%
9	Tax Collection	48%	30%
10	Utility Bills Collection	55%	55%
11	Management of Unemployment	41%	46%
12	Development Programmes	49%	37%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	37%	28%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	68%	29%
15	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	47%	32%
16	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	58%	54%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	56%	36%
18	Environmental Sustainability	59%	30%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	56%	27%
20	Immunization of Children	90%	53%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Establishment of Local Governments	56%	53%
22	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	40%	N/A

October 2015

23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Effective Devolution of Power	40%	N/A
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	59%	53%
25	Public Transport	57%	51%
26	Access to Safe Drinking Water	61%	47%
27	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	52%	31%
28	Water Resources Development and Management	57%	35%

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 72% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 72% gave a positive rating while 24% gave a negative rating to the Provincial Government regarding the issue of law and order. The overall Approval Rating is therefore at 72%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 46% provide Approval Rating in 2015

46% provided a positive rating to the alleviation of poverty under the current Government while 50% of the KP respondents provided a negative rating. The overall Approval Rating is therefore 46%.

Public Opinion on Education - 80% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 80% (Approval Rating 2015) of the public gave positive ratings to education under the Provincial Government while 18% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Healthcare - 75% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the effectiveness of healthcare system stands at an overall 75% of the Respondents in approval. On the other hand, a minority; 23% of the public gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 55% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of transparency, 55% of the respondents viewed Government organizations as positive while 27% rated it negatively. Hence the overall Approval Rating in 2015 stood at 55% of the KP population.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management - 40% provide Approval Rating in 2015

40% of the respondents rated energy production and

management positively while a majority 56% of the KP public rated it negatively. The Approval Rating in 2015 stood at a minority; 40% of the public.

Public Opinion on Anti-Corruption - 51% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that 51% people rated anti-corruption efforts as positive while 37% viewed them as negative. The Approval Rating in 2015 for actions taken against corruption is therefore 51%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 45% provide Approval Rating in 2015

45% of those questioned rated the investment friendliness efforts as positive and 27% as negative. Hence the Approval Rating meter stands out at 45% of the public of KP.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 48% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's perception of the tax collection tactics of the Provincial Government stood at 30% of the people in disapproval. The Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 48% of the population.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 55% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of utility bill collection, 55% rated it positive while 34% were negative about it. The Approval Rating for this category in 2015 was therefore 55%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 41% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 41% of the public gave positive ratings to the management of unemployment by the Provincial Government while an outright majority of 54% gave a negative rating. Thus, the Government's overall Approval Rating in 2015

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

regarding the handling of unemployment was 41% of the population of KP.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 49% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that 49% people rated development programmes as positive while 31% of the people rated them as negative. The Approval Rating 2015 for development programmes of the Provincial Government therefore stands at 49% in 2015.

Public Opinion on Quality & Independence of Civil Service - 37% provide Approval Rating in 2015

37% of the public provided a positive rating for the quality & independence of civil service under the current Provincial Government while 32% provided a negative rating. The Approval Rating is therefore 37% in 2015.

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions - 68% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on merit-based recruitment and promotions was above 50%, hence a majority of the public was in approval of the system of merit-based hiring by the Provincial Government. 68% of the public gave a positive rating while only 26% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 47% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 47% of the public gave positive ratings to the clean, efficient and economic public procurements while 23% gave a negative rating. Thus the Approval Rating in 2015 is 47%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies for Better Governance - 58% provide Approval Rating in 2015

58% of the people rated the use of technologies for better governance as positive while 21% rated it negatively. The overall Approval Rating in 2015 was a majority 58% of the KP public.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 56% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Approval Rating in 2015 was 56% of the public as this proportion positively rated the management of supply of agricultural inputs by the Provincial government. Meanwhile, 34% people rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Environment Sustainability - 59% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts

towards environment sustainability was rated positively at a majority 59% (Approval Rating 2015) and negatively at 36% of the population of the province.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 56% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 56% (Approval Rating 2015) of the public gave positive ratings to the disaster preparedness & management of the Provincial Government while 37% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 90% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that a resounding majority 90% of the people rated immunization of children positively, making it the highest ranked indicator of the Provincial Government. Just 8% of the people viewed the policies on immunization negatively.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Establishment of Local Governments - 56% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the establishment of local governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 56% of the public. 23% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments – 40% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the distribution of resources to local governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 40% of the public. 27% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Effective Devolution of Power - 40% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the effective devolution of power, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 40% of the public. 25% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 59% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public Opinion on the access to efficient justice system is more than fifty percent as 59% of the Respondents gave it a positive rating, while 17% of the public rated it negatively.

October 2015

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 57% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Government received positive ratings from 57% of the respondents for its performance regarding public transport, while 38% of the respondents gave negative ratings. The Approval Rating in 2015 stands at 57% of the people of the province.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water- 61% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Regarding the Government's performance on supply of access to safe drinking water, 61% of the respondents gave a positive rating while 34% were of a negative opinion. The Approval Rating in 2015 is therefore at a majority 61% of the population of the province.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 52% provide Approval Rating in 2015

52% of the respondents gave positive ratings while 42% gave negative ratings to the Governments for its performance on sanitation.


Public Opinion on Water Resources Development and Management – 57% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 57% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Provincial Government for its performance on development and management of irrigation water. On the other hand, 36% gave negative ratings. Hence, the Approval Rating for this indicator was 57% of the population in 2015.

October 2015

Figure 66: Law and Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 72%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 72: Law and Order (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	25%	47%	18%	6%	4%	0%	72%
Gender-wise							
Male	25%	47%	18%	7%	3%	1%	72%
Female	25%	47%	18%	4%	6%	0%	72%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	30%	49%	16%	3%	2%	1%	79%
Middle (30-50)	22%	50%	17%	7%	4%	0%	72%
Old (50+)	26%	37%	23%	7%	7%	0%	63%
Household Income-wise							
Low	25%	48%	18%	4%	4%	1%	73%
Medium	27%	43%	21%	3%	6%	0%	70%
High	29%	44%	14%	9%	5%	0%	73%
Don't Know	20%	40%	40%	0%	0%	0%	60%
No Answer	12%	56%	18%	12%	2%	0%	68%
Location-wise							
Urban	44%	34%	17%	3%	2%	0%	78%
Rural	21%	49%	18%	6%	5%	0%	70%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	23%	45%	22%	7%	3%	0%	68%
PTI	34%	50%	11%	4%	1%	0%	84%
PPP	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%
All others	22%	47%	19%	6%	6%	0%	69%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 67: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 46%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 73: Poverty Alleviation (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	14%	32%	24%	26%	4%	0%	46%
Gender-wise							
Male	14%	31%	28%	23%	4%	1%	45%
Female	15%	33%	19%	29%	5%	0%	48%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	16%	37%	23%	19%	5%	1%	53%
Middle (30-50)	14%	31%	22%	30%	2%	0%	45%
Old (50+)	13%	24%	29%	24%	10%	0%	37%
Household Income-wise							
Low	13%	24%	26%	32%	4%	0%	37%
Medium	15%	25%	24%	32%	4%	0%	40%
High	20%	43%	18%	15%	5%	0%	63%
Don't Know	40%	0%	0%	20%	40%	0%	40%
No Answer	2%	54%	28%	10%	4%	2%	56%
Location-wise							
Urban	28%	36%	17%	17%	2%	0%	64%
Rural	12%	31%	25%	27%	5%	0%	43%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	21%	36%	33%	2%	0%	29%
PTI	17%	37%	14%	28%	4%	0%	54%
PPP	0%	17%	42%	42%	0%	0%	17%
All others	16%	33%	24%	22%	6%	0%	49%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 68: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 80%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 74: Education (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	35%	45%	11%	7%	2%	0%	80%
Gender-wise							
Male	33%	45%	11%	9%	1%	1%	78%
Female	36%	46%	10%	6%	3%	0%	82%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	38%	51%	5%	3%	3%	1%	89%
Middle (30-50)	33%	46%	11%	10%	1%	0%	79%
Old (50+)	33%	35%	20%	7%	4%	0%	68%
Household Income-wise							
Low	33%	36%	12%	15%	4%	0%	69%
Medium	35%	48%	15%	2%	1%	0%	83%
High	44%	49%	4%	4%	0%	0%	93%
Don't Know	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
No Answer	18%	68%	8%	2%	2%	2%	86%
Location-wise							
Urban	45%	34%	14%	6%	0%	0%	79%
Rural	33%	47%	10%	8%	3%	0%	80%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	25%	52%	17%	7%	0%	0%	79%
PTI	45%	39%	9%	6%	1%	0%	84%
PPP	33%	50%	8%	8%	0%	0%	83%
All others	33%	46%	10%	8%	3%	0%	79%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 69: Healthcare (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 75%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 75: Healthcare (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	27%	48%	15%	8%	3%	0%	75%
Gender-wise							
Male	29%	44%	15%	11%	2%	1%	73%
Female	24%	52%	16%	4%	3%	0%	76%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	30%	51%	13%	4%	2%	1%	81%
Middle (30-50)	24%	52%	13%	8%	2%	0%	76%
Old (50+)	30%	29%	23%	13%	4%	0%	59%
Household Income-wise							
Low	31%	39%	18%	11%	2%	0%	70%
Medium	24%	58%	11%	3%	4%	0%	81%
High	35%	46%	10%	8%	1%	0%	81%
Don't Know	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	80%
No Answer	0%	60%	24%	8%	6%	2%	60%
Location-wise							
Urban	36%	36%	17%	8%	3%	0%	72%
Rural	25%	50%	15%	8%	3%	0%	75%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	27%	52%	13%	8%	0%	0%	79%
PTI	34%	50%	11%	3%	2%	0%	84%
PPP	17%	42%	25%	17%	0%	0%	59%
All others	24%	46%	17%	9%	4%	0%	70%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 70: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 55%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 76: Transparency (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	23%	32%	17%	10%	17%	1%	55%
Gender-wise							
Male	28%	30%	18%	13%	10%	1%	58%
Female	17%	33%	16%	7%	25%	1%	50%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	27%	34%	15%	10%	14%	0%	62%
Middle (30-50)	23%	31%	18%	10%	19%	0%	54%
Old (50+)	16%	29%	20%	12%	17%	4%	45%
Household Income-wise							
Low	29%	33%	16%	13%	7%	2%	61%
Medium	20%	41%	22%	5%	12%	0%	61%
High	26%	30%	16%	15%	13%	0%	56%
Don't Know	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	0%	40%
No Answer	2%	10%	14%	6%	68%	0%	12%
Location-wise							
Urban	28%	25%	19%	16%	13%	0%	53%
Rural	22%	33%	17%	9%	18%	1%	55%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	25%	35%	25%	10%	3%	0%	60%
PTI	31%	33%	11%	7%	17%	1%	64%
PPP	8%	67%	8%	17%	0%	0%	75%
All others	19%	28%	19%	11%	22%	1%	47%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 71: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 77: Energy Production and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	13%	27%	30%	26%	5%	0%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	13%	30%	28%	25%	5%	0%	43%
Female	12%	22%	34%	27%	5%	1%	34%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	15%	29%	24%	27%	6%	0%	44%
Middle (30-50)	11%	24%	37%	26%	3%	0%	35%
Old (50+)	13%	32%	23%	25%	6%	1%	45%
Household Income-wise							
Low	13%	34%	30%	20%	3%	1%	47%
Medium	11%	21%	32%	33%	3%	0%	32%
High	19%	25%	26%	25%	5%	0%	44%
Don't Know	20%	40%	20%	20%	0%	0%	60%
No Answer	2%	14%	38%	30%	16%	0%	16%
Location-wise							
Urban	19%	22%	20%	38%	2%	0%	41%
Rural	11%	27%	32%	23%	6%	0%	38%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	14%	20%	46%	20%	0%	0%	34%
PTI	18%	25%	35%	19%	3%	0%	43%
PPP	0%	33%	25%	33%	8%	0%	33%
All others	11%	28%	25%	29%	7%	0%	39%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 72: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 51%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 78: Anti-Corruption (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	14%	37%	26%	11%	11%	1%	51%
Gender-wise							
Male	18%	37%	22%	13%	9%	1%	55%
Female	10%	37%	30%	8%	14%	2%	47%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	17%	39%	29%	8%	7%	1%	56%
Middle (30-50)	13%	41%	22%	12%	12%	1%	54%
Old (50+)	10%	25%	32%	13%	17%	3%	35%
Household Income-wise							
Low	16%	28%	23%	17%	13%	3%	44%
Medium	11%	41%	31%	7%	10%	0%	52%
High	20%	38%	23%	8%	13%	0%	58%
Don't Know	20%	60%	0%	0%	20%	0%	80%
No Answer	2%	54%	34%	4%	6%	0%	56%
Location-wise							
Urban	13%	31%	30%	9%	16%	2%	44%
Rural	14%	38%	25%	11%	10%	1%	52%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	18%	37%	23%	18%	2%	2%	55%
PTI	14%	35%	30%	10%	9%	2%	49%
PPP	8%	67%	8%	0%	17%	0%	75%
All others	13%	37%	26%	9%	14%	1%	50%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 73: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 45%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 79: Investment Friendliness (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	13%	32%	19%	8%	28%	2%	45%
Gender-wise							
Male	15%	34%	24%	8%	17%	1%	49%
Female	11%	29%	12%	7%	40%	2%	40%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	14%	35%	22%	3%	27%	0%	49%
Middle (30-50)	12%	32%	14%	10%	31%	2%	44%
Old (50+)	16%	26%	26%	9%	20%	3%	42%
Household Income-wise							
Low	16%	33%	24%	12%	14%	1%	49%
Medium	11%	39%	20%	3%	25%	2%	50%
High	16%	38%	11%	8%	25%	3%	54%
Don't Know	20%	0%	0%	20%	60%	0%	20%
No Answer	0%	4%	12%	4%	80%	0%	4%
Location-wise							
Urban	28%	31%	14%	9%	17%	0%	59%
Rural	10%	32%	19%	7%	30%	2%	42%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	15%	48%	27%	2%	7%	2%	63%
PTI	13%	36%	10%	9%	32%	0%	49%
PPP	8%	23%	31%	15%	15%	8%	31%
All others	13%	26%	19%	8%	32%	2%	39%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 74: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding improvements in ensuring of tax collection, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?



Approval Rating 2015 = 48%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 80: Tax Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	13%	35%	21%	9%	21%	1%	48%
Gender-wise							
Male	16%	36%	26%	11%	11%	1%	52%
Female	10%	33%	15%	7%	33%	1%	43%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	10%	41%	18%	8%	22%	2%	51%
Middle (30-50)	14%	36%	21%	8%	21%	1%	50%
Old (50+)	16%	22%	28%	15%	19%	1%	38%
Household Income-wise							
Low	18%	30%	26%	14%	12%	1%	48%
Medium	10%	39%	28%	8%	15%	0%	49%
High	14%	53%	10%	1%	20%	3%	67%
Don't Know	20%	0%	0%	0%	80%	0%	20%
No Answer	2%	14%	10%	12%	60%	2%	16%
Location-wise							
Urban	20%	33%	28%	9%	9%	0%	53%
Rural	12%	35%	20%	9%	23%	2%	47%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	14%	51%	17%	5%	12%	2%	65%
PTI	12%	37%	22%	7%	22%	0%	49%
PPP	17%	50%	17%	8%	8%	0%	67%
All others	14%	29%	22%	11%	23%	2%	43%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 75: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 55%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 81: Utility Bills Collection (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	19%	36%	19%	15%	10%	1%	55%
Gender-wise							
Male	15%	38%	22%	16%	8%	1%	53%
Female	24%	33%	15%	14%	13%	1%	57%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	21%	33%	21%	14%	12%	0%	54%
Middle (30-50)	21%	37%	18%	17%	6%	2%	58%
Old (50+)	12%	38%	17%	15%	16%	3%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	19%	39%	16%	12%	12%	3%	58%
Medium	27%	34%	23%	8%	8%	0%	61%
High	19%	53%	13%	4%	11%	1%	72%
Don't Know	20%	20%	0%	40%	20%	0%	40%
No Answer	0%	4%	30%	58%	8%	0%	4%
Location-wise							
Urban	23%	48%	19%	6%	3%	0%	71%
Rural	18%	33%	19%	17%	11%	2%	51%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	27%	40%	20%	3%	10%	0%	67%
PTI	24%	41%	14%	14%	4%	2%	65%
PPP	25%	50%	17%	8%	0%	0%	75%
All others	15%	31%	20%	19%	13%	1%	46%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 76: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 41%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 82: Management of Unemployment (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	12%	29%	26%	28%	5%	0%	41%
Gender-wise							
Male	13%	31%	31%	23%	3%	0%	44%
Female	12%	28%	21%	32%	7%	0%	40%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	12%	34%	25%	25%	4%	0%	46%
Middle (30-50)	11%	28%	27%	30%	4%	0%	39%
Old (50+)	17%	25%	28%	22%	9%	0%	42%
Household Income-wise							
Low	12%	20%	36%	30%	3%	0%	32%
Medium	9%	27%	17%	43%	4%	0%	36%
High	18%	34%	21%	18%	10%	0%	52%
Don't Know	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	80%
No Answer	4%	56%	26%	8%	6%	0%	60%
Location-wise							
Urban	19%	25%	25%	27%	5%	0%	44%
Rural	11%	30%	27%	28%	5%	0%	41%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	7%	25%	38%	30%	0%	0%	32%
PTI	12%	33%	28%	23%	3%	0%	45%
PPP	0%	33%	25%	42%	0%	0%	33%
All others	14%	29%	22%	28%	7%	0%	43%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 77: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 49%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 83: Development Programmes (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	15%	34%	19%	12%	21%	1%	49%
Gender-wise							
Male	15%	32%	25%	14%	13%	1%	47%
Female	14%	35%	11%	10%	30%	0%	49%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	15%	37%	14%	12%	21%	0%	53%
Middle (30-50)	15%	38%	18%	9%	20%	1%	53%
Old (50+)	13%	16%	28%	22%	20%	1%	29%
Household Income-wise							
Low	14%	30%	26%	17%	12%	1%	44%
Medium	10%	52%	14%	10%	13%	0%	62%
High	28%	34%	16%	6%	15%	1%	62%
Don't Know	20%	0%	20%	20%	40%	0%	20%
No Answer	2%	8%	8%	10%	72%	0%	10%
Location-wise							
Urban	23%	31%	19%	16%	11%	0%	54%
Rural	13%	34%	19%	12%	22%	1%	47%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	17%	48%	25%	5%	5%	0%	65%
PTI	20%	34%	17%	10%	17%	1%	54%
PPP	8%	25%	50%	8%	8%	0%	33%
All others	12%	30%	16%	15%	27%	0%	42%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 78: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 37%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 84: Quality and Independence of Civil Service (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	15%	22%	19%	13%	30%	1%	37%
Gender-wise							
Male	20%	26%	21%	16%	17%	1%	46%
Female	10%	19%	16%	11%	44%	1%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	17%	27%	14%	11%	30%	0%	44%
Middle (30-50)	14%	22%	20%	15%	29%	2%	36%
Old (50+)	17%	16%	23%	15%	29%	0%	33%
Household Income-wise							
Low	17%	32%	19%	15%	17%	1%	49%
Medium	11%	19%	24%	15%	30%	1%	30%
High	24%	15%	19%	10%	30%	3%	39%
Don't Know	20%	20%	0%	40%	20%	0%	40%
No Answer	4%	8%	8%	8%	72%	0%	12%
Location-wise							
Urban	11%	30%	25%	23%	11%	0%	41%
Rural	16%	21%	17%	11%	33%	1%	37%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	32%	23%	22%	7%	17%	0%	55%
PTI	16%	27%	14%	10%	32%	1%	43%
PPP	8%	17%	25%	0%	50%	0%	25%
All others	11%	20%	19%	17%	31%	1%	31%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 79: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 68%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 85: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	34%	34%	19%	7%	6%	0%	68%
Gender-wise							
Male	36%	28%	21%	11%	3%	0%	64%
Female	31%	41%	17%	2%	10%	0%	72%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	37%	36%	15%	5%	6%	0%	73%
Middle (30-50)	31%	36%	20%	8%	5%	0%	67%
Old (50+)	38%	22%	26%	4%	10%	0%	60%
Household Income-wise							
Low	44%	25%	16%	11%	5%	0%	69%
Medium	32%	40%	23%	2%	4%	0%	72%
High	35%	28%	24%	5%	9%	0%	63%
Don't Know	20%	20%	20%	0%	40%	0%	40%
No Answer	4%	64%	18%	6%	8%	0%	68%
Location-wise							
Urban	53%	17%	23%	3%	3%	0%	70%
Rural	30%	37%	19%	7%	7%	0%	67%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	30%	35%	25%	5%	5%	0%	65%
PTI	34%	39%	16%	5%	6%	0%	73%
PPP	18%	46%	18%	18%	0%	0%	64%
All others	35%	31%	19%	7%	7%	0%	66%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 80: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 47%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 86: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good 1	Good 2	Bad 3	Very Bad 4	Don't Know 5	No Answer 6	Approval Rating 2015 7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	16%	31%	14%	9%	27%	3%	47%
Gender-wise							
Male	17%	36%	18%	11%	13%	4%	53%
Female	14%	25%	10%	5%	44%	2%	39%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	13%	33%	12%	9%	30%	3%	46%
Middle (30-50)	15%	35%	13%	7%	27%	2%	50%
Old (50+)	23%	17%	22%	12%	22%	4%	40%
Household Income-wise							
Low	18%	34%	18%	12%	12%	4%	52%
Medium	19%	36%	13%	4%	26%	2%	55%
High	14%	29%	13%	8%	34%	4%	43%
Don't Know	0%	40%	0%	40%	20%	0%	40%
No Answer	2%	12%	8%	6%	72%	0%	14%
Location-wise							
Urban	34%	38%	11%	3%	14%	0%	72%
Rural	12%	30%	15%	10%	30%	4%	42%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	15%	47%	22%	3%	10%	3%	62%
PTI	20%	32%	11%	7%	26%	3%	52%
PPP	8%	50%	25%	8%	8%	0%	66%
All others	14%	26%	13%	11%	33%	3%	40%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 81: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years., Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 58%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 87: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years., Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	24%	34%	12%	9%	21%	1%	58%
Gender-wise							
Male	27%	36%	16%	11%	10%	1%	63%
Female	21%	32%	7%	7%	33%	0%	53%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	31%	33%	11%	7%	17%	2%	64%
Middle (30-50)	21%	38%	11%	10%	21%	1%	59%
Old (50+)	23%	25%	16%	10%	26%	0%	48%
Household Income-wise							
Low	17%	39%	17%	15%	11%	1%	56%
Medium	38%	41%	9%	2%	9%	0%	79%
High	35%	30%	9%	6%	20%	0%	65%
Don't Know	40%	0%	20%	0%	40%	0%	40%
No Answer	0%	10%	4%	8%	76%	2%	10%
Location-wise							
Urban	56%	25%	8%	2%	9%	0%	81%
Rural	19%	35%	12%	10%	23%	1%	54%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	20%	45%	22%	5%	8%	0%	65%
PTI	25%	34%	9%	9%	22%	1%	59%
PPP	8%	58%	17%	17%	0%	0%	66%
All others	26%	30%	10%	10%	24%	1%	56%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 82: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 56%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 88: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	19%	37%	23%	11%	10%	0%	56%
Gender-wise							
Male	18%	37%	29%	12%	4%	1%	55%
Female	20%	37%	16%	9%	18%	0%	57%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	20%	42%	17%	10%	11%	0%	62%
Middle (30-50)	20%	36%	24%	11%	9%	1%	56%
Old (50+)	17%	30%	30%	10%	12%	0%	47%
Household Income-wise							
Low	19%	33%	29%	12%	8%	0%	52%
Medium	21%	52%	18%	5%	5%	0%	73%
High	28%	43%	15%	3%	11%	1%	71%
Don't Know	20%	40%	20%	0%	20%	0%	60%
No Answer	4%	8%	26%	34%	28%	0%	12%
Location-wise							
Urban	30%	42%	20%	8%	0%	0%	72%
Rural	17%	36%	23%	11%	12%	0%	53%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	18%	45%	22%	8%	7%	0%	63%
PTI	11%	48%	20%	6%	15%	0%	59%
PPP	0%	42%	33%	25%	0%	0%	42%
All others	24%	30%	24%	12%	10%	0%	54%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 83: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 59%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 89: Environmental Sustainability (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	22%	37%	18%	18%	5%	1%	59%
Gender-wise							
Male	24%	37%	18%	15%	5%	1%	61%
Female	20%	36%	18%	21%	5%	0%	56%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	26%	36%	14%	17%	7%	0%	62%
Middle (30-50)	18%	38%	19%	21%	4%	1%	56%
Old (50+)	26%	36%	20%	12%	6%	0%	62%
Household Income-wise							
Low	18%	36%	23%	19%	3%	1%	54%
Medium	28%	49%	17%	3%	4%	0%	77%
High	36%	43%	13%	4%	4%	1%	79%
Don't Know	20%	20%	0%	20%	40%	0%	40%
No Answer	0%	4%	14%	68%	14%	0%	4%
Location-wise							
Urban	41%	31%	20%	5%	3%	0%	72%
Rural	19%	38%	17%	20%	6%	1%	57%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	17%	57%	15%	10%	2%	0%	74%
PTI	21%	40%	16%	13%	8%	1%	61%
PPP	8%	58%	17%	8%	8%	0%	66%
All others	25%	29%	19%	22%	5%	0%	54%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 84: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 56%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 90: Disaster Preparedness and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	23%	33%	22%	15%	7%	0%	56%
Gender-wise							
Male	21%	34%	26%	14%	6%	1%	55%
Female	25%	32%	17%	17%	9%	0%	57%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	21%	33%	20%	19%	8%	0%	54%
Middle (30-50)	20%	38%	22%	16%	3%	0%	58%
Old (50+)	35%	20%	26%	6%	12%	1%	55%
Household Income-wise							
Low	30%	34%	17%	10%	9%	1%	64%
Medium	18%	44%	29%	8%	2%	0%	62%
High	28%	33%	26%	6%	8%	0%	61%
Don't Know	20%	40%	0%	20%	20%	0%	60%
No Answer	2%	6%	18%	66%	8%	0%	8%
Location-wise							
Urban	39%	23%	22%	13%	3%	0%	62%
Rural	20%	35%	22%	16%	8%	0%	55%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	27%	52%	18%	2%	0%	2%	79%
PTI	25%	34%	22%	13%	5%	0%	59%
PPP	0%	42%	25%	17%	17%	0%	42%
All others	22%	27%	22%	20%	9%	0%	49%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 85: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 90%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 91: Immunization of Children (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	54%	36%	6%	2%	2%	0%	90%
Gender-wise							
Male	51%	36%	9%	3%	1%	0%	87%
Female	57%	36%	3%	1%	3%	0%	93%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	57%	33%	5%	4%	2%	0%	90%
Middle (30-50)	51%	40%	6%	1%	1%	0%	91%
Old (50+)	59%	29%	7%	1%	3%	0%	88%
Household Income-wise							
Low	43%	42%	11%	3%	1%	0%	85%
Medium	75%	20%	3%	1%	2%	0%	95%
High	80%	19%	0%	0%	1%	0%	99%
Don't Know	20%	40%	20%	20%	0%	0%	60%
No Answer	8%	78%	4%	6%	4%	0%	86%
Location-wise							
Urban	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Rural	49%	40%	7%	3%	2%	0%	89%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	50%	38%	8%	2%	2%	0%	88%
PTI	59%	32%	6%	2%	1%	0%	91%
PPP	58%	25%	17%	0%	0%	0%	83%
All others	53%	38%	5%	3%	2%	0%	91%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 86: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Establishment of Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 56%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: ± 3 -5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 92: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Establishment of Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now? *Figures are row percentages*

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	17%	39%	12%	9%	22%	1%	56%
Gender-wise							
Male	19%	42%	14%	13%	12%	1%	61%
Female	15%	36%	11%	5%	33%	0%	51%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	21%	40%	8%	5%	26%	1%	61%
Middle (30-50)	13%	43%	12%	12%	21%	0%	56%
Old (50+)	22%	26%	20%	10%	20%	1%	48%
Household Income-wise							
Low	16%	35%	17%	19%	12%	1%	51%
Medium	22%	51%	8%	2%	19%	0%	73%
High	22%	43%	9%	3%	24%	0%	65%
Don't Know	0%	40%	0%	0%	60%	0%	40%
No Answer	2%	20%	16%	6%	56%	0%	22%
Location-wise							
Urban	44%	31%	6%	5%	14%	0%	75%
Rural	12%	40%	13%	10%	24%	1%	52%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	7%	60%	18%	7%	7%	2%	67%
PTI	20%	43%	7%	8%	20%	1%	63%
PPP	8%	58%	0%	17%	17%	0%	66%
All others	19%	31%	14%	10%	27%	0%	50%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating \approx 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 87: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers- Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among districts and various Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 93: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among districts and various Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	15%	25%	16%	11%	32%	1%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	17%	37%	19%	11%	16%	0%	54%
Female	12%	12%	13%	11%	51%	2%	24%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	18%	26%	17%	10%	30%	0%	44%
Middle (30-50)	12%	26%	17%	10%	33%	2%	38%
Old (50+)	16%	22%	13%	16%	33%	0%	38%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	31%	26%	15%	18%	0%	41%
Medium	22%	24%	8%	8%	35%	4%	46%
High	22%	24%	9%	11%	34%	0%	46%
Don't Know	0%	0%	20%	20%	60%	0%	0%
No Answer	4%	10%	12%	8%	66%	0%	14%
Location-wise							
Urban	48%	20%	17%	5%	9%	0%	68%
Rural	8%	26%	16%	13%	36%	1%	34%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	32%	27%	2%	30%	0%	42%
PTI	13%	28%	14%	10%	32%	2%	41%
PPP	8%	25%	25%	8%	33%	0%	33%
All others	17%	22%	14%	14%	32%	1%	39%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 88: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Effective Devolution of Power (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 94: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Effective Devolution of Power (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	14%	26%	15%	10%	29%	5%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	16%	35%	22%	10%	15%	2%	51%
Female	12%	16%	6%	11%	46%	10%	28%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	17%	31%	13%	8%	26%	5%	48%
Middle (30-50)	13%	25%	15%	11%	29%	7%	38%
Old (50+)	12%	22%	15%	13%	38%	1%	34%
Household Income-wise							
Low	12%	31%	20%	12%	22%	3%	43%
Medium	20%	23%	10%	10%	24%	12%	43%
High	19%	27%	9%	11%	30%	4%	46%
Don't Know	20%	20%	0%	0%	60%	0%	40%
No Answer	0%	14%	16%	4%	64%	2%	14%
Location-wise							
Urban	39%	19%	9%	16%	17%	0%	58%
Rural	9%	28%	16%	9%	32%	6%	37%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	43%	17%	7%	13%	15%	48%
PTI	13%	24%	13%	12%	35%	2%	37%
PPP	17%	33%	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%
All others	17%	22%	16%	11%	31%	3%	39%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 89: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 59%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 95: Access to Efficient Justice System (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	20%	39%	10%	7%	24%	1%	59%
Gender-wise							
Male	25%	37%	15%	9%	13%	1%	62%
Female	13%	40%	4%	4%	37%	1%	53%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	18%	43%	8%	6%	24%	1%	61%
Middle (30-50)	21%	39%	12%	6%	21%	1%	60%
Old (50+)	20%	30%	7%	9%	32%	1%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	27%	40%	17%	4%	11%	1%	67%
Medium	16%	51%	4%	10%	20%	0%	67%
High	19%	39%	5%	6%	28%	3%	58%
Don't Know	20%	0%	0%	0%	80%	0%	20%
No Answer	4%	12%	10%	8%	66%	0%	16%
Location-wise							
Urban	28%	47%	8%	9%	8%	0%	75%
Rural	18%	37%	10%	6%	27%	1%	55%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	20%	60%	10%	3%	7%	0%	80%
PTI	18%	44%	15%	2%	20%	0%	62%
PPP	17%	42%	25%	0%	8%	8%	59%
All others	20%	31%	7%	10%	31%	1%	51%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 90: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 57%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 96: Public Transport (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	24%	33%	15%	23%	5%	0%	57%
Gender-wise							
Male	24%	27%	19%	26%	4%	0%	51%
Female	23%	40%	11%	20%	6%	1%	63%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	21%	30%	15%	27%	8%	0%	51%
Middle (30-50)	23%	35%	15%	24%	3%	0%	58%
Old (50+)	31%	33%	17%	13%	4%	1%	64%
Household Income-wise							
Low	33%	23%	20%	19%	4%	1%	56%
Medium	20%	50%	14%	13%	4%	0%	70%
High	25%	45%	13%	11%	6%	0%	70%
Don't Know	0%	40%	20%	20%	20%	0%	40%
No Answer	2%	8%	6%	78%	6%	0%	10%
Location-wise							
Urban	45%	25%	17%	9%	3%	0%	70%
Rural	20%	34%	15%	26%	5%	0%	54%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	56%	13%	20%	2%	0%	66%
PTI	24%	43%	11%	21%	1%	0%	67%
PPP	25%	25%	17%	33%	0%	0%	50%
All others	27%	23%	17%	25%	8%	0%	50%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 91: Access to Safe Drinking Water(Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 61%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 97: Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	21%	40%	18%	16%	5%	1%	61%
Gender-wise							
Male	20%	35%	23%	17%	4%	1%	55%
Female	22%	46%	11%	15%	6%	1%	68%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	18%	46%	13%	14%	8%	1%	64%
Middle (30-50)	22%	37%	20%	19%	3%	0%	59%
Old (50+)	24%	40%	19%	13%	3%	1%	64%
Household Income-wise							
Low	25%	34%	22%	14%	4%	1%	59%
Medium	13%	55%	17%	11%	4%	0%	68%
High	34%	39%	14%	6%	8%	0%	73%
Don't Know	20%	20%	0%	40%	20%	0%	40%
No Answer	4%	32%	12%	48%	4%	0%	36%
Location-wise							
Urban	48%	20%	22%	6%	3%	0%	68%
Rural	16%	44%	17%	18%	5%	1%	60%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	13%	54%	23%	8%	0%	2%	67%
PTI	26%	46%	15%	12%	1%	0%	72%
PPP	8%	50%	17%	25%	0%	0%	58%
All others	22%	33%	17%	19%	8%	0%	55%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ≈45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 92: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 52%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 390; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014.
Estimated error margin: $\pm 3-5\%$ at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 98: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very 1	Good 2	Bad 3	Very 4	Don't 5	No 6	Approval Rating 2015 7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	16%	36%	24%	18%	5%	1%	52%
Gender-wise							
Male	16%	34%	29%	17%	4%	1%	50%
Female	16%	40%	18%	20%	6%	1%	56%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	17%	38%	21%	17%	8%	0%	55%
Middle (30-50)	16%	37%	24%	21%	2%	1%	53%
Old (50+)	16%	34%	30%	11%	7%	1%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	15%	38%	26%	17%	4%	1%	53%
Medium	12%	48%	27%	8%	5%	0%	60%
High	31%	40%	18%	6%	4%	1%	71%
Don't Know	0%	20%	20%	40%	20%	0%	20%
No Answer	0%	4%	22%	64%	10%	0%	4%
Location-wise							
Urban	28%	28%	33%	8%	3%	0%	56%
Rural	14%	38%	23%	20%	5%	1%	52%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	15%	51%	23%	8%	3%	0%	66%
PTI	18%	43%	18%	19%	2%	0%	61%
PPP	8%	58%	8%	25%	0%	0%	66%
All others	16%	29%	28%	20%	7%	1%	45%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 93: Water Resources Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 57%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2014 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 99: Water Resources Development and Management (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over KP	16%	41%	21%	15%	7%	1%	57%
Gender-wise							
Male	14%	41%	23%	15%	6%	1%	55%
Female	18%	42%	18%	14%	8%	1%	60%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	15%	45%	20%	14%	6%	0%	60%
Middle (30-50)	14%	43%	17%	18%	8%	0%	57%
Old (50+)	21%	30%	31%	9%	6%	3%	51%
Household Income-wise							
Low	17%	41%	21%	12%	7%	1%	58%
Medium	12%	51%	24%	7%	6%	0%	63%
High	28%	48%	14%	5%	6%	0%	76%
Don't Know	0%	40%	0%	20%	40%	0%	40%
No Answer	2%	10%	22%	58%	8%	0%	12%
Location-wise							
Urban	20%	36%	36%	5%	3%	0%	56%
Rural	15%	42%	18%	17%	8%	1%	57%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	15%	53%	25%	3%	3%	2%	68%
PTI	19%	54%	13%	9%	5%	0%	73%
PPP	8%	50%	8%	25%	8%	0%	58%
All others	15%	33%	23%	20%	9%	0%	48%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating \approx 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 414; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

**Quality of Governance – Punjab Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Punjab**

October 2015

Table 100: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance –Punjab

No.	Indicator	Approval Rating 2015	Approval Rating 2014
1	Law and Order	51%	55%
2	Poverty Alleviation	29%	32%
3	Education	75%	62%
4	Health Care	60%	56%
5	Transparency	25%	46%
6	Energy Production and Management	37%	25%
7	Anti-Corruption	23%	31%
8	Investment Friendliness	44%	49%
9	Tax Collection	35%	45%
10	Utility Bills Collection	60%	58%
11	Management of Unemployment	25%	34%
12	Development Programmes	51%	54%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	22%	40%
14	Merit- Based Recruitment and Promotions	39%	33%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	24%	53%
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	52%	45%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	41%	44%
18	Environmental Sustainability	32%	44%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	43%	45%
20	Immunization of Children	91%	73%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Establishment of Local Governments	26%	37%
22	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	24%	N/A
23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Effective Devolution of Power	21%	N/A
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	39%	52%
25	Public Transport	61%	72%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

26	Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply	44%	51%
27	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	35%	40%
28	Water Resources Development and	41%	48%

Public Opinion on Law and Order - 51% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 51% gave a positive rating while 40% gave a negative rating to the Provincial Government regarding the issue of law and order. The overall Approval Rating is therefore at 51%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 29% provide Approval Rating in 2015

29% provided a positive rating to the alleviation of poverty under the current Government while a large majority, 68% of the Punjabi respondents provided a negative rating. The overall Approval Rating is therefore 29%.

Public Opinion on Education - 75% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 75% of the respondents from Punjab gave positive ratings to education under the Provincial Government, while 21% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Health Care - 60% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public Opinion on the effectiveness of health care system stands at an overall 60% of the public in approval. On the other hand, a minority; 36% of the public gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 25% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of transparency, 25% of the respondents viewed Government organizations as positive while 29% rated it negatively. Hence the overall Approval Rating in 2015 stood at 25% of the Punjabi population.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management - 37% provide Approval Rating in 2015

37% of the respondents rated energy production and management positively while a majority; 61% of the Punjabi public rated it negatively. The Approval Rating in 2015 stood at a minority; 37% of the public.

Public Opinion on Anti-Corruption - 23% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that only 23% people rated anti-

corruption efforts as positive while 60% viewed them as negative. The Approval Rating in 2015 for actions taken against corruption is therefore 23%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 44% provide Approval Rating in 2015

44% of those questioned rated the investment friendliness efforts as positive and 19% as negative. Hence the Approval Rating meter stands out at 44% of the public of Punjab.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 35% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's perception of the tax collection tactics of the Provincial Government stood at 34% of the respondents in disapproval. The Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 35% of the sample population.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 60% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of utility bills collection, 60% rated it positive while 29% were negative about it. The Approval Rating for this category in 2015 was therefore 60%.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 25% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 25% of the public gave positive ratings to the management of unemployment by the Provincial Government while an outright majority of 71% gave a negative rating. Thus, the Government's overall Approval Rating in 2015 regarding the handling of unemployment was a minority 25% of the population of Punjab.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 51% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that 51% people rated development programmes as positive while 22% of the people rated them as negative. The Approval Rating 2015 for development programmes of the Provincial Government therefore stands at 51% in 2015.

Public Opinion on Quality & Independence of Civil Service - 22% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Only 22% of the public provided a positive rating for the quality & independence of civil service under the

October 2015

current Government while 29% provided a negative rating. The Approval Rating is therefore 22% in 2015.

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions - 39% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on merit-based recruitment and promotions was below 50%, which suggests that the public was not in approval of the system of merit-based hiring by the Provincial Government. 39% of the respondents gave a positive rating while 46% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 24% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey a minority 24% of the public gave positive ratings to the clean, efficient and economic public procurements while 23% gave a negative rating. Thus the Approval Rating in 2015 is 24%.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies for Better Governance - 52% provide Approval Rating in 2015

52% of the respondents rated the use of technologies for better governance as positive while only 12% rated it negatively. The overall Approval Rating in 2015 was a majority 52% of the public.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 41% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Approval Rating in 2015 was 41% of the public as this proportion positively rated the management of supply of agricultural inputs by the Provincial Government. Meanwhile, 43% respondents rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Environment Sustainability - 32% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts towards environment sustainability was rated positively at a minority 32% and negatively at 55% of the population of the province. Thus, a majority of Punjabi respondents disapproved of the work of the Provincial Government for their environment.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 43% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 43% of the surveyed public gave positive ratings to the disaster preparedness & management of the Provincial Government while 38% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 91% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that a resounding majority 91% of the people rated immunization of children positively, making it the highest ranked indicator of the Provincial Government. Just 7% of the people viewed the policies on immunization negatively.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Establishment of Local Governments - 26% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the establishment of local governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 26% of the public. 20% of the sample population's views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments – 24% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the distribution of resources to local governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 24% of the public. 24% of the population's views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Effective Devolution of Power - 21% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the effective devolution of power, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 21% of the public. 14% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 39% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the access to efficient justice system is 39% of the public. In contrast, 26% of the respondents rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 60% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Government received positive ratings from 60% of the respondents for its performance regarding public transport, while 34% of the respondents gave negative ratings. The Approval Rating in 2015 stands at 60% of the people of the province.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water- 44% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Regarding the Government's performance on access to

October 2015

safe drinking water, a minority 44% of the respondents gave a positive rating while a majority 53% was of a negative opinion. The Approval Rating in 2015 is therefore 44% of the population of the province.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 35% provide Approval Rating in 2015

35% of the respondents gave positive ratings while an outright majority of 62% gave negative ratings to the Governments for its performance on sanitation.


Public Opinion on Water Resources Development and Management – 40% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 40% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Provincial Government for its performance on development and management of irrigation water. On the other hand, 42% gave negative ratings. Hence, the Approval Rating for this indicator was 40% of the population in 2015.

October 2015

Figure 94: Law and Order (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 51%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 101: Law and Order (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	8%	43%	24%	16%	9%	1%	51%
Gender-wise							
Male	8%	44%	24%	18%	6%	0%	52%
Female	7%	41%	24%	15%	12%	1%	48%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	47%	24%	14%	6%	0%	56%
Middle (30-50)	7%	42%	23%	17%	9%	1%	49%
Old (50+)	6%	38%	26%	18%	11%	1%	44%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	41%	25%	15%	11%	1%	48%
Medium	5%	44%	26%	19%	5%	1%	49%
High	9%	50%	23%	15%	3%	0%	59%
Don't Know	14%	37%	15%	17%	17%	1%	51%
No Answer	0%	33%	31%	31%	6%	0%	33%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	45%	29%	15%	3%	0%	53%
Rural	8%	41%	22%	17%	12%	1%	49%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	44%	23%	15%	9%	1%	52%
PTI	5%	39%	29%	18%	8%	0%	44%
PPP	7%	29%	30%	18%	16%	1%	36%
All others	7%	44%	23%	19%	7%	1%	51%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 95: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 29%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 102: Poverty Alleviation (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	4%	25%	36%	32%	2%	1%	29%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	26%	35%	33%	2%	0%	30%
Female	4%	25%	38%	30%	3%	2%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	27%	36%	30%	3%	0%	32%
Middle (30-50)	4%	26%	36%	32%	2%	1%	30%
Old (50+)	4%	22%	36%	33%	3%	1%	26%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	27%	33%	32%	3%	1%	32%
Medium	4%	22%	39%	33%	2%	1%	26%
High	2%	30%	39%	27%	1%	0%	32%
Don't Know	5%	18%	41%	31%	4%	1%	23%
No Answer	3%	11%	19%	64%	3%	0%	14%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	25%	43%	30%	1%	0%	27%
Rural	5%	26%	33%	32%	3%	1%	31%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	29%	36%	29%	2%	1%	33%
PTI	2%	15%	40%	43%	0%	1%	17%
PPP	6%	13%	32%	44%	4%	1%	19%
All others	5%	21%	35%	33%	6%	2%	26%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating =45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 96: Education (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now



Approval Rating 2015 = 75%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 103: Education (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	21%	54%	16%	5%	4%	1%	75%
Gender-wise							
Male	18%	50%	21%	7%	4%	0%	68%
Female	23%	58%	11%	4%	4%	1%	81%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	22%	53%	17%	5%	3%	1%	75%
Middle (30-50)	21%	53%	16%	6%	4%	0%	74%
Old (50+)	18%	57%	14%	5%	6%	0%	75%
Household Income-wise							
Low	17%	54%	16%	7%	6%	1%	71%
Medium	20%	59%	15%	3%	3%	0%	79%
High	25%	54%	16%	4%	1%	0%	79%
Don't Know	31%	47%	13%	6%	3%	0%	78%
No Answer	11%	40%	26%	17%	3%	3%	51%
Location-wise							
Urban	23%	58%	14%	2%	3%	0%	81%
Rural	20%	52%	16%	7%	5%	1%	72%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	22%	54%	15%	5%	4%	1%	76%
PTI	14%	47%	24%	10%	5%	0%	61%
PPP	18%	49%	14%	11%	7%	1%	67%
All others	18%	55%	18%	5%	3%	0%	73%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 97: Health care (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 60%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 104: Healthcare (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	14%	46%	24%	12%	4%	1%	60%
Gender-wise							
Male	14%	40%	26%	16%	3%	1%	54%
Female	14%	52%	21%	8%	6%	1%	66%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	14%	44%	26%	14%	3%	1%	58%
Middle (30-50)	13%	49%	23%	11%	5%	0%	62%
Old (50+)	17%	41%	23%	13%	6%	1%	58%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	47%	25%	13%	4%	1%	58%
Medium	12%	50%	22%	11%	3%	1%	62%
High	22%	43%	22%	10%	3%	0%	65%
Don't Know	21%	40%	21%	13%	6%	0%	61%
No Answer	6%	31%	31%	19%	14%	0%	37%
Location-wise							
Urban	17%	45%	23%	12%	3%	0%	62%
Rural	13%	46%	24%	12%	5%	1%	59%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	16%	48%	21%	11%	4%	0%	64%
PTI	13%	37%	29%	19%	2%	0%	50%
PPP	10%	51%	21%	6%	11%	1%	61%
All others	8%	39%	32%	15%	5%	1%	47%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 98: Transparency (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 25%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 105: Transparency (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	3%	22%	18%	11%	43%	3%	25%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	24%	20%	13%	36%	3%	27%
Female	3%	20%	15%	8%	50%	4%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	26%	20%	9%	37%	4%	30%
Middle (30-50)	3%	23%	17%	11%	44%	3%	26%
Old (50+)	4%	16%	16%	11%	49%	4%	20%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	20%	18%	11%	42%	5%	24%
Medium	1%	24%	20%	10%	42%	3%	25%
High	3%	32%	17%	11%	37%	1%	35%
Don't Know	5%	15%	8%	8%	62%	2%	20%
No Answer	3%	14%	19%	17%	44%	3%	17%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	28%	22%	9%	35%	2%	31%
Rural	3%	20%	15%	11%	47%	4%	23%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	3%	24%	17%	9%	44%	3%	27%
PTI	3%	18%	30%	13%	37%	0%	21%
PPP	1%	21%	13%	14%	43%	7%	22%
All others	4%	18%	17%	14%	42%	7%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 99: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 37%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 106: Energy Production and Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	5%	32%	31%	30%	3%	1%	37%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	37%	27%	29%	2%	0%	42%
Female	4%	28%	34%	30%	3%	1%	32%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	30%	31%	30%	3%	1%	35%
Middle (30-50)	5%	33%	31%	29%	2%	0%	38%
Old (50+)	4%	32%	31%	31%	3%	0%	36%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	28%	32%	30%	3%	1%	33%
Medium	3%	31%	30%	33%	3%	0%	34%
High	5%	41%	30%	24%	1%	0%	46%
Don't Know	3%	39%	29%	26%	3%	0%	42%
No Answer	0%	25%	22%	50%	3%	0%	25%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	34%	36%	25%	1%	0%	38%
Rural	5%	31%	28%	32%	3%	1%	36%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	34%	29%	29%	2%	1%	39%
PTI	4%	23%	38%	34%	1%	0%	27%
PPP	5%	32%	32%	25%	6%	0%	37%
All others	3%	29%	32%	32%	4%	0%	32%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 100: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 23%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 107: Anti-Corruption (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	4%	19%	29%	31%	16%	1%	23%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	27%	28%	33%	8%	1%	31%
Female	3%	12%	31%	29%	24%	1%	15%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	25%	30%	28%	13%	0%	28%
Middle (30-50)	4%	17%	30%	32%	16%	1%	21%
Old (50+)	3%	19%	26%	32%	19%	1%	22%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	20%	29%	28%	19%	1%	24%
Medium	2%	21%	30%	37%	10%	1%	23%
High	4%	21%	33%	33%	7%	2%	25%
Don't Know	4%	12%	25%	26%	32%	1%	16%
No Answer	3%	19%	14%	39%	25%	0%	22%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	20%	32%	35%	8%	2%	23%
Rural	4%	19%	28%	29%	19%	1%	23%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	22%	29%	29%	15%	1%	26%
PTI	4%	15%	27%	37%	18%	1%	19%
PPP	6%	12%	30%	39%	13%	0%	18%
All others	2%	15%	31%	31%	19%	3%	17%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 101: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 44%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 108: Investment Friendliness (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	6%	38%	12%	7%	36%	2%	44%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	48%	14%	9%	21%	1%	55%
Female	4%	27%	9%	5%	52%	2%	31%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	40%	12%	7%	33%	2%	47%
Middle (30-50)	5%	37%	12%	7%	37%	2%	42%
Old (50+)	6%	36%	11%	6%	39%	2%	42%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	34%	12%	6%	39%	2%	41%
Medium	3%	36%	13%	9%	37%	2%	39%
High	7%	49%	11%	6%	27%	1%	56%
Don't Know	4%	37%	10%	8%	39%	2%	41%
No Answer	3%	19%	22%	19%	36%	0%	22%
Location-wise							
Urban	6%	44%	13%	7%	28%	2%	50%
Rural	6%	34%	11%	7%	40%	2%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	39%	11%	6%	36%	1%	45%
PTI	2%	37%	19%	10%	32%	0%	39%
PPP	10%	27%	14%	7%	39%	2%	37%
All others	5%	35%	12%	8%	36%	4%	40%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 102: Tax Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 35%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 109: Tax Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	4%	31%	20%	14%	29%	3%	35%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	36%	21%	17%	20%	2%	40%
Female	4%	25%	18%	12%	38%	4%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	33%	22%	13%	27%	2%	36%
Middle (30-50)	4%	31%	19%	15%	28%	3%	35%
Old (50+)	4%	26%	19%	13%	33%	5%	30%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	30%	17%	12%	30%	5%	35%
Medium	4%	36%	19%	14%	25%	2%	40%
High	2%	32%	28%	17%	20%	1%	34%
Don't Know	1%	20%	15%	15%	48%	2%	21%
No Answer	0%	25%	14%	33%	25%	3%	25%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	30%	28%	19%	18%	2%	33%
Rural	4%	31%	16%	12%	34%	3%	35%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	33%	19%	12%	29%	3%	38%
PTI	2%	19%	22%	28%	26%	4%	21%
PPP	2%	20%	19%	20%	33%	5%	22%
All others	3%	28%	23%	15%	29%	3%	31%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 103: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 60%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 110: Utility Bills Collection (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	7%	53%	18%	11%	10%	1%	60%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	58%	18%	12%	5%	0%	65%
Female	7%	47%	18%	11%	16%	2%	54%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	52%	19%	11%	10%	1%	60%
Middle (30-50)	6%	54%	18%	11%	10%	1%	60%
Old (50+)	7%	51%	18%	11%	12%	1%	58%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	51%	16%	12%	13%	1%	59%
Medium	7%	60%	17%	10%	6%	1%	67%
High	7%	54%	23%	9%	8%	1%	61%
Don't Know	4%	45%	25%	12%	14%	0%	49%
No Answer	3%	40%	14%	31%	9%	3%	43%
Location-wise							
Urban	6%	59%	21%	8%	6%	1%	65%
Rural	7%	50%	17%	13%	13%	1%	57%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	7%	57%	16%	9%	11%	1%	64%
PTI	8%	40%	24%	19%	10%	0%	48%
PPP	6%	39%	26%	19%	10%	0%	45%
All others	5%	47%	23%	15%	9%	2%	52%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 104: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 25%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 111: Management of Unemployment (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good 1	Good 2	Bad 3	Very Bad 4	Don't Know 5	No Answer 6	Approval Rating 2015 7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	4%	21%	35%	36%	3%	1%	25%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	20%	35%	38%	2%	0%	24%
Female	4%	23%	35%	33%	4%	1%	27%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	22%	35%	36%	4%	0%	26%
Middle (30-50)	5%	22%	34%	36%	2%	1%	27%
Old (50+)	3%	18%	39%	34%	4%	2%	21%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	22%	35%	34%	3%	1%	26%
Medium	4%	21%	32%	41%	2%	0%	25%
High	4%	22%	37%	35%	2%	0%	26%
Don't Know	5%	17%	41%	32%	6%	1%	22%
No Answer	3%	19%	22%	47%	8%	0%	22%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	18%	41%	36%	2%	0%	21%
Rural	4%	23%	33%	36%	4%	1%	27%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	24%	36%	33%	3%	1%	29%
PTI	5%	11%	34%	47%	4%	0%	16%
PPP	5%	8%	35%	49%	2%	1%	13%
All others	1%	20%	36%	38%	4%	1%	21%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 105: Development Programmes (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 51%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 112: Development Programmes (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	13%	38%	14%	8%	25%	2%	51%
Gender-wise							
Male	16%	37%	14%	10%	21%	2%	53%
Female	10%	39%	13%	6%	29%	3%	49%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	13%	40%	15%	7%	23%	2%	53%
Middle (30-50)	12%	40%	14%	8%	25%	2%	52%
Old (50+)	14%	32%	12%	9%	30%	3%	46%
Household Income-wise							
Low	12%	31%	18%	10%	27%	3%	43%
Medium	10%	49%	11%	6%	21%	3%	59%
High	20%	51%	9%	4%	15%	0%	71%
Don't Know	10%	28%	9%	9%	43%	1%	38%
No Answer	0%	28%	8%	25%	36%	3%	28%
Location-wise							
Urban	15%	50%	13%	5%	15%	2%	55%
Rural	11%	33%	14%	10%	30%	3%	44%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	15%	39%	14%	7%	24%	2%	54%
PTI	9%	35%	17%	13%	25%	1%	44%
PPP	10%	24%	11%	12%	38%	6%	34%
All others	7%	39%	14%	11%	26%	3%	46%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 106: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 22%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 113: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	3%	19%	19%	10%	47%	2%	22%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	24%	23%	13%	36%	2%	27%
Female	4%	14%	14%	6%	59%	3%	18%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	22%	20%	9%	43%	2%	26%
Middle (30-50)	3%	19%	18%	10%	48%	3%	22%
Old (50+)	3%	17%	17%	10%	51%	2%	20%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	18%	18%	10%	46%	3%	23%
Medium	1%	17%	19%	10%	49%	4%	18%
High	2%	28%	25%	6%	39%	1%	30%
Don't Know	3%	13%	9%	11%	62%	2%	16%
No Answer	3%	8%	14%	25%	50%	0%	11%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	21%	28%	9%	37%	2%	24%
Rural	4%	18%	14%	10%	52%	3%	22%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	3%	21%	18%	9%	47%	2%	24%
PTI	5%	12%	26%	15%	41%	2%	17%
PPP	4%	17%	13%	10%	51%	6%	21%
All others	3%	15%	18%	11%	48%	5%	18%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 107: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 39%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 114: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	7%	32%	26%	20%	12%	2%	39%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	31%	28%	22%	10%	2%	38%
Female	8%	33%	24%	18%	15%	2%	41%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	29%	30%	22%	11%	1%	36%
Middle (30-50)	8%	34%	24%	19%	12%	2%	42%
Old (50+)	6%	31%	27%	19%	15%	3%	37%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	30%	27%	19%	14%	3%	38%
Medium	10%	41%	21%	18%	10%	1%	51%
High	3%	34%	33%	19%	9%	1%	37%
Don't Know	10%	26%	25%	25%	13%	1%	36%
No Answer	6%	19%	8%	39%	25%	3%	25%
Location-wise							
Urban	5%	35%	33%	18%	7%	2%	40%
Rural	9%	31%	23%	21%	15%	2%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	33%	26%	19%	12%	2%	41%
PTI	2%	29%	31%	24%	12%	2%	31%
PPP	8%	30%	29%	19%	14%	0%	38%
All others	7%	31%	26%	20%	15%	2%	38%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 108: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 24%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 115: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	3%	21%	15%	8%	49%	4%	24%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	23%	16%	10%	44%	3%	26%
Female	3%	19%	13%	7%	54%	4%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	26%	16%	7%	45%	3%	29%
Middle (30-50)	4%	20%	14%	9%	49%	4%	24%
Old (50+)	2%	19%	12%	8%	55%	4%	21%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	18%	15%	8%	49%	5%	22%
Medium	1%	25%	14%	10%	47%	4%	26%
High	3%	30%	17%	5%	43%	2%	33%
Don't Know	4%	14%	10%	11%	60%	1%	18%
No Answer	3%	11%	3%	19%	58%	6%	14%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	28%	14%	7%	45%	3%	31%
Rural	3%	18%	15%	9%	51%	4%	21%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	3%	22%	14%	7%	51%	3%	25%
PTI	2%	15%	23%	9%	47%	5%	17%
PPP	4%	12%	10%	11%	57%	7%	16%
All others	4%	24%	15%	13%	40%	5%	28%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 109: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 52%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 116: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	10%	42%	8%	4%	34%	3%	52%
Gender-wise							
Male	13%	39%	9%	5%	31%	3%	52%
Female	7%	45%	7%	2%	37%	3%	52%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	10%	44%	10%	5%	28%	3%	54%
Middle (30-50)	10%	43%	7%	4%	34%	3%	53%
Old (50+)	11%	36%	8%	2%	41%	3%	47%
Household Income-wise							
Low	9%	38%	9%	4%	35%	5%	47%
Medium	13%	50%	8%	3%	24%	2%	63%
High	11%	54%	7%	2%	24%	1%	65%
Don't Know	8%	26%	5%	3%	57%	1%	34%
No Answer	6%	17%	0%	11%	67%	0%	23%
Location-wise							
Urban	10%	58%	8%	3%	19%	3%	68%
Rural	10%	35%	8%	4%	40%	3%	45%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	44%	7%	3%	33%	2%	54%
PTI	7%	38%	9%	8%	33%	5%	45%
PPP	12%	33%	12%	2%	35%	6%	45%
All others	9%	38%	9%	5%	35%	4%	47%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 110: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 41%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 117: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	5%	36%	26%	17%	15%	1%	41%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	31%	28%	24%	12%	1%	36%
Female	5%	41%	24%	9%	19%	1%	46%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	40%	22%	16%	16%	1%	46%
Middle (30-50)	6%	36%	26%	17%	15%	1%	42%
Old (50+)	3%	31%	33%	17%	15%	1%	34%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	36%	26%	17%	14%	1%	42%
Medium	6%	36%	25%	15%	18%	1%	42%
High	3%	41%	25%	16%	14%	0%	44%
Don't Know	5%	32%	33%	15%	14%	1%	37%
No Answer	3%	17%	14%	42%	22%	3%	20%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	43%	24%	10%	19%	2%	46%
Rural	6%	33%	27%	20%	14%	1%	39%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	37%	27%	16%	14%	1%	42%
PTI	5%	31%	26%	19%	17%	2%	36%
PPP	7%	33%	26%	17%	17%	0%	40%
All others	6%	34%	24%	18%	17%	2%	40%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 111: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 32%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 118: Environmental Sustainability (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	3%	29%	35%	20%	11%	1%	32%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	29%	35%	24%	9%	1%	31%
Female	4%	29%	36%	17%	14%	1%	33%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	30%	38%	20%	8%	1%	33%
Middle (30-50)	3%	29%	34%	21%	11%	1%	32%
Old (50+)	3%	26%	35%	19%	16%	2%	29%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	28%	31%	20%	14%	2%	32%
Medium	2%	27%	41%	21%	8%	1%	29%
High	2%	33%	43%	18%	4%	0%	35%
Don't Know	3%	26%	30%	18%	23%	1%	29%
No Answer	0%	33%	19%	39%	8%	0%	33%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	34%	39%	18%	6%	1%	36%
Rural	3%	26%	34%	21%	14%	1%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	3%	33%	34%	17%	12%	2%	36%
PTI	3%	18%	44%	26%	8%	1%	21%
PPP	6%	18%	37%	18%	19%	2%	24%
All others	3%	19%	39%	29%	10%	0%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 112: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 43%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 119: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	9%	34%	24%	14%	17%	2%	43%
Gender-wise							
Male	10%	34%	21%	15%	19%	2%	44%
Female	8%	33%	28%	14%	16%	2%	41%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	10%	34%	22%	16%	15%	3%	44%
Middle (30-50)	9%	34%	24%	15%	16%	2%	43%
Old (50+)	7%	33%	26%	11%	21%	2%	40%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	32%	23%	14%	18%	4%	40%
Medium	8%	36%	25%	15%	15%	1%	44%
High	11%	40%	27%	13%	11%	0%	51%
Don't Know	10%	29%	21%	12%	29%	1%	39%
No Answer	11%	23%	11%	29%	23%	3%	34%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	34%	31%	13%	13%	2%	42%
Rural	9%	34%	21%	15%	19%	3%	43%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	36%	24%	12%	16%	2%	46%
PTI	3%	29%	30%	19%	16%	3%	32%
PPP	8%	29%	20%	17%	25%	1%	37%
All others	6%	30%	22%	21%	19%	3%	36%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 113: Immunization of Children (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 91%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 120: Immunization of Children (Punjab)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	48%	43%	5%	2%	2%	0%	91%
Gender-wise							
Male	46%	43%	8%	2%	1%	0%	89%
Female	50%	43%	3%	1%	3%	1%	93%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	52%	38%	6%	2%	2%	0%	90%
Middle (30-50)	46%	45%	5%	2%	1%	0%	91%
Old (50+)	46%	45%	4%	2%	3%	0%	91%
Household Income-wise							
Low	42%	45%	8%	2%	3%	0%	87%
Medium	49%	44%	4%	2%	1%	1%	93%
High	56%	40%	3%	1%	0%	0%	96%
Don't Know	51%	41%	3%	2%	3%	0%	92%
No Answer	64%	28%	0%	3%	6%	0%	92%
Location-wise							
Urban	49%	43%	5%	1%	1%		92%
Rural	47%	43%	5%	2%	2%	0%	90%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	52%	41%	4%	1%	2%	0%	93%
PTI	39%	45%	8%	7%	1%	0%	84%
PPP	37%	43%	11%	4%	5%	1%	80%
All others	39%	51%	7%	2%	3%	0%	90%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 114: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - Establishment of Local Governments (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 26%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 121: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers - Establishment of Local Governments (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now? Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	3%	23%	13%	7%	49%	6%	26%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	26%	17%	10%	40%	5%	29%
Female	4%	20%	9%	3%	58%	6%	24%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	28%	13%	6%	44%	5%	32%
Middle (30-50)	4%	21%	13%	8%	49%	6%	25%
Old (50+)	3%	23%	13%	6%	51%	5%	26%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	20%	16%	7%	47%	6%	24%
Medium	1%	24%	10%	7%	51%	7%	25%
High	4%	29%	15%	5%	43%	4%	33%
Don't Know	4%	24%	6%	7%	57%	2%	28%
No Answer	3%	19%	3%	8%	61%	6%	22%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	23%	18%	6%	45%	6%	25%
Rural	4%	22%	11%	7%	51%	6%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	23%	11%	5%	51%	5%	27%
PTI	2%	21%	18%	13%	41%	6%	23%
PPP	4%	27%	11%	6%	49%	4%	31%
All others	3%	23%	18%	9%	42%	6%	26%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Table 115: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 24%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 122: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among districts and various Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good 1	Good 2	Bad 3	Very Bad 4	Don't Know 5	No Answer 6	Approval Rating 2015 7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	4%	20%	17%	7%	48%	5%	24%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	23%	18%	11%	41%	5%	26%
Female	5%	17%	17%	3%	54%	6%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	22%	17%	6%	44%	6%	28%
Middle (30-50)	4%	19%	16%	8%	48%	5%	23%
Old (50+)	2%	18%	20%	5%	51%	4%	20%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	17%	19%	7%	46%	6%	22%
Medium	4%	17%	16%	7%	50%	6%	21%
High	3%	30%	16%	5%	43%	4%	33%
Don't Know	5%	17%	11%	9%	56%	2%	22%
No Answer	6%	8%	14%	14%	58%	0%	14%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	26%	20%	6%	42%	4%	29%
Rural	5%	17%	16%	8%	50%	6%	22%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	21%	16%	6%	48%	6%	25%
PTI	2%	16%	17%	15%	49%	2%	18%
PPP	5%	14%	21%	10%	46%	4%	19%
All others	4%	18%	19%	8%	45%	5%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 116: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Effective Devolution of Power (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 21%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PIL DAT)*

October 2015

Table 123: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Effective Devolution of Power (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	4%	17%	10%	4%	59%	6%	21%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	21%	14%	6%	50%	6%	25%
Female	4%	14%	6%	3%	68%	6%	18%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	19%	12%	4%	55%	6%	23%
Middle (30-50)	4%	16%	9%	5%	60%	6%	20%
Old (50+)	4%	17%	11%	2%	62%	6%	21%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	14%	11%	5%	59%	7%	19%
Medium	2%	17%	9%	4%	60%	9%	19%
High	3%	23%	11%	3%	56%	3%	26%
Don't Know	4%	19%	7%	5%	63%	1%	23%
No Answer	3%	17%	6%	8%	64%	3%	20%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	19%	13%	3%	54%	7%	23%
Rural	4%	16%	9%	5%	62%	5%	20%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	18%	9%	3%	61%	6%	22%
PTI	1%	13%	14%	12%	60%	2%	14%
PPP	5%	13%	7%	8%	58%	8%	18%
All others	4%	16%	14%	5%	53%	8%	20%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 117: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 39%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 124: Access to Efficient Justice System (Punjab)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	6%	33%	16%	10%	35%	2%	39%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	38%	18%	12%	27%	2%	42%
Female	7%	28%	13%	8%	43%	1%	35%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	37%	15%	9%	33%	1%	42%
Middle (30-50)	6%	32%	16%	10%	35%	2%	38%
Old (50+)	6%	31%	16%	9%	37%	2%	37%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	29%	16%	10%	36%	2%	35%
Medium	5%	36%	15%	10%	34%	1%	41%
High	7%	45%	16%	7%	24%	1%	52%
Don't Know	4%	22%	15%	10%	50%	0%	26%
No Answer	3%	19%	14%	14%	47%	3%	22%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	42%	15%	9%	30%	1%	46%
Rural	6%	29%	16%	10%	37%	2%	35%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	35%	15%	9%	35%	2%	41%
PTI	5%	25%	18%	21%	30%	2%	30%
PPP	8%	27%	14%	5%	44%	1%	35%
All others	5%	31%	18%	10%	35%	2%	36%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 118: Public Transport (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 61%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 125: Public Transport (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	15%	46%	22%	12%	5%	1%	61%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	46%	23%	17%	3%	1%	57%
Female	19%	46%	20%	7%	8%	1%	65%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	15%	51%	18%	12%	4%	1%	66%
Middle (30-50)	16%	44%	23%	12%	5%	1%	60%
Old (50+)	15%	44%	23%	11%	8%	0%	59%
Household Income-wise							
Low	12%	44%	25%	13%	6%	1%	56%
Medium	10%	52%	25%	10%	2%	1%	62%
High	31%	45%	13%	9%	3%	0%	76%
Don't Know	14%	50%	14%	13%	9%	1%	64%
No Answer	8%	19%	25%	31%	17%	0%	27%
Location-wise							
Urban	24%	49%	15%	8%	3%	1%	73%
Rural	11%	45%	24%	13%	6%	1%	56%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	17%	46%	21%	11%	4%	1%	63%
PTI	12%	37%	21%	23%	6%	1%	49%
PPP	19%	36%	28%	13%	2%	1%	55%
All others	9%	50%	23%	9%	7%	1%	59%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 119: Access to Safe Drinking Water (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 44%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 126: Access to Safe Drinking Water (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	8%	36%	35%	18%	2%	1%	44%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	30%	37%	22%	2%	1%	39%
Female	7%	41%	34%	13%	3%	1%	48%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	32%	36%	18%	3%	1%	43%
Middle (30-50)	8%	37%	35%	18%	2%	1%	45%
Old (50+)	7%	36%	36%	18%	3%	0%	43%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	35%	32%	21%	3%	2%	42%
Medium	6%	31%	46%	14%	2%	0%	37%
High	13%	40%	38%	9%	1%	0%	53%
Don't Know	7%	42%	25%	24%	2%	0%	49%
No Answer	8%	17%	25%	42%	8%	0%	25%
Location-wise							
Urban	12%	42%	32%	13%	1%	0%	54%
Rural	7%	33%	37%	20%	3%	1%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	9%	39%	34%	15%	2%	1%	48%
PTI	6%	35%	28%	28%	2%	0%	41%
PPP	10%	32%	36%	18%	4%	1%	42%
All others	7%	23%	43%	23%	3%	1%	30%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 120: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 35%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PIL DAT)*

October 2015

Table 127: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	5%	30%	35%	27%	3%	1%	35%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	29%	35%	29%	2%	0%	34%
Female	4%	32%	34%	26%	3%	1%	36%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	27%	35%	29%	3%	1%	32%
Middle (30-50)	5%	33%	33%	26%	2%	0%	38%
Old (50+)	4%	28%	37%	28%	3%	1%	32%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	28%	35%	29%	3%	1%	32%
Medium	3%	30%	39%	26%	2%	0%	33%
High	8%	39%	30%	22%	1%	0%	47%
Don't Know	2%	29%	35%	29%	5%	1%	31%
No Answer	3%	22%	17%	56%	3%	0%	25%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	44%	29%	22%	1%	0%	48%
Rural	5%	25%	37%	30%	4%	1%	30%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	33%	35%	25%	3%	0%	38%
PTI	5%	24%	38%	31%	2%	1%	29%
PPP	7%	33%	29%	25%	5%	1%	40%
All others	3%	24%	35%	36%	2%	0%	27%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level


1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 121: Water Resources Development and Management (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 128: Water Resources Development and Management (Punjab)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Punjab	5%	35%	27%	15%	16%	1%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	38%	26%	17%	12%	1%	44%
Female	5%	33%	28%	14%	21%	1%	38%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	38%	25%	14%	18%	1%	43%
Middle (30-50)	6%	35%	27%	16%	15%	1%	41%
Old (50+)	5%	32%	29%	15%	18%	0%	37%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	31%	27%	19%	17%	1%	36%
Medium	5%	38%	30%	12%	14%	0%	43%
High	7%	43%	27%	9%	15%	0%	50%
Don't Know	3%	34%	24%	16%	21%	1%	37%
No Answer	3%	36%	6%	42%	14%	0%	39%
Location-wise							
Urban	5%	43%	23%	10%	19%	0%	48%
Rural	5%	32%	29%	18%	15%	1%	37%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	37%	27%	14%	17%	1%	42%
PTI	8%	26%	28%	21%	15%	2%	34%
PPP	8%	31%	26%	17%	14%	4%	39%
All others	5%	35%	26%	18%	16%	0%	40%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 1778; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 – June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

**Quality of Governance – Sindh Government –
Views of Respondents from All Over Sindh**

October 2015

Table 129: Report Card on Public Opinion on Quality of Governance - Sindh

No.	Indicator	Approval Rating 2015	Approval Rating 2014
1	Law and Order	53%	32%
2	Poverty Alleviation	16%	20%
3	Education	38%	31%
4	Healthcare	35%	34%
5	Transparency	21%	30%
6	Energy Production and Management	21%	19%
7	Anti- Corruption	23%	17%
8	Investment Friendliness	20%	29%
9	Tax Collection	40%	31%
10	Utility Bills Collection	40%	38%
11	Management of Unemployment	12%	24%
12	Development Programmes	23%	33%
13	Quality and Independence of Civil Service	26%	26%
14	Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions	19%	18%
15	Use of Technologies for Better Governance	29%	27%
16	Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements	16%	24%
17	Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs	25%	36%
18	Environmental Sustainability	26%	25%
19	Disaster Preparedness and Management	22%	28%
20	Immunization of Children	77%	46%
21	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Establishment of Local Governments	28%	24%
22	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments	26%	N/A
23	Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers –Effective Devolution of Power	25%	N/A
24	Access to Efficient Justice System	34%	25%
25	Public Transport	27%	43%

October 2015

26	Access to Safe Drinking Water	18%	28%
27	Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal)	23%	24%
28	Water Resource Development and Management	29%	29%

Public Opinion on Law and Order -53% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 53% gave a positive rating while 42% gave a negative rating to the Provincial Government regarding the issue of law and order. The overall Approval Rating is therefore at 53%.

Public Opinion on Poverty Alleviation - 16% provide Approval Rating in 2015

16% provided a positive rating to the alleviation of poverty under the current Government while a large majority, 81% of the Sindhi respondents provided a negative rating. The overall Approval Rating is therefore 16%.

Public Opinion on Education - 38% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 38% of the public gave positive ratings to education under the Provincial Government while 60% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Health Care - 35% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public Opinion on the effectiveness of health care system stands at an overall 35% of the public in approval. On the other hand, a majority 62% of the public gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Transparency - 21% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of transparency, 21% of the respondents viewed Government organizations as positive while 51% rated it negatively. Hence the overall Approval Rating in 2015 stood at a minority 21% of the Sindhi population.

Public Opinion on Energy Production and Management - 21% provide Approval Rating in 2015

21% of the respondents rated energy production and management positively while a majority 72% of the Sindhi public rated it negatively. The Approval Rating in 2015 stood at a minority 21% of the public.

Public Opinion on Anti- Corruption - 23% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that only 23% people rated anti-corruption efforts as positive while 67% viewed them

as negative. The Approval Rating in 2015 for actions taken against corruption is therefore 23%.

Public Opinion on Investment Friendliness - 20% provide Approval Rating in 2015

20% of those questioned rated the investment friendliness efforts as positive and 50% as negative. Hence the Approval Rating meter stands out at 20% of the public of Sindh.

Public Opinion on Tax Collection - 40% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's perception of the tax collection tactics of the Provincial Government stood at 42% of the respondents in disapproval. The Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 40% of the population.

Public Opinion on Utility Bills Collection - 40% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of utility bills collection, 40% rated it positive while 51% were negative about it. The Approval Rating for this category in 2015 was therefore a minority; 40% of the Sindhi public.

Public Opinion on Management of Unemployment - 12% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey only 12% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the management of unemployment by the Provincial Government while an outright majority of 84% gave a negative rating. Thus, the Government's overall Approval Rating in 2015 regarding the handling of unemployment was a minority 12% of the population of Sindh. The Provincial Government's rating was the lowest in this category.

Public Opinion on Development Programmes - 23% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that only 23% respondents rated development programmes as positive while 60% of the people rated them as negative. The Approval Rating 2015 for development programmes of the Provincial Government therefore stands at a minority 23% in 2015.

Public Opinion on Quality & Independence of Civil Service - 26% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Only 26% of the public provided a positive rating for

October 2015

the quality & independence of civil service under the current Government while a majority 52% provided a negative rating. The overall Approval Rating is therefore 26% in 2015.

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions - 19% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on merit-based recruitments and promotions was below 50%, hence a majority of the public was not in approval of the system of merit-based hiring by the Provincial Government. Only 19% of the public gave a positive rating while 75% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements - 16% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey a minority 16% of the public gave positive ratings to the clean, efficient and economic public procurements while 54% gave a negative rating. Thus the Approval Rating in 2015 of 16% of the Sindhi public was one of the lowest.

Public Opinion on Use of Technologies for Better Governance - 29% provide Approval Rating in 2015

29% of the people rated the use of technologies for better governance as positive while 44% rated it negatively. The overall Approval Rating in 2015 was a minority; 29% of the public.

Public Opinion on Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs - 25% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Approval Rating in 2015 was 25% of the public as this proportion positively rated the management of supply of agricultural inputs by the Provincial Government. Meanwhile, 60% people rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Environment Sustainability - 26% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public's opinion on the Government's efforts towards environment sustainability was rated positively at a minority 26% negatively at 67% of the population of the province. Thus, a majority of Sindhis disapproved of the work of the Provincial Government for their environment.

Public Opinion on Disaster Preparedness and Management - 22% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey 22% of the surveyed public gave positive ratings to the disaster preparedness & management of the Provincial Government while 61% gave a negative rating.

Public Opinion on Immunization of Children - 77% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Survey results show that a resounding majority 77% of the respondents rated immunization of children positively, making it the highest ranked indicator of the Provincial Government.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Establishment of Local Governments - 28% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the establishment of local governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 28% of the public. 44% of the population's views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments – 26% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the distribution of resources to Local Governments, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 26% of the public. 42% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers: Effective Devolution of Power- 26% provide Approval Rating in 2015

On the issue of devolution of powers to the lower tiers and the effective devolution of power, the overall Approval Rating in 2015 came out to be 26% of the public. 38% of the respondents' views were negative on the performance of the Government for this indicator.

Public Opinion on Access to Efficient Justice System - 34% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The public opinion on the access to efficient justice system is 34% of the public in approval, while 36% rated it negatively.

Public Opinion on Public Transport - 27% provide Approval Rating in 2015

The Government received positive ratings from only 27% of the respondents for its performance regarding public transport, while 66% of the respondents gave negative ratings. The Approval Rating in 2015 stands at just 27% of the people of the province.

Public Opinion on Access to Safe Drinking Water- 18% provide Approval Rating in 2015

Regarding the Government's performance on supply of

October 2015

access to safe drinking water, a minority 18% of the respondents gave a positive rating while a majority 77% was of a negative opinion. The Approval Rating in 2015 is therefore just 18% of the population of the province.

Public Opinion on Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) - 23% provide Approval Rating in 2015

23% of the respondents gave positive ratings while an outright majority of 71% gave negative ratings to the Governments for its performance on sanitation.


Public Opinion on Water Resources Development and Management – 29% provide Approval Rating in 2015

According to the survey, 29% of the respondents gave positive ratings to the Provincial Government for its performance on development and management of irrigation water. On the other hand, 56% gave negative ratings. Hence, the Approval Rating for this indicator was 29% of the population in 2015.

October 2015

Figure 122: Law & Order (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 53%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 130: Law & Order (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	6%	47%	30%	12%	5%	1%	53%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	50%	30%	9%	3%	1%	57%
Female	5%	45%	30%	14%	6%	1%	50%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	42%	29%	14%	7%	1%	50%
Middle (30-50)	5%	50%	29%	11%	3%	1%	55%
Old (50+)	3%	47%	34%	11%	6%	0%	50%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	49%	23%	15%	6%	1%	57%
Medium	5%	48%	33%	9%	5%	1%	53%
High	5%	45%	36%	10%	3%	1%	50%
Don't Know	0%	30%	57%	11%	3%	0%	30%
No Answer	0%	54%	31%	4%	4%	6%	54%
Location-wise							
Urban	7%	49%	32%	8%	4%	1%	56%
Rural	4%	46%	28%	16%	5%	1%	50%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	57%	26%	4%	4%	0%	67%
PTI	6%	53%	33%	6%	2%	0%	59%
PPP	5%	42%	30%	14%	8%	2%	47%
All others	6%	51%	30%	11%	2%	0%	57%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 123: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 16%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 131: Poverty Alleviation (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	14%	34%	47%	3%	1%	16%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	17%	39%	39%	2%	1%	20%
Female	1%	12%	29%	55%	3%	1%	13%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	14%	34%	48%	2%	2%	15%
Middle (30-50)	2%	16%	35%	44%	3%	0%	18%
Old (50+)	1%	8%	32%	56%	3%	0%	9%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	15%	33%	46%	4%	1%	18%
Medium	2%	14%	34%	49%	1%	0%	16%
High	1%	8%	40%	49%	2%	1%	9%
Don't Know	0%	0%	22%	76%	0%	3%	0%
No Answer	0%	42%	25%	23%	8%	2%	42%
Location-wise							
Urban	1%	11%	33%	51%	2%	1%	12%
Rural	2%	18%	34%	42%	4%	1%	20%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	24%	26%	49%	2%	0%	24%
PTI	0%	10%	33%	55%	2%	0%	10%
PPP	3%	20%	35%	36%	5%	2%	23%
All others	1%	8%	34%	57%	1%	0%	9%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 124: Education (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 132: Education (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	3%	35%	35%	25%	2%	1%	38%
Gender-wise							
Male	1%	33%	40%	23%	2%	1%	34%
Female	5%	37%	29%	27%	2%	1%	42%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	31%	37%	23%	3%	1%	36%
Middle (30-50)	2%	38%	33%	25%	2%	1%	40%
Old (50+)	1%	29%	38%	29%	3%	0%	30%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	45%	29%	20%	3%	0%	49%
Medium	2%	26%	42%	29%	1%	1%	28%
High	3%	22%	47%	27%	2%	0%	25%
Don't Know	0%	8%	32%	54%	3%	3%	8%
No Answer	0%	56%	21%	21%	0%	2%	56%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	28%	37%	29%	2%	1%	31%
Rural	3%	42%	32%	20%	2%	0%	45%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	33%	41%	24%	0%	0%	35%
PTI	0%	31%	47%	20%	2%	0%	31%
PPP	3%	47%	28%	20%	2%	1%	50%
All others	3%	24%	40%	31%	2%	0%	27%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 125: Healthcare (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 35%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 133: Healthcare (Sindh)

Question:The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	4%	31%	37%	25%	3%	0%	35%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	30%	45%	20%	2%	1%	34%
Female	3%	33%	29%	31%	4%	0%	36%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	33%	34%	23%	5%	1%	37%
Middle (30-50)	4%	33%	36%	25%	2%	0%	37%
Old (50+)	1%	24%	44%	29%	3%	0%	25%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	34%	37%	21%	2%	1%	40%
Medium	2%	32%	32%	30%	3%	0%	34%
High	2%	18%	50%	28%	2%	0%	20%
Don't Know	0%	16%	24%	54%	5%	0%	16%
No Answer	0%	65%	19%	13%	4%	0%	65%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	25%	37%	31%	4%	1%	28%
Rural	5%	38%	36%	19%	2%	0%	43%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	35%	33%	26%	2%	0%	39%
PTI	0%	27%	33%	37%	4%	0%	27%
PPP	6%	43%	32%	16%	3%	1%	49%
All others	2%	19%	43%	33%	3%	0%	21%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 126: Transparency (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 21%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 134: Transparency (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	1%	20%	36%	15%	24%	4%	21%
Gender-wise							
Male	1%	22%	44%	16%	16%	2%	23%
Female	2%	19%	28%	14%	32%	6%	21%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	22%	35%	15%	23%	2%	25%
Middle (30-50)	1%	21%	36%	14%	24%	4%	22%
Old (50+)	0%	12%	39%	21%	24%	5%	12%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	18%	24%	19%	35%	3%	20%
Medium	1%	23%	45%	11%	17%	4%	24%
High	0%	15%	50%	13%	16%	6%	15%
Don't Know	0%	22%	46%	24%	3%	5%	22%
No Answer	4%	38%	43%	6%	6%	2%	42%
Location-wise							
Urban	1%	21%	39%	13%	20%	6%	22%
Rural	2%	19%	33%	17%	28%	1%	21%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	36%	32%	18%	8%	4%	38%
PTI	0%	14%	33%	12%	35%	6%	14%
PPP	2%	24%	31%	12%	29%	2%	26%
All others	1%	15%	43%	18%	19%	5%	16%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2.5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 127: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 21%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PIL DAT)*

October 2015

Table 135: Energy Production and Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	4%	17%	36%	36%	7%	1%	21%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	17%	44%	28%	5%	1%	22%
Female	2%	17%	28%	43%	8%	1%	19%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	20%	36%	34%	5%	2%	24%
Middle (30-50)	4%	18%	36%	34%	7%	1%	22%
Old (50+)	2%	11%	35%	44%	8%	0%	13%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	14%	31%	39%	10%	1%	18%
Medium	4%	23%	37%	30%	4%	2%	27%
High	2%	12%	50%	34%	3%	0%	14%
Don't Know	0%	8%	30%	57%	3%	3%	8%
No Answer	2%	40%	32%	21%	2%	2%	42%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	16%	38%	38%	4%	1%	19%
Rural	4%	18%	34%	33%	9%	1%	22%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	24%	43%	31%	2%	0%	24%
PTI	2%	15%	31%	31%	19%	2%	17%
PPP	6%	21%	32%	31%	8%	2%	27%
All others	1%	13%	40%	42%	3%	1%	14%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 128: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 23%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 136: Anti-Corruption (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti-Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	21%	32%	35%	9%	2%	23%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	21%	34%	34%	6%	1%	24%
Female	1%	20%	30%	35%	12%	3%	21%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	22%	32%	28%	11%	3%	25%
Middle (30-50)	3%	21%	30%	36%	8%	2%	24%
Old (50+)	0%	14%	39%	40%	7%	0%	14%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	18%	25%	36%	16%	2%	21%
Medium	3%	24%	34%	35%	3%	1%	27%
High	1%	18%	41%	37%	3%	1%	19%
Don't Know	0%	14%	57%	27%	0%	3%	14%
No Answer	4%	42%	29%	21%	2%	2%	46%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	21%	36%	34%	5%	3%	23%
Rural	3%	19%	28%	36%	14%	1%	22%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	24%	33%	31%	6%	0%	30%
PTI	2%	18%	27%	43%	10%	0%	20%
PPP	3%	24%	30%	29%	12%	2%	27%
All others	1%	17%	35%	40%	6%	2%	18%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 129: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 20%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 137: Investment Friendliness (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	18%	40%	10%	25%	5%	20%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	23%	46%	9%	16%	4%	26%
Female	1%	14%	35%	10%	35%	6%	15%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	18%	44%	11%	21%	4%	20%
Middle (30-50)	2%	19%	39%	10%	26%	4%	21%
Old (50+)	3%	15%	40%	8%	28%	7%	18%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	17%	27%	12%	36%	5%	20%
Medium	2%	24%	45%	8%	17%	5%	26%
High	2%	13%	56%	8%	18%	5%	15%
Don't Know	0%	5%	68%	14%	14%	0%	5%
No Answer	0%	29%	48%	6%	13%	4%	29%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	16%	46%	9%	21%	5%	18%
Rural	2%	21%	34%	10%	29%	4%	23%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	28%	43%	8%	20%	0%	30%
PTI	2%	6%	43%	8%	35%	6%	8%
PPP	3%	24%	33%	9%	26%	5%	27%
All others	1%	13%	47%	11%	23%	5%	14%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 130: Tax Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 138: Tax Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	7%	33%	27%	15%	14%	5%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	43%	27%	12%	6%	2%	54%
Female	3%	22%	27%	19%	22%	7%	25%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	28%	28%	17%	14%	5%	37%
Middle (30-50)	7%	36%	26%	15%	12%	4%	43%
Old (50+)	5%	27%	29%	14%	19%	7%	32%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	33%	17%	14%	22%	4%	43%
Medium	4%	33%	35%	15%	7%	5%	37%
High	5%	27%	44%	16%	6%	3%	32%
Don't Know	5%	41%	16%	19%	14%	5%	46%
No Answer	6%	38%	25%	19%	0%	13%	44%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	29%	32%	16%	10%	5%	37%
Rural	6%	37%	21%	14%	18%	4%	43%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	12%	34%	22%	20%	10%	2%	46%
PTI	4%	42%	29%	13%	10%	2%	46%
PPP	9%	38%	21%	11%	18%	4%	47%
All others	5%	26%	34%	20%	10%	6%	31%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 131: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 754 Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 June 12 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 139: Utility Bills Collection (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	5%	35%	33%	18%	8%	1%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	44%	32%	12%	5%	1%	51%
Female	3%	26%	33%	25%	12%	1%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	38%	28%	21%	3%	2%	46%
Middle (30-50)	5%	38%	31%	16%	9%	1%	43%
Old (50+)	3%	17%	46%	20%	13%	0%	20%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	38%	27%	16%	12%	1%	45%
Medium	4%	34%	34%	19%	7%	1%	38%
High	5%	28%	42%	22%	3%	1%	33%
Don't Know	-	11%	54%	32%	3%	0%	11%
No Answer	2%	54%	27%	10%	4%	2%	56%
Location-wise							
Urban	7%	32%	38%	20%	3%	0%	39%
Rural	3%	38%	27%	16%	14%	2%	41%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	37%	37%	22%	2%	0%	39%
PTI	8%	27%	29%	16%	18%	2%	35%
PPP	4%	43%	30%	11%	12%	1%	47%
All others	6%	28%	36%	25%	4%	1%	34%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 132: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 12%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 140: Management of Unemployment (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	1%	11%	37%	47%	3%	1%	12%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	12%	46%	38%	3%	0%	14%
Female	1%	10%	28%	56%	3%	2%	11%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	0%	13%	35%	47%	3%	2%	13%
Middle (30-50)	1%	13%	39%	44%	3%	1%	14%
Old (50+)	3%	4%	35%	56%	2%	0%	7%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	9%	35%	50%	4%	1%	10%
Medium	2%	13%	41%	42%	2%	1%	15%
High	0%	8%	47%	44%	2%	0%	8%
Don't Know	0%	3%	22%	73%	0%	3%	3%
No Answer	2%	36%	21%	32%	2%	6%	38%
Location-wise							
Urban	1%	11%	41%	43%	3%	2%	12%
Rural	1%	12%	32%	51%	3%	1%	13%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	16%	53%	28%	0%	0%	20%
PTI	0%	8%	53%	37%	0%	2%	8%
PPP	2%	17%	30%	46%	5%	2%	19%
All others	0%	6%	39%	52%	2%	1%	6%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 133: Development Programmes (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 23%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 141: Development Programmes (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	21%	40%	20%	15%	2%	23%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	24%	41%	17%	16%	1%	26%
Female	2%	18%	40%	23%	15%	3%	20%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	18%	42%	21%	15%	2%	20%
Middle (30-50)	2%	25%	38%	18%	15%	2%	27%
Old (50+)	3%	9%	45%	24%	19%	2%	12%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	21%	32%	21%	22%	2%	23%
Medium	2%	28%	37%	20%	13%	1%	30%
High	2%	12%	53%	21%	12%	1%	14%
Don't Know	3%	5%	68%	24%	0%	0%	8%
No Answer	4%	29%	54%	6%	2%	4%	33%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	21%	43%	21%	11%	2%	23%
Rural	2%	21%	37%	18%	20%	2%	23%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	39%	29%	14%	14%	2%	41%
PTI	2%	12%	41%	22%	20%	2%	14%
PPP	3%	25%	38%	18%	15%	2%	28%
All others	1%	15%	44%	23%	16%	1%	16%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 134: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 26%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 142: Quality & Independence of Civil Service (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	24%	39%	13%	20%	2%	26%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	26%	41%	12%	16%	1%	30%
Female	0%	23%	36%	13%	25%	4%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	27%	43%	9%	19%	2%	28%
Middle (30-50)	3%	26%	35%	14%	20%	2%	29%
Old (50+)	3%	14%	46%	12%	23%	3%	17%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	23%	27%	17%	27%	3%	26%
Medium	3%	29%	45%	8%	15%	1%	32%
High	0%	12%	59%	8%	20%	2%	12%
Don't Know	0%	19%	51%	19%	8%	3%	19%
No Answer	0%	54%	27%	6%	6%	6%	54%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	21%	45%	12%	17%	3%	23%
Rural	2%	29%	32%	13%	24%	1%	31%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	31%	37%	18%	12%	0%	33%
PTI	2%	13%	38%	19%	27%	2%	15%
PPP	4%	29%	32%	11%	23%	2%	33%
All others	0%	21%	46%	13%	18%	3%	21%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 135: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 19%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PIL DAT)*

October 2015

Table 143: Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitment and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	1%	18%	34%	41%	5%	2%	19%
Gender-wise							
Male	1%	15%	41%	38%	3%	2%	16%
Female	1%	21%	26%	45%	7%	1%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	17%	37%	41%	4%	1%	18%
Middle (30-50)	1%	19%	32%	42%	5%	2%	20%
Old (50+)	2%	13%	36%	39%	8%	2%	15%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	13%	31%	45%	9%	2%	14%
Medium	1%	19%	38%	38%	3%	1%	20%
High	2%	20%	40%	37%	2%	1%	22%
Don't Know	0%	8%	19%	68%	5%	0%	8%
No Answer	4%	42%	35%	19%	0%	0%	46%
Location-wise							
Urban	1%	18%	34%	43%	2%	2%	19%
Rural	1%	17%	34%	39%	9%	1%	18%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	22%	29%	45%	2%	0%	24%
PTI	0%	4%	31%	50%	15%	0%	4%
PPP	1%	22%	33%	35%	7%	2%	23%
All others	1%	14%	36%	46%	3%	1%	15%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 136: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 16%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PIL DAT)*

October 2015

Table 144: Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	1%	15%	36%	18%	25%	5%	16%
Gender-wise							
Male	1%	15%	42%	14%	24%	3%	16%
Female	1%	16%	28%	22%	26%	7%	17%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	15%	34%	19%	23%	8%	16%
Middle (30-50)	1%	16%	37%	17%	24%	4%	17%
Old (50+)	0%	10%	32%	22%	34%	3%	10%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	16%	27%	18%	32%	6%	17%
Medium	2%	15%	40%	18%	23%	3%	17%
High	0%	5%	55%	15%	24%	2%	5%
Don't Know	0%	3%	24%	54%	8%	11%	3%
No Answer	2%	54%	25%	8%	6%	4%	56%
Location-wise							
Urban	1%	10%	42%	21%	21%	5%	12%
Rural	1%	21%	29%	16%	30%	5%	21%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	24%	31%	20%	20%	4%	26%
PTI	0%	8%	38%	10%	35%	8%	8%
PPP	2%	23%	29%	17%	26%	3%	25%
All others	0%	7%	42%	20%	24%	6%	7%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 137: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 29%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 140: Use of Technologies for Better Governance (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your Province has been in power for almost one year. Regarding the effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to government employees in the use of new technologies, please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	5%	24%	34%	10%	24%	4%	29%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	23%	37%	9%	24%	3%	28%
Female	5%	24%	30%	11%	25%	4%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	6%	19%	36%	14%	21%	4%	25%
Middle (30-50)	3%	27%	32%	9%	26%	4%	30%
Old (50+)	9%	19%	38%	9%	24%	1%	28%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	24%	21%	11%	35%	4%	29%
Medium	7%	26%	39%	9%	16%	2%	33%
High	2%	18%	51%	9%	18%	2%	20%
Don't Know	5%	14%	65%	14%	3%	0%	19%
No Answer	8%	42%	23%	8%	10%	8%	50%
Location-wise							
Urban	6%	25%	38%	11%	17%	3%	31%
Rural	4%	22%	29%	9%	33%	4%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	35%	37%	4%	16%	0%	43%
PTI	0%	23%	46%	6%	21%	4%	23%
PPP	5%	25%	28%	9%	29%	4%	30%
All others	5%	21%	36%	12%	22%	4%	26%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 138: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 25%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 146: Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	23%	40%	20%	13%	2%	25%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	24%	42%	17%	14%	1%	26%
Female	2%	23%	39%	22%	11%	3%	25%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	23%	35%	23%	12%	3%	26%
Middle (30-50)	2%	25%	41%	18%	13%	2%	27%
Old (50+)	0%	19%	47%	20%	13%	1%	19%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	24%	39%	23%	9%	2%	26%
Medium	1%	25%	38%	18%	17%	2%	26%
High	2%	14%	47%	17%	20%	2%	16%
Don't Know	3%	5%	54%	30%	3%	3%	8%
No Answer	2%	58%	27%	6%	2%	4%	60%
Location-wise							
Urban	1%	20%	38%	16%	22%	3%	21%
Rural	3%	27%	43%	24%	3%	1%	30%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	31%	24%	22%	22%	0%	33%
PTI	0%	12%	57%	10%	16%	4%	12%
PPP	3%	28%	41%	21%	5%	1%	31%
All others	1%	19%	39%	19%	19%	3%	20%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 139: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 26%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 147: Environmental Sustainability (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	24%	38%	29%	5%	2%	26%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	25%	46%	19%	5%	2%	28%
Female	1%	22%	30%	39%	6%	2%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	21%	37%	36%	2%	2%	23%
Middle (30-50)	2%	27%	37%	27%	5%	1%	29%
Old (50+)	1%	15%	43%	28%	11%	3%	16%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	24%	37%	29%	7%	2%	26%
Medium	3%	25%	37%	28%	6%	1%	28%
High	3%	15%	48%	30%	2%	1%	18%
Don't Know	0%	11%	24%	60%	5%	0%	11%
No Answer	2%	56%	23%	15%	0%	4%	58%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	18%	41%	31%	5%	3%	20%
Rural	2%	31%	35%	27%	6%	0%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	31%	39%	28%	0%	2%	31%
PTI	2%	31%	47%	14%	6%	0%	33%
PPP	3%	32%	29%	25%	9%	2%	35%
All others	1%	13%	46%	36%	3%	1%	14%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile).

High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 140: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 22%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PIL DAT)*

PIL DAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 148: Disaster Preparedness & Management (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents form All Over Sindh	3%	19%	34%	27%	15%	3%	22%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	21%	41%	26%	8%	1%	24%
Female	3%	18%	27%	27%	21%	5%	21%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	4%	22%	28%	32%	10%	4%	26%
Middle (30-50)	2%	19%	36%	25%	15%	3%	21%
Old (50+)	4%	13%	36%	24%	20%	3%	17%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	20%	28%	29%	18%	3%	22%
Medium	3%	22%	39%	25%	9%	3%	25%
High	3%	9%	52%	33%	2%	2%	12%
Don't Know	5%	0%	16%	22%	49%	8%	5%
No Answer	8%	50%	17%	6%	17%	2%	58%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	14%	40%	28%	11%	4%	18%
Rural	2%	25%	27%	25%	19%	2%	27%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	26%	26%	39%	4%	4%	28%
PTI	4%	4%	31%	27%	25%	10%	8%
PPP	4%	28%	28%	20%	19%	2%	32%
All others	3%	11%	43%	32%	11%	2%	14%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 141: Immunization of Children (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 77%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 149: Immunization of Children (Sindh)

Question: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	16%	61%	14%	6%	2%	0%	77%
Gender-wise							
Male	12%	62%	15%	7%	3%	0%	74%
Female	20%	60%	13%	6%	1%	0%	80%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	15%	52%	18%	11%	3%	0%	67%
Middle (30-50)	15%	64%	14%	5%	1%	0%	79%
Old (50+)	21%	64%	10%	3%	2%	0%	85%
Household Income-wise							
Low	17%	55%	16%	9%	3%	0%	72%
Medium	15%	65%	14%	5%	1%	0%	80%
High	18%	71%	8%	3%	1%	0%	89%
Don't Know	19%	70%	3%	8%	0%	0%	89%
No Answer	10%	63%	25%	2%	0%	0%	73%
Location-wise							
Urban	19%	69%	7%	3%	2%	0%	88%
Rural	13%	52%	23%	10%	2%	0%	65%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	18%	65%	8%	8%	2%	0%	83%
PTI	16%	61%	20%	0%	2%	0%	77%
PPP	15%	55%	20%	8%	2%	0%	70%
All others	18%	67%	8%	6%	2%	0%	85%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating =45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 142: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Establishment of Local Governments (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 150: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Establishment of Local Governments (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	26%	31%	13%	25%	4%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	25%	37%	9%	24%	3%	27%
Female	1%	26%	25%	18%	25%	6%	27%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	28%	33%	12%	22%	3%	30%
Middle (30-50)	2%	26%	31%	13%	23%	5%	28%
Old (50+)	0%	19%	27%	18%	34%	3%	19%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	20%	25%	16%	33%	4%	22%
Medium	1%	28%	36%	10%	20%	4%	29%
High	2%	16%	46%	15%	20%	2%	18%
Don't Know	5%	57%	8%	14%	14%	3%	62%
No Answer	0%	50%	33%	4%	2%	10%	50%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	23%	37%	15%	19%	5%	25%
Rural	1%	28%	24%	11%	31%	4%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	33%	29%	10%	24%	0%	37%
PTI	0%	16%	33%	8%	35%	8%	16%
PPP	3%	32%	22%	10%	28%	5%	35%
All others	0%	19%	40%	18%	19%	3%	19%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 143: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether Federal Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among the Provinces has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 26%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 754 Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June02 June 12 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 151: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether Federal Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among the Provinces has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	1%	25%	28%	14%	28%	4%	26%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	28%	33%	12%	24%	2%	30%
Female	1%	22%	23%	16%	32%	5%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	21%	31%	20%	21%	5%	23%
Middle (30-50)	1%	28%	27%	12%	28%	3%	29%
Old (50+)	0%	17%	26%	13%	41%	3%	17%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	24%	21%	17%	32%	5%	25%
Medium	1%	24%	34%	10%	29%	2%	25%
High	2%	13%	45%	14%	27%	0%	15%
Don't Know	3%	43%	8%	19%	24%	3%	46%
No Answer	2%	52%	17%	13%	6%	10%	54%
Location-wise							
Urban	1%	19%	35%	13%	27%	5%	20%
Rural	1%	31%	20%	16%	29%	3%	32%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	31%	37%	14%	16%	2%	31%
PTI	0%	18%	27%	8%	41%	6%	18%
PPP	2%	38%	20%	10%	26%	4%	40%
All others	1%	11%	35%	20%	30%	3%	12%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 144: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Effective Devolution of Power (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 26%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 152: Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers – Effective Devolution of Power (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	3%	23%	29%	9%	31%	6%	26%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	24%	36%	9%	25%	3%	28%
Female	2%	22%	21%	8%	38%	10%	24%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	3%	25%	23%	12%	30%	7%	28%
Middle (30-50)	2%	23%	31%	8%	30%	6%	25%
Old (50+)	3%	17%	30%	7%	38%	6%	20%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	18%	25%	11%	35%	7%	22%
Medium	2%	29%	30%	8%	27%	4%	31%
High	2%	20%	44%	5%	24%	5%	22%
Don't Know	3%	14%	14%	3%	61%	6%	17%
No Answer	-	48%	19%	4%	19%	10%	48%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	22%	32%	8%	28%	7%	25%
Rural	2%	24%	25%	10%	35%	5%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	31%	39%	8%	12%	4%	37%
PTI	2%	18%	31%	4%	35%	10%	20%
PPP	3%	28%	19%	8%	37%	5%	31%
All others	2%	17%	36%	10%	28%	7%	19%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 145: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 34%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 153: Access to Efficient Justice System (Sindh)

Question: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents form All Over Sindh	3%	31%	29%	7%	26%	4%	34%
Gender-wise							
Male	5%	33%	31%	7%	24%	2%	38%
Female	2%	29%	26%	7%	29%	7%	31%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	37%	27%	6%	25%	3%	39%
Middle (30-50)	4%	31%	30%	7%	25%	3%	35%
Old (50+)	2%	22%	28%	7%	31%	11%	24%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	27%	19%	9%	36%	5%	31%
Medium	3%	36%	34%	6%	19%	4%	39%
High	5%	25%	40%	3%	22%	5%	30%
Don't Know	0%	27%	46%	8%	14%	5%	27%
No Answer	2%	52%	35%	0%	8%	2%	54%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	30%	31%	7%	22%	6%	34%
Rural	2%	32%	26%	7%	30%	3%	34%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	34%	22%	8%	26%	2%	42%
PTI	0%	25%	27%	10%	33%	6%	25%
PPP	4%	34%	28%	6%	27%	2%	38%
All others	3%	29%	30%	6%	25%	7%	32%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile).

High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 146: Public Transport (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Table 154: Public Transport (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	25%	43%	23%	6%	1%	27%
Gender-wise							
Male	1%	19%	44%	30%	5%	1%	20%
Female	3%	32%	42%	15%	7%	1%	35%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	24%	42%	23%	8%	1%	26%
Middle (30-50)	3%	25%	43%	23%	6%	1%	28%
Old (50+)	1%	28%	45%	22%	4%	0%	29%
Household Income-wise							
Low	3%	23%	43%	23%	8%	1%	26%
Medium	1%	21%	46%	26%	6%	1%	22%
High	1%	27%	43%	26%	3%	0%	28%
Don't Know	5%	51%	24%	16%	3%	0%	56%
No Answer	4%	31%	50%	4%	6%	4%	35%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	24%	44%	24%	4%	1%	27%
Rural	1%	27%	42%	21%	8%	1%	28%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	20%	32%	36%	12%	0%	20%
PTI	0%	22%	43%	33%	2%	0%	22%
PPP	2%	29%	41%	16%	10%	2%	32%
All others	3%	22%	47%	26%	1%	0%	25%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 147: Access to Safe Drinking Water (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 18%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 155: Access to Safe Drinking Water (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	1%	17%	38%	39%	4%	1%	18%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	16%	37%	40%	3%	1%	18%
Female	1%	18%	39%	38%	4%	0%	19%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	17%	37%	41%	3%	2%	18%
Middle (30-50)	2%	17%	39%	39%	4%	0%	19%
Old (50+)	0%	19%	39%	38%	5%	0%	19%
Household Income-wise							
Low	1%	19%	32%	42%	5%	1%	20%
Medium	2%	15%	39%	43%	1%	1%	17%
High	1%	14%	44%	37%	5%	0%	15%
Don't Know	0%	5%	60%	32%	0%	3%	5%
No Answer	4%	27%	42%	23%	4%	0%	31%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	12%	39%	44%	4%	1%	14%
Rural	1%	23%	38%	34%	4%	1%	24%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	14%	22%	63%	2%	0%	14%
PTI	0%	20%	27%	47%	4%	2%	20%
PPP	3%	23%	36%	31%	6%	1%	26%
All others	0%	11%	45%	43%	2%	0%	11%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile).

High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

October 2015

Figure 148: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 23%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 156: Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection and Disposal) (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	21%	33%	38%	5%	0%	23%
Gender-wise							
Male	3%	22%	35%	36%	5%	0%	25%
Female	1%	21%	31%	40%	7%	0%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	2%	18%	33%	45%	2%	0%	20%
Middle (30-50)	3%	20%	34%	37%	7%	0%	23%
Old (50+)	1%	34%	28%	31%	7%	0%	35%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	18%	32%	36%	10%	0%	22%
Medium	2%	21%	39%	37%	1%	0%	23%
High	1%	32%	31%	34%	2%	1%	33%
Don't Know	0%	11%	19%	70%	0%	0%	11%
No Answer	2%	29%	27%	40%	2%	0%	31%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	23%	35%	38%	1%	1%	26%
Rural	2%	19%	30%	38%	10%	0%	21%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	24%	28%	45%	0%	0%	28%
PTI	2%	14%	25%	41%	18%	0%	16%
PPP	3%	19%	29%	40%	8%	0%	22%
All others	1%	24%	38%	34%	2%	0%	25%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 149: Water Resources Development and Management (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?



Approval Rating 2015 = 29%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 157: Water Resources Development and Management (Sindh)

Question: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Sindh	2%	27%	30%	26%	14%	2%	29%
Gender-wise							
Male	2%	24%	31%	24%	18%	2%	26%
Female	1%	31%	29%	28%	9%	2%	32%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	1%	33%	28%	18%	18%	2%	34%
Middle (30-50)	2%	27%	31%	27%	11%	2%	29%
Old (50+)	1%	19%	31%	31%	18%	0%	20%
Household Income-wise							
Low	2%	27%	33%	23%	14%	2%	29%
Medium	3%	28%	29%	27%	12%	2%	31%
High	1%	24%	28%	24%	23%	1%	25%
Don't Know	0%	22%	16%	60%	0%	3%	22%
No Answer	0%	46%	29%	19%	2%	4%	46%
Location-wise							
Urban	2%	21%	30%	28%	17%	2%	23%
Rural	1%	34%	31%	23%	10%	1%	35%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	0%	22%	24%	35%	20%	0%	22%
PTI	0%	4%	29%	48%	19%	0%	4%
PPP	2%	36%	27%	23%	9%	3%	38%
All others	1%	22%	35%	24%	17%	1%	23%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14,000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14,001 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 754; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101 Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good')

Detailed Indicators on Quality of Governance in Pakistan

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Comparative Position with respect to Zardari - 59% believe performance was improved in 2015

When respondents were asked to compare Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's Government with that of the PPP's tenure under President Zardari, the majority; 59% claimed that Nawaz Sharif's Government has performed better.

Comparative Position with respect to Musharraf - 50% believe performance was improved in 2015

While 50% respondents reported that the current Government is performing better as compared to Musharraf's regime, 28% assessed the performance as worse.

Future Possibility - 56% optimistic about 'Improvement of Economy' in 2015

56% of the respondents believe that this Government has 'A Lot of Chance' to 'Somewhat of a Chance' in strengthening Pakistan's economy during its tenure. On the contrary, 32% are more pessimistic on this assessment, and reported that the current Government has 'Very Little Chance' to 'No Chance at All' to improve Pakistan's economy.

Future Possibility - 61% optimistic about 'Improvement of Peace and Stability' in 2015

A majority of the respondents expect this Government to improve the security situation (61%), while a significant proportion (34%) believe that the Government has 'Very Little Chance' to 'No Chance' of achieving this objective.

Future Possibility - 54% optimistic about 'Controlling Terrorism' in 2015

41% of the respondents are pessimistic when it comes to gauging the PML-N Government's ability to rid the country of terrorism, while 54% are more hopeful and see the potential in this Government of successfully eliminating terrorism from the Country.

Future Possibility - 32% optimistic about 'Decreasing Unemployment' in 2015

64% of the respondents doubt that the Government can erase widespread unemployment, while only 32% remain hopeful about the Government's ability to generate economic growth required for expanding employment opportunities.

Future Possibility - 29% optimistic about 'Poverty Reduction' in 2015

A substantial 65% of the surveyed public thinks that the current Government has 'Very Little' to 'No Chance at All' in alleviating poverty in Pakistan. Only 29%

remain hopeful and believe that the Government will be able to significantly rectify the issue of poverty in the Country.

Future Possibility - 38% optimistic about 'Improving relations with the United States of America' in 2015

Less than half the respondents (38%) believe that the PML-N Government has 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Chance' for improving relations with the United States. However, an equally large proportion of the respondents (30%) see this Government's as having 'Very Little' to 'No Chance at All' of improving bilateral relations with the US.

Future Possibility - 29% optimistic about 'Improving relations with India' in 2015

While only 29% of the respondents believe that the Government has a good chance at attaining this objective, a marginally higher 38% of all respondents doubt that the efforts at harmonizing relations with India would bare fruit.

Future Possibility - 36% optimistic about 'Improving relations with Afghanistan' in 2015

36% of the respondents believe that the incumbent Government has a 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Chance' of improving relations with the State of Afghanistan. However, a significant proportion (29%) is of the view that the Government will be unable to improve relations with its western neighbour.

Trust in Institutions: National Accountability Bureau - 30% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

At present, Pakistanis appear uncertain about the NAB. 31% respondents assert that they have 'Little' to 'No Trust at All' in the National Accountability Bureau, while 30% report that they have 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Trust' in the Bureau.

Trust in Institutions: Government Officers - 32% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

55% of the respondents state that they have 'Little' to 'No Trust' at all in Government officers. Only 32% respondents state they have 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Trust' in the country's bureaucrats.

Trust in Institutions: Armed Forces - 75% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

At present, an overwhelming 75% of the respondents claim to have 'Somewhat' to 'A Lot of Trust' in Pakistan's Armed Forces. In contrast, only 13% state that they have 'Little' to 'No Trust at All' in the Armed Forces.

October 2015

Trust in Institutions: Police - 32% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A substantial 57% of all respondents stated that they had 'Little' to 'No Trust at All' in Pakistan's Police. In comparison, only 32% of the respondents expressed trust in the Police force.

Performance of the Prime Minister - 73% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

While a resounding 73% of the respondents rated his performance as 'Good' to 'Very Good', only 24% rated his performance in the last two years as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Chief Minister of Punjab- 78% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

By far the most popular Chief Minister in Pakistan out of all the provinces, 78% of the respondents rated his performance as 'Good' to 'Very Good'. Only 14% respondents rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Chief Minister of Sindh - 29% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A significant 43% of the respondents rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. Only 29% of the respondents rated the performance of the Chief Minister of Sindh's as 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of the Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa - 38% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

Chief Minister of KP's performance ratings are also in the negative at this point in time. 29% of the respondents rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad' while a relatively encouraging 38% evaluated his performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of the Chief Minister of Balochistan - 28% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A majority of the public gave a negative assessment of the performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan. While 28% respondents rated his performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good', 29% rated his performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Provincial Government of Punjab - 76% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

76% of the respondents rated the Punjab Government's performance as 'Good' to 'Very Good'. Only 14% respondents rated the performance of the Government as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. At this point in time, the Punjab Government enjoys the healthiest Approval Rating of

the four provinces.

Performance of the Provincial Government of Sindh - 30% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Sindh Government is evaluated negatively by a relative majority of the respondents from across Pakistan. A significantly large proportion (43%) of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 30% were in approval of the performance of the Government in 2015.

Performance of the Provincial Government of Balochistan - 28% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Balochistan Government is evaluated negatively by a significant proportion of the respondents from across Pakistan. 31% of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while only 28% of the public approved of the performance of the Government.

Performance of the Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa - 38% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The KP Government's performance was also deemed unsatisfactory by a significant ratio of respondents across Pakistan. 28% of all respondents rated the Provincial Government's performance negatively, while 38% approved of the performance of the Government.

Performance of the Ministry of Commerce: 47% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Commerce performed decently as an encouraging 47% of the respondents approved of its performance in 2015. 24% however negatively rated the performance of the Ministry.

Performance of the Ministry of Defence: 55% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of the Defence was the only Ministry under the Federal Government whose performance was approved by a majority of the respondents (55%) in 2015. Only 21% of the public felt that the performance of the Defence Ministry was not up to the mark.

Performance of the Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatisation: 33% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The performance of this particular Ministry was positively rated by a minority of the respondents

October 2015

as less than fifty percent of the public (33%) voted the performance as 'Very Good' or 'Good' in 2015. On the other hand, 32% Pakistanis rated the performance of the Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatisation as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs: 37% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs was positively voted by less than half of the respondents as only 37% Pakistanis rated the Ministry's performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. On the other hand, 27% Pakistanis perceived the performance of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs to be 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage: 41% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage did comparatively better as 41% of the respondents approved of the performance of this Ministry in 2015. With added effort, the Approval Ratings for this Ministry have the potential to outpace the fifty percent mark and resultantly gain the approval of the majority of Pakistanis. As it stands, 25% of the public has voted the performance of this Ministry as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication: 45% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication also performed well as a significant proportion of the respondents (45%) gave approval in 2015, only a few percentage points behind the majority approval mark of fifty percent. Conversely, only 21% respondents gave negative reviews over the performance of this Ministry. Further improvements in the workings of this Ministry can contribute in this Ministry being approved by a majority of the Pakistani public.

Performance of the Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control: 28% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A majority of the respondents disapproved of the performance of this Ministry. Only 28% of the respondents rated the performance of the Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. On the other hand, a significant 38% of the public believed the performance of this Ministry to be 'Bad' or

'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources: 40% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The Approval Ratings for this Ministry also exhibit encouraging signs as a significant 40% of the public rated the performance of this Ministry as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. This implies that with added effort, the Approval Rating can be improved to constitute the opinion of the majority of Pakistanis. On the flip side, 35% of the public felt that the performance of this Ministry was 'Bad' or 'Very Bad' in 2015.

Performance of the Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms: 40% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

A significant proportion of the respondents (40%) approved of the performance of this Ministry in 2015. Hence, additional improvements in the performance of the Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms can result in the Approval Ratings crossing the fifty percent mark and thus being approved by a majority of the public. As it stands in 2015, 27% of the public still feel the performance of this Ministry is not up to the mark and have voted 'Bad' or 'Very Bad' against it.

Performance of the Ministry of Railways: 43% provide Approval Rating in 2015

An encouraging and significant proportion (43%) of the respondents approved of the performance of the Ministry of Railways in 2015. On the other hand, 31% of the public felt that the performance was not satisfactory and hence voted in the negative.

Performance of the Ministry of Water and Power: 27% provide Approval Ratings in 2015

The performance of this Ministry was rated negatively by a majority of the public as 56% respondents felt that the performance was 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. On the other hand, only 27% of the respondents felt that the performance of the Ministry was 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Education: Highest Approval Rating for KP in 2015

A resounding majority of the public of KP approved of the performance of the Ministry of Education within their respective province as 86% respondents felt that the performance was 'Very Good' or 'Good'. Both Punjab and Balochistan recorded Approval Ratings above 50%, hence the majority of the public in these provinces was positive regarding the work done by

October 2015

their Governments regarding education. Sindh recorded the lowest Approval Rating as only 41% of the respondents approved of the performance of Sindh Government.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Health: KP Records Highest Approval Rating in 2015

The Ministry of Health performed well in KP as a significant 85% of the respondents rated the performance of the Ministry as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. Punjab came in second with an Approval Rating of 67%. In both Balochistan (49%) and Sindh (38%), the majority of the public negatively rated the performance of their respective Government.

Performance of the Provincial Home Department: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

A majority of the public negatively rated the performance of the Interior Ministry. Only a minority of the public approved of the performance in Balochistan (39%), Punjab (33%) and Sindh (33%). However, a majority of respondents in KP (57%) approved of the performance of the Ministry of Interior in 2015.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Finance: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Finance Department also generally performed poorly at the Provincial level as a minority of the public of Punjab (31%), Sindh (24%) and Balochistan (31%) rated its performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. On the other hand, 56% respondents in KP approved of the performance of the Finance Department and voted either 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Agriculture: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department of Agriculture also performed poorly in Punjab (40%), Sindh (25%) and Balochistan (22%) as the majority public in these Provinces rated the Provincial Government negatively, either 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. In KP, a majority (53%) approved of the performance of their Provincial Government.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Water and Irrigation: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department of Water and Irrigation also generally

performed poorly at the Provincial level as a minority of the public of Punjab (39%), Sindh (22%) and Balochistan (16%) rated its performance as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. On the other hand, 53% respondents in KP approved of the performance of the Department and voted either 'Good' or 'Very Good'.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Telecommunication: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department for Telecommunication also performed poorly in Punjab (35%), Sindh (25%), and Balochistan (28%) as the majority public in these Provinces rated the Provincial Government negatively, either 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. In KP, a majority (50%) approved of the performance of their Provincial Government.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Local Governments: Negative Approval Rating for all Provinces except KP in 2015

The Department for Local Governments also performed poorly in Punjab (26%), Sindh (23%), and Balochistan (34%) as the majority public in these Provinces rated the Provincial Government negatively, either 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'. In KP, a majority (56%) approved of the performance of their Provincial Government.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Mines and Minerals: People from all Provinces provide Negative Approval Ratings in 2015

The Ministry of Mines and Minerals was ranked the lowest in terms of performance by all Pakistanis in 2015 as the majority public of all the Provinces rated their respective Provincial Governments negatively. A minority of the respondents in Punjab (16%), Sindh (18%), Balochistan (19%) and KP (36%) rated the performance of their Government as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. The majority rated the performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

Performance of the Provincial Department for Forestry: Highest Approval Rating for KP in 2015

The Department of Forestry also performed poorly in all Provinces except KP as it got the approval of only a minority of the public in the provinces. 55% respondents in KP felt that the performance of their Government was 'Very Good' or 'Good'. In comparison, only 24%, 18% and 22% respondents in Punjab, Sindh and Balochistan respectively, approved of the

performance of their Provincial Government.


Performance of the Provincial Department for Planning and Development: People from all Provinces provide Negative Approval Ratings in 2015

The Department for Planning and Development was ranked negatively in terms of performance by all respondents in 2015 as the majority public of all the Provinces rated their respective Provincial Governments negatively. A minority of the respondents in Punjab (39%), Sindh (29%), Balochistan (29%) and KP (48%) rated the performance of their Government as 'Good' or 'Very Good'. The majority rated the performance as 'Bad' or 'Very Bad'.

October 2015

Figure 150: Comparative position with respect to Zardari (All Over Pakistan)

Question: If you compare Nawaz Sharif's Government with Asif Ali Zardari and his Government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's Government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 158: Comparative Position with Respect to Zardari (All Over Pakistan)

Question: If you compare Nawaz Sharif's Government with Asif Ali Zardari and his Government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's Government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

Figures are row percentages

	Better	Worse	No Difference	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	59%	14%	23%	3%	0%
Gender-wise					
Male	61%	15%	22%	2%	0%
Female	57%	14%	25%	4%	0%
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	59%	15%	23%	4%	0%
Middle (30-50)	59%	14%	24%	3%	0%
Old (50+)	61%	12%	23%	4%	0%
Household Income-wise					
Low	55%	16%	25%	4%	0%
Medium	62%	13%	22%	2%	0%
High	70%	10%	18%	2%	0%
Don't Know	61%	9%	24%	6%	0%
No Answer	41%	24%	30%	2%	3%
Province-wise					
Balochistan	44%	19%	32%	5%	1%
KP	61%	14%	22%	3%	0%
Punjab	70%	7%	19%	3%	0%
Sindh	39%	30%	30%	2%	0%
Location-wise					
Urban	66%	11%	20%	2%	0%
Rural	56%	16%	25%	4%	0%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	79%	5%	14%	2%	0%
PTI	50%	15%	33%	2%	0%
PPP	27%	39%	32%	3%	0%
All others	49%	16%	30%	5%	1%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 151: Comparative position with Respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)

Question: If you compare Nawaz Sharif's Government with Pervez Musharraf and his Government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's Government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 159: Comparative Position with Respect to Musharraf (All Over Pakistan)

Question: If you compare Nawaz Sharif's Government with Pervez Musharraf and his Government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's Government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

Figures are row percentages

	Better	Worse	No Difference	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	50%	28%	16%	5%	1%
Gender-wise					
Male	53%	30%	12%	4%	0%
Female	47%	25%	21%	6%	1%
Respondent Age-wise					
Young (Under 30)	50%	27%	17%	5%	1%
Middle (30-50)	50%	28%	16%	6%	0%
Old (50+)	54%	27%	15%	4%	1%
Household Income-wise					
Low	46%	30%	18%	6%	0%
Medium	54%	25%	16%	4%	0%
High	59%	26%	13%	3%	0%
Don't Know	56%	17%	15%	10%	1%
No Answer	32%	43%	16%	5%	3%
Province-wise					
Balochistan	44%	23%	24%	8%	1%
KP	52%	25%	20%	2%	0%
Punjab	56%	24%	14%	5%	1%
Sindh	39%	41%	15%	6%	0%
Location-wise					
Urban	54%	27%	14%	4%	0%
Rural	48%	28%	17%	6%	1%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise					
PML-N	65%	20%	12%	3%	0%
PTI	41%	34%	22%	3%	0%
PPP	26%	46%	21%	7%	1%
All others	44%	29%	18%	8%	1%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 152: Future Possibility- Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improvement of Economy)



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 160: Future Possibility- Improving the Economy (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improvement of Economy)

Figures are row percentages

Improving the Economy						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	19%	37%	23%	9%	12%	0%
Gender-wise						
Male	20%	41%	22%	9%	8%	0%
Female	19%	32%	24%	8%	16%	0%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	20%	41%	21%	9%	9%	0%
Middle (30-50)	18%	37%	24%	8%	12%	0%
Old (50+)	22%	29%	22%	9%	17%	0%
Household Income-wise						
Low	19%	36%	22%	10%	13%	0%
Medium	21%	36%	25%	9%	9%	0%
High	22%	40%	22%	9%	8%	0%
Don't Know	17%	31%	25%	7%	20%	0%
No Answer	7%	49%	24%	3%	16%	1%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	19%	39%	23%	9%	11%	0%
KP	37%	37%	13%	4%	9%	0%
Punjab	18%	38%	23%	8%	14%	0%
Sindh	13%	33%	29%	14%	10%	0%
Location-wise						
Urban	21%	37%	26%	9%	7%	0%
Rural	19%	36%	22%	9%	14%	0%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21%	39%	22%	5%	13%	0%
PTI	21%	33%	26%	12%	8%	0%
PPP	9%	37%	27%	13%	14%	0%
All others	21%	36%	22%	11%	11%	0%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 153: Future Possibility- Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improvement of Peace and Stability)



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 161: Future Possibility- Improving the Security Situation (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improvement of Peace and Stability)

Figures are row percentages

Improving the Security Situation						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	18%	43%	21%	13%	5%	0%
Gender-wise						
Male	20%	46%	19%	11%	4%	0%
Female	16%	40%	23%	14%	6%	1%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	20%	43%	21%	14%	3%	0%
Middle (30-50)	18%	43%	23%	12%	5%	1%
Old (50+)	17%	43%	18%	12%	9%	0%
Household Income-wise						
Low	16%	43%	22%	13%	5%	1%
Medium	18%	47%	20%	11%	4%	0%
High	23%	42%	20%	12%	3%	1%
Don't Know	20%	32%	21%	13%	15%	0%
No Answer	6%	45%	24%	19%	6%	0%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	14%	36%	24%	24%	2%	1%
KP	30%	47%	10%	9%	5%	0%
Punjab	17%	44%	22%	12%	6%	0%
Sindh	16%	41%	26%	13%	3%	1%
Location-wise						
Urban	20%	44%	23%	10%	2%	1%
Rural	17%	42%	21%	14%	6%	0%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21%	45%	20%	8%	6%	0%
PTI	16%	45%	20%	15%	3%	0%
PPP	10%	44%	25%	15%	5%	1%
All others	18%	40%	21%	17%	4%	1%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 154: Future Possibility- Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)

Question: **In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Controlling Terrorism)**



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 162: Future Possibility- Controlling Terrorism (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Controlling Terrorism)

Figures are row percentages

Controlling Terrorism						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	18%	36%	24%	17%	5%	0%
Gender-wise						
Male	19%	39%	22%	16%	4%	0%
Female	16%	32%	27%	19%	6%	0%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	19%	36%	24%	18%	3%	0%
Middle (30-50)	17%	36%	25%	17%	5%	0%
Old (50+)	17%	35%	23%	17%	8%	0%
Household Income-wise						
Low	15%	35%	26%	19%	5%	0%
Medium	18%	37%	26%	15%	3%	0%
High	24%	37%	23%	15%	2%	0%
Don't Know	17%	34%	19%	17%	13%	0%
No Answer	10%	40%	20%	24%	7%	0%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	12%	32%	17%	36%	3%	0%
KP	26%	35%	20%	14%	5%	0%
Punjab	18%	35%	27%	15%	6%	0%
Sindh	15%	39%	24%	18%	3%	1%
Location-wise						
Urban	20%	38%	25%	14%	3%	0%
Rural	16%	35%	24%	19%	6%	0%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	21%	36%	26%	12%	5%	0%
PTI	11%	36%	26%	22%	5%	0%
PPP	11%	39%	24%	22%	4%	1%
All others	17%	35%	23%	21%	5%	0%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 155: Future Possibility- Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Decreasing Unemployment)



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 163: Future Possibility- Decreasing Unemployment (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Decreasing Unemployment)

Figures are row percentages

Decreasing Unemployment						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9%	23%	30%	34%	5%	0%
Gender-wise						
Male	7%	25%	32%	32%	4%	0%
Female	10%	20%	28%	35%	6%	0%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10%	24%	31%	31%	4%	0%
Middle (30-50)	8%	22%	30%	36%	4%	0%
Old (50+)	8%	24%	28%	33%	7%	1%
Household Income-wise						
Low	8%	20%	29%	37%	5%	0%
Medium	9%	26%	31%	31%	3%	0%
High	10%	26%	30%	32%	3%	0%
Don't Know	10%	16%	32%	31%	11%	0%
No Answer	7%	30%	25%	32%	5%	2%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	1%	19%	29%	50%	2%	0%
KP	18%	23%	23%	32%	4%	0%
Punjab	10%	26%	30%	29%	5%	0%
Sindh	4%	17%	34%	41%	4%	1%
Location-wise						
Urban	8%	22%	36%	31%	3%	1%
Rural	9%	23%	27%	35%	6%	0%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11%	28%	30%	26%	5%	0%
PTI	8%	18%	31%	39%	4%	0%
PPP	3%	19%	33%	40%	5%	1%
All others	8%	19%	28%	41%	4%	1%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 156: Future Possibility- Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Poverty Reduction)



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 164: Future Possibility- Alleviating Poverty (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Poverty Reduction)

Figures are row percentages

Alleviating Poverty						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8%	21%	29%	36%	5%	1%
Gender-wise						
Male	7%	23%	30%	36%	4%	1%
Female	10%	19%	28%	37%	6%	1%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	9%	21%	31%	35%	4%	1%
Middle (30-50)	7%	21%	29%	38%	5%	0%
Old (50+)	9%	21%	29%	34%	7%	0%
Household Income-wise						
Low	8%	18%	29%	40%	6%	1%
Medium	9%	23%	30%	34%	4%	1%
High	9%	24%	30%	33%	3%	1%
Don't Know	8%	17%	33%	31%	11%	0%
No Answer	5%	29%	25%	34%	6%	1%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	1%	14%	27%	53%	4%	0%
KP	18%	21%	19%	37%	5%	1%
Punjab	9%	24%	31%	31%	5%	0%
Sindh	4%	15%	33%	42%	5%	1%
Location-wise						
Urban	7%	20%	35%	34%	3%	1%
Rural	8%	21%	26%	38%	6%	1%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	11%	26%	30%	28%	5%	0%
PTI	7%	16%	31%	41%	4%	1%
PPP	2%	18%	29%	44%	6%	1%
All others	8%	16%	28%	43%	5%	0%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating \approx 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. 1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 157: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with USA (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improving Relations with the United States of America)



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 165: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with USA(All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improving Relations with the United States of America)

Figures are row percentages

Improving Relations with America						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	12%	26%	18%	12%	30%	2%
Gender-wise						
Male	14%	32%	20%	14%	19%	2%
Female	9%	20%	17%	10%	42%	3%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	15%	27%	18%	11%	28%	2%
Middle (30-50)	10%	27%	19%	12%	30%	3%
Old (50+)	10%	21%	18%	15%	34%	1%
Household Income-wise						
Low	10%	25%	18%	12%	34%	2%
Medium	11%	31%	18%	12%	26%	3%
High	12%	29%	22%	13%	24%	1%
Don't Know	17%	14%	19%	18%	30%	2%
No Answer	14%	19%	10%	6%	42%	8%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	12%	42%	20%	12%	13%	0%
KP	26%	25%	11%	7%	30%	2%
Punjab	11%	24%	17%	13%	34%	2%
Sindh	5%	26%	25%	12%	27%	4%
Location-wise						
Urban	9%	26%	23%	17%	24%	1%
Rural	13%	26%	16%	10%	33%	3%

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	13%	26%	16%	11%	32%	1%
PTI	13%	27%	16%	16%	25%	2%
PPP	8%	29%	20%	7%	31%	6%
All others	11%	25%	21%	14%	28%	2%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. 1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 158: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improving Relations with India)



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 166: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with India (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improving Relations with India)

Figures are row percentages

Improving Relations with India						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	22%	20%	18%	29%	4%
Gender-wise						
Male	8%	25%	22%	24%	17%	3%
Female	7%	19%	17%	12%	41%	4%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	10%	21%	19%	19%	27%	4%
Middle (30-50)	7%	23%	20%	17%	30%	3%
Old (50+)	5%	19%	19%	21%	31%	5%
Household Income-wise						
Low	7%	21%	19%	16%	32%	4%
Medium	9%	25%	19%	19%	23%	5%
High	7%	24%	25%	19%	23%	2%
Don't Know	4%	14%	17%	27%	37%	1%
No Answer	3%	19%	12%	18%	43%	6%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	4%	25%	26%	24%	19%	1%
KP	21%	24%	13%	10%	29%	3%
Punjab	6%	20%	19%	20%	32%	3%
Sindh	3%	25%	24%	15%	26%	7%
Location-wise						
Urban	6%	23%	23%	22%	22%	4%
Rural	8%	22%	18%	16%	33%	4%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	7%	23%	19%	19%	30%	3%
PTI	12%	20%	18%	22%	26%	3%
PPP	4%	29%	20%	9%	32%	8%
All others	8%	19%	22%	19%	28%	3%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 159: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with Afghanistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improving Relations with Afghanistan)



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 167: Future Possibility- Improving Relations with Afghanistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems? (Improving Relations with Afghanistan)

Figures are row percentages

Improving Relations with Afghanistan						
	A Lot of Chance	Somewhat chance	Very little chance	No chance at all	Don't Know	No Answer
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	11%	25%	17%	12%	31%	4%
Gender-wise						
Male	14%	31%	18%	16%	18%	5%
Female	8%	19%	16%	8%	45%	4%
Respondent Age-wise						
Young (Under 30)	13%	26%	16%	12%	29%	4%
Middle (30-50)	11%	25%	17%	12%	32%	4%
Old (50+)	9%	22%	18%	13%	33%	6%
Household Income-wise						
Low	11%	19%	17%	12%	35%	6%
Medium	11%	31%	16%	12%	26%	5%
High	11%	32%	19%	12%	24%	2%
Don't Know	10%	18%	16%	16%	37%	3%
No Answer	13%	27%	12%	6%	39%	4%
Province-wise						
Balochistan	14%	31%	15%	16%	19%	5%
KP	24%	24%	12%	11%	26%	4%
Punjab	10%	23%	15%	13%	35%	4%
Sindh	5%	28%	23%	10%	28%	6%
Location-wise						
Urban	9%	27%	19%	15%	25%	5%
Rural	12%	24%	16%	11%	34%	4%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Voting Intention-wise						
PML-N	12%	25%	15%	11%	33%	3%
PTI	11%	24%	15%	19%	27%	5%
PPP	7%	25%	21%	7%	35%	6%
All others	11%	25%	17%	13%	28%	6%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. 1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 160: Trust in Institutions – National Accountability Bureau (NAB) (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, little, or not at all. (National Accountability Bureau – NAB)



Approval Rating 2015 = 30%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 168: Trust in Institutions – National Accountability Bureau (NAB) (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, less, or not at all. (National Accountability Bureau – NAB)

Figures are row percentages

NAB							
	A lot	Somewhat	Less	Not at all	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8%	22%	20%	11%	36%	3%	30%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	27%	23%	13%	24%	3%	38%
Female	6%	16%	17%	9%	47%	4%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	10%	23%	21%	12%	33%	2%	33%
Middle (30-50)	8%	22%	21%	11%	35%	4%	30%
Old (50+)	8%	19%	16%	12%	43%	3%	27%
Household Income-wise							
Low	9%	17%	19%	12%	39%	4%	26%
Medium	10%	28%	21%	11%	28%	3%	38%
High	11%	26%	25%	10%	28%	1%	37%
Don't Know	1%	15%	17%	9%	55%	3%	16%
No Answer	2%	22%	18%	9%	46%	3%	24%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	7%	18%	28%	20%	24%	3%	25%
KP	26%	26%	16%	6%	24%	3%	52%
Punjab	6%	19%	17%	10%	44%	3%	25%
Sindh	6%	26%	26%	13%	25%	4%	32%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	24%	28%	10%	27%	3%	32%
Rural	9%	20%	17%	12%	40%	3%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	7%	22%	19%	9%	41%	3%	29%
PTI	11%	20%	24%	12%	31%	2%	31%
PPP	5%	25%	22%	10%	33%	5%	30%
All others	12%	21%	20%	14%	31%	3%	33%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 161: Trust in Institutions - Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, less, or not at all. (Government Officers)



Approval Rating 2015 = 32%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 169: Trust in Institutions - Government Officers (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, less, or not at all. (Government Officers)

Figures are row percentages

Government Officers							
	A lot	Somewhat	Less	Not at all	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	25%	30%	25%	13%	1%	32%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	25%	32%	29%	6%	1%	32%
Female	7%	24%	28%	20%	20%	2%	31%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	26%	28%	26%	11%	1%	33%
Middle (30-50)	7%	25%	31%	24%	12%	1%	32%
Old (50+)	7%	21%	29%	24%	17%	1%	28%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	26%	28%	24%	14%	1%	33%
Medium	9%	27%	30%	22%	12%	2%	36%
High	6%	25%	37%	24%	7%	1%	31%
Don't Know	3%	17%	27%	26%	27%	0%	20%
No Answer	4%	16%	27%	43%	8%	3%	20%

PILDAT
Report
Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	1%	25%	31%	39%	5%		26%
KP	19%	29%	22%	18%	11%	2%	48%
Punjab	6%	24%	30%	23%	17%	1%	30%
Sindh	6%	24%	36%	26%	7%	1%	30%
Location-wise							
Urban	8%	25%	36%	22%	8%	1%	32%
Rural	7%	24%	27%	26%	15%	1%	31%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	26%	30%	23%	15%	1%	31%
PTI	11%	25%	27%	25%	12%	1%	36%
PPP	5%	26%	29%	24%	14%	2%	31%
All others	9%	22%	31%	26%	10%	1%	31%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 162: Trust in Institutions – Armed Forces (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, less, or not at all. (Armed Forces)



Approval Rating 2015 = 75%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 170: Trust in Institutions – Armed Forces (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, less, or not at all.(Armed Forces)

Figures are row percentages

Armed Forces							
	A lot	Somewhat	Less	Not at all	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	46%	29%	8%	5%	10%	2%	75%
Gender-wise							
Male	56%	27%	7%	5%	4%	1%	83%
Female	36%	32%	9%	6%	15%	2%	68%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	49%	25%	9%	6%	9%	2%	74%
Middle (30-50)	45%	31%	9%	5%	9%	2%	76%
Old (50+)	46%	29%	7%	5%	13%	1%	75%
Household Income-wise							
Low	42%	28%	10%	7%	12%	2%	70%
Medium	47%	29%	9%	6%	8%	1%	76%
High	54%	31%	6%	3%	5%	1%	85%
Don't Know	54%	28%	3%	1%	15%	0%	82%
No Answer	47%	29%	9%	4%	5%	6%	76%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	37%	31%	14%	12%	5%	2%	68%
KP	58%	19%	6%	6%	10%	2%	77%
Punjab	48%	30%	6%	3%	12%	1%	78%
Sindh	39%	32%	12%	9%	6%	2%	71%
Location-wise							
Urban	46%	32%	10%	4%	7%	1%	78%
Rural	46%	28%	8%	6%	11%	2%	74%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	51%	29%	6%	3%	10%	1%	80%
PTI	47%	31%	7%	6%	9%	1%	78%
PPP	27%	38%	11%	10%	11%	3%	65%
All others	47%	24%	11%	7%	9%	2%	71%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. 1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 165: Trust in Institutions - Police (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, less, or not at all. (Police)



Approval Rating 2015 = 32%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 171: Trust in Institutions - Police (All Over Pakistan)

Question: I will ask about some institutions. Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions? Answer separately for each institution whether you trust the institution's performance a lot, somewhat, less, or not at all. (Police)

Figures are row percentages

Police							
	A lot	Somewhat	Less	Not at all	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	11%	21%	25%	32%	10%	2%	32%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	21%	24%	39%	4%	1%	32%
Female	10%	21%	27%	25%	15%	2%	31%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	12%	20%	26%	34%	8%	1%	32%
Middle (30-50)	11%	23%	26%	30%	9%	2%	34%
Old (50+)	9%	17%	23%	36%	14%	1%	26%
Household Income-wise							
Low	11%	24%	25%	28%	10%	2%	35%
Medium	14%	20%	26%	31%	9%	2%	34%
High	9%	21%	27%	39%	5%	1%	30%
Don't Know	6%	10%	20%	40%	24%	2%	16%
No Answer	6%	16%	23%	49%	5%	2%	22%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	12%	17%	39%	29%	2%	1%	29%
KP	35%	22%	13%	19%	9%	2%	57%
Punjab	7%	16%	25%	38%	14%	2%	23%
Sindh	7%	32%	28%	28%	3%	2%	39%
Location-wise							
Urban	9%	20%	29%	35%	5%	2%	29%
Rural	12%	21%	23%	31%	12%	2%	33%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	7%	18%	24%	37%	12%	1%	25%
PTI	18%	23%	20%	30%	9%	1%	41%
PPP	9%	28%	24%	27%	9%	3%	37%
All others	14%	20%	28%	28%	7%	2%	34%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. 1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 166: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion on Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's overall performance over the past two years?



Approval Rating 2015 = 73%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 172: Performance of Prime Minister (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion on Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's overall performance over the past two years?

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	21%	52%	18%	6%	3%	1%	73%
Gender-wise							
Male	21%	51%	19%	8%	2%	0%	72%
Female	22%	53%	17%	5%	4%	1%	75%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	20%	51%	20%	7%	3%	1%	71%
Middle (30-50)	21%	52%	18%	6%	3%	0%	73%
Old (50+)	23%	52%	15%	6%	4%	1%	75%
Household Income-wise							
Low	20%	50%	19%	7%	4%	1%	70%
Medium	21%	57%	14%	6%	2%	0%	78%
High	28%	52%	15%	4%	1%	0%	80%
Don't Know	21%	49%	21%	4%	3%	1%	70%
No Answer	7%	49%	36%	5%	1%	1%	56%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	17%	41%	26%	12%	2%	2%	58%
KP	35%	48%	10%	5%	2%	0%	83%
Punjab	21%	55%	15%	5%	3%	1%	76%
Sindh	16%	50%	25%	6%	3%	0%	66%
Location-wise							
Urban	26%	56%	12%	4%	1%	0%	82%
Rural	19%	49%	21%	7%	4%	1%	68%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	27%	56%	12%	3%	2%	0%	83%
PTI	22%	45%	21%	11%	1%	0%	67%
PPP	8%	45%	32%	8%	5%	1%	53%
All others	19%	50%	19%	8%	3%	1%	69%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 165: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

**Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years?
Chief Minister of Punjab**



Approval Rating 2015 = 78%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 173: Performance of Chief Minister of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years? Chief Minister of Punjab

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	33%	45%	10%	4%	9%	0%	78%
Gender-wise							
Male	33%	46%	10%	5%	6%	0%	79%
Female	31%	44%	9%	3%	12%	1%	75%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	32%	46%	10%	4%	8%	0%	78%
Middle (30-50)	33%	45%	9%	4%	8%	0%	78%
Old (50+)	32%	42%	9%	4%	12%	0%	74%
Household Income-wise							
Low	29%	45%	10%	5%	11%	0%	74%
Medium	33%	49%	8%	3%	7%	0%	82%
High	38%	44%	9%	4%	6%	0%	82%
Don't Know	40%	42%	9%	1%	7%	0%	82%
No Answer	32%	34%	15%	2%	17%	0%	66%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	35%	45%	11%	1%	7%	0%	80%
KP	43%	36%	5%	4%	12%	0%	79%
Punjab	31%	49%	10%	4%	5%	0%	80%
Sindh	28%	40%	10%	6%	16%	0%	68%
Location-wise							
Urban	38%	46%	6%	4%	6%	0%	84%
Rural	30%	44%	11%	4%	11%	0%	74%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	39%	48%	7%	2%	5%	0%	87%
PTI	27%	45%	14%	6%	8%	0%	72%
PPP	18%	46%	12%	7%	15%	1%	64%
All others	31%	41%	11%	4%	12%	0%	72%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 166: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years?
Chief Minister of Sindh



Approval Rating 2015 = 29%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 174: Performance of Chief Minister of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years? Chief Minister of Sindh

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	24%	25%	18%	27%	1%	29%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	24%	31%	24%	17%	1%	28%
Female	5%	24%	19%	12%	37%	2%	29%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	25%	27%	17%	25%	1%	30%
Middle (30-50)	5%	25%	24%	18%	27%	1%	30%
Old (50+)	3%	21%	26%	19%	31%	2%	24%
Household Income-wise							
Low	5%	25%	24%	18%	28%	1%	30%
Medium	5%	29%	22%	18%	25%	2%	34%
High	5%	21%	34%	19%	20%	1%	26%
Don't Know	1%	13%	23%	18%	45%	1%	14%
No Answer	5%	21%	28%	16%	29%	1%	26%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	7%	41%	28%	14%	10%	0%	48%
KP	12%	36%	19%	9%	23%	2%	48%
Punjab	2%	13%	21%	22%	40%	2%	15%
Sindh	5%	38%	37%	16%	4%	0%	43%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	23%	35%	19%	19%	1%	27%
Rural	5%	25%	21%	17%	31%	2%	30%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	16%	22%	22%	38%	1%	18%
PTI	7%	23%	30%	18%	22%	1%	30%
PPP	8%	40%	28%	12%	12%	1%	48%
All others	6%	29%	28%	16%	19%	2%	35%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 167: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years? Chief Minister of KP



Approval Rating 2015 = 38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 175: Performance of Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years? Chief Minister of KP

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	10%	28%	17%	12%	33%	2%	38%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	31%	19%	13%	26%	1%	42%
Female	8%	25%	14%	10%	41%	2%	33%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	29%	18%	11%	28%	2%	40%
Middle (30-50)	9%	29%	16%	12%	34%	2%	38%
Old (50+)	9%	23%	17%	11%	40%	2%	32%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	27%	15%	9%	38%	2%	37%
Medium	9%	33%	16%	12%	28%	2%	42%
High	9%	26%	19%	17%	27%	1%	37%
Don't Know	5%	12%	18%	14%	49%	1%	17%
No Answer	14%	41%	18%	7%	14%	6%	55%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	9%	42%	25%	8%	14%	2%	51%
KP	34%	50%	6%	3%	7%	0%	84%
Punjab	6%	21%	15%	14%	43%	2%	27%
Sindh	6%	27%	23%	12%	30%	3%	33%
Location-wise							
Urban	7%	26%	19%	19%	29%	1%	33%
Rural	11%	28%	15%	8%	35%	2%	39%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	22%	16%	15%	41%	1%	27%
PTI	27%	38%	12%	5%	18%	0%	65%
PPP	7%	25%	24%	7%	34%	3%	32%
All others	11%	34%	16%	11%	27%	2%	45%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 168: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years?



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 176: Performance of Chief Minister of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

**Question: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years?
Chief Minister of Balochistan**

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7= (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	21%	16%	13%	40%	3%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	27%	18%	15%	32%	2%	34%
Female	6%	16%	14%	11%	49%	3%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	23%	19%	13%	36%	2%	30%
Middle (30-50)	7%	21%	16%	13%	41%	3%	28%
Old (50+)	5%	20%	14%	12%	47%	3%	25%
Household Income-wise							
Low	8%	19%	15%	13%	43%	2%	27%
Medium	6%	28%	16%	14%	34%	3%	34%
High	6%	24%	22%	13%	34%	2%	30%
Don't Know	3%	7%	16%	15%	56%	3%	10%
No Answer	6%	21%	9%	14%	45%	6%	27%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	15%	37%	24%	22%	1%	1%	52%
KP	18%	31%	13%	8%	27%	3%	49%
Punjab	4%	15%	14%	14%	51%	2%	19%
Sindh	4%	26%	21%	10%	36%	4%	30%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	20%	21%	15%	39%	2%	24%
Rural	8%	22%	14%	12%	41%	3%	30%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	4%	17%	14%	13%	50%	2%	21%
PTI	9%	23%	19%	14%	34%	2%	32%
PPP	6%	26%	18%	10%	36%	5%	32%
All others	10%	24%	18%	14%	32%	3%	34%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. 1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 169: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of Punjab



Approval Rating 2015 = 76%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 177: Performance of Provincial Government of Punjab (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of Punjab

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	32%	44%	10%	4%	9%	0%	76%
Gender-wise							
Male	34%	44%	10%	5%	6%	1%	78%
Female	31%	44%	9%	3%	13%	0%	75%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	32%	44%	11%	4%	8%	0%	76%
Middle (30-50)	32%	46%	9%	4%	9%	1%	78%
Old (50+)	33%	40%	10%	4%	12%	0%	73%
Household Income-wise							
Low	28%	44%	11%	6%	11%	1%	72%
Medium	34%	47%	9%	4%	7%	0%	81%
High	38%	44%	8%	2%	6%	1%	82%
Don't Know	42%	41%	9%	2%	6%	0%	83%
No Answer	28%	37%	11%	6%	18%	0%	65%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	33%	41%	14%	5%	6%	1%	74%
KP	48%	31%	5%	3%	13%	0%	79%
Punjab	30%	50%	10%	4%	6%	0%	80%
Sindh	28%	40%	10%	6%	16%	1%	68%
Location-wise							
Urban	37%	47%	7%	3%	6%	0%	84%
Rural	30%	43%	11%	5%	11%	1%	73%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	37%	48%	8%	2%	5%	0%	85%
PTI	32%	41%	11%	9%	8%	0%	73%
PPP	18%	43%	16%	7%	15%	1%	61%
All others	32%	41%	10%	5%	12%	1%	73%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 170: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of Sindh



Approval Rating 2015 = 30%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 178: Performance of Provincial Government of Sindh (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of Sindh

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7= (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	25%	24%	19%	26%	1%	30%
Gender-wise							
Male	4%	25%	29%	24%	17%	1%	29%
Female	6%	26%	18%	14%	35%	2%	32%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	5%	26%	25%	18%	25%	1%	31%
Middle (30-50)	5%	26%	22%	20%	26%	1%	31%
Old (50+)	4%	21%	25%	19%	30%	2%	25%
Household Income-wise							
Low	4%	25%	22%	19%	29%	1%	29%
Medium	6%	31%	21%	21%	21%	2%	37%
High	6%	23%	32%	19%	19%	1%	29%
Don't Know	2%	14%	21%	19%	43%	1%	16%
No Answer	9%	23%	22%	18%	28%	1%	32%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	5%	39%	28%	16%	10%	2%	44%
KP	12%	37%	20%	9%	19%	4%	49%
Punjab	2%	15%	19%	24%	39%	1%	17%
Sindh	7%	37%	34%	16%	5%	1%	44%
Location-wise							
Urban	4%	26%	31%	20%	18%	1%	30%
Rural	5%	24%	20%	19%	30%	2%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	2%	18%	21%	22%	37%	1%	20%
PTI	6%	28%	25%	19%	21%	2%	34%
PPP	10%	37%	26%	12%	13%	2%	47%
All others	6%	29%	26%	19%	19%	2%	35%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 171: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of Balochistan



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 179: Performance of Provincial Government of Balochistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of Balochistan

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	5%	23%	18%	13%	38%	3%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	6%	28%	20%	15%	30%	2%	34%
Female	5%	17%	17%	11%	47%	3%	22%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	7%	24%	21%	12%	34%	2%	31%
Middle (30-50)	5%	23%	16%	14%	39%	3%	28%
Old (50+)	3%	20%	19%	11%	43%	3%	23%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	21%	16%	13%	41%	3%	27%
Medium	6%	28%	18%	14%	33%	2%	34%
High	6%	26%	23%	12%	31%	2%	32%
Don't Know	2%	8%	19%	15%	52%	3%	10%
No Answer	6%	18%	20%	10%	43%	4%	24%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	15%	39%	24%	19%	3%	0%	54%
KP	15%	35%	14%	8%	25%	4%	50%
Punjab	3%	16%	16%	15%	48%	2%	19%
Sindh	4%	26%	23%	10%	35%	3%	30%
Location-wise							
Urban	3%	23%	22%	14%	37%	2%	26%
Rural	7%	23%	16%	13%	39%	3%	30%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	3%	18%	17%	14%	46%	2%	21%
PTI	6%	29%	17%	12%	33%	4%	35%
PPP	5%	26%	21%	10%	35%	4%	31%
All others	8%	26%	19%	14%	30%	2%	34%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 172: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of KP



Approval Rating 2015 = 38%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 180: Performance of Provincial Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (All Over Pakistan)

Question: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013? Government of KP

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Ratings
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	10%	28%	16%	12%	32%	2%	38%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	32%	18%	14%	24%	1%	43%
Female	8%	23%	15%	11%	40%	3%	31%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	29%	18%	11%	28%	2%	40%
Middle (30-50)	9%	29%	16%	12%	32%	2%	38%
Old (50+)	9%	24%	16%	13%	37%	2%	33%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	26%	14%	10%	37%	3%	36%
Medium	9%	35%	17%	13%	26%	2%	44%
High	10%	27%	21%	15%	27%	1%	37%
Don't Know	5%	12%	19%	15%	48%	2%	17%
No Answer	14%	39%	13%	16%	15%	4%	53%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province-wise							
Balochistan	9%	44%	24%	7%	12%	4%	53%
KP	34%	51%	5%	3%	7%	0%	85%
Punjab	6%	21%	15%	15%	42%	2%	27%
Sindh	5%	27%	24%	12%	30%	2%	32%
Location-wise							
Urban	6%	26%	21%	16%	30%	2%	32%
Rural	11%	29%	14%	10%	33%	3%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	5%	23%	15%	15%	40%	2%	28%
PTI	28%	39%	10%	3%	18%	1%	67%
PPP	6%	28%	21%	12%	31%	3%	34%
All others	12%	32%	18%	10%	26%	2%	44%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101).

October 2015

Figure 173: Biggest Current Issues in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what is the biggest current issue of Pakistan?



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 181: Biggest Current Issues in Pakistan (All Over Pakistan)

Question: In your opinion, what is the biggest current issue of Pakistan?

Figures are row percentages

	Energy Crises: Electricity & CNG's load shedding	Inflation	Unemployment	Security issues: Peace, law & order and Terrorism	Corruption/Corrupt leaders	Poverty	Drinking water/Lack of filtration plants	Educational Crisis	Others problems	Don't Know	No response
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	25%	10%	19%	15%	3%	12%	6%	4%	3%	3%	2%
Gender											
Male	25%	8%	19%	17%	4%	10%	4%	5%	4%	3%	2%
Female	25%	11%	19%	12%	3%	14%	8%	3%	2%	3%	1%
Respondent Age											
Young (Under 30)	24%	8%	19%	16%	3%	12%	6%	6%	2%	3%	2%
Middle (30-50)	25%	9%	20%	14%	3%	12%	6%	4%	3%	3%	2%
Old (50+)	25%	13%	16%	14%	4%	12%	5%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Household Income											
Low	24%	10%	20%	13%	2%	15%	5%	4%	2%	3%	2%
Medium	24%	7%	19%	15%	6%	11%	7%	5%	3%	1%	2%
High	23%	11%	18%	19%	4%	8%	5%	5%	5%	2%	1%
Don't Know	31%	19%	14%	10%	3%	10%	6%	1%	3%	1%	2%
No Answer	36%	1%	13%	22%		6%	6%	2%		10%	4%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Province											
Punjab	5%	2%	20%	24%	4%	19%	11%	8%	3%	2%	3%
Sindh	21%	6%	18%	26%	3%	9%	3%	5%	3%	5%	1%
KP	30%	11%	18%	13%	3%	13%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%
Balochistan	22%	10%	21%	8%	4%	9%	12%	5%	4%	3%	2%
Location											
Rural	25%	9%	18%	12%	4%	13%	9%	4%	4%	2%	2%
Urban	25%	10%	19%	16%	3%	12%	4%	4%	2%	3%	2%
Voting Intention											
PML-N	29%	11%	18%	16%	3%	13%	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%
PTI	20%	12%	20%	15%	3%	12%	7%	7%	2%	1%	1%
PPP	26%	12%	25%	8%	2%	12%	4%	4%	2%	4%	1%
All others	21%	6%	17%	15%	4%	12%	9%	4%	4%	4%	4%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)


Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating ~45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3065; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: July 16 – August 6, 2014. Estimated error margin: ±3-5% at 95% confidence level.

October 2015

Figure 174: Performance of the Ministry of Commerce (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Commerce



Approval Rating 2015 = 47%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 182: Performance of the Ministry of Commerce (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Commerce

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	11%	36%	18%	6%	28%	1%	47%
Gender-wise							
Male	10%	40%	22%	7%	20%	1%	50%
Female	11%	32%	14%	5%	36%	1%	43%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	13%	39%	18%	6%	24%	1%	52%
Middle (30-50)	10%	37%	19%	7%	27%	1%	47%
Old (50+)	9%	33%	17%	5%	34%	1%	42%
Household Income-wise							
Low	11%	32%	19%	7%	31%	1%	43%
Medium	11%	48%	15%	6%	21%	1%	59%
High	12%	42%	20%	5%	21%	1%	54%
Don't Know	7%	24%	20%	7%	41%	1%	31%
No Answer	3%	21%	27%	6%	40%	3%	24%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	9%	34%	29%	6%	21%	2%	43%
KP	28%	37%	11%	3%	21%	0%	65%
Punjab	8%	38%	15%	5%	33%	1%	46%
Sindh	7%	33%	27%	11%	20%	2%	40%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	10%	40%	21%	6%	21%	1%	50%
Rural	11%	35%	17%	6%	31%	1%	46%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	43%	13%	4%	30%	1%	53%
PTI	15%	27%	22%	8%	28%	1%	42%
PPP	6%	34%	22%	10%	26%	3%	40%
All others	13%	32%	22%	8%	25%	1%	45%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 175: Performance of the Ministry of Defence (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Defence



Approval Rating 2015 = 55%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 183: Performance of the Ministry of Defence (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Defence

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	14%	41%	15%	6%	24%	1%	55%
Gender-wise							
Male	14%	46%	17%	6%	16%	1%	60%
Female	13%	36%	12%	6%	32%	2%	49%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	16%	40%	14%	7%	22%	1%	56%
Middle (30-50)	13%	42%	15%	6%	23%	1%	55%
Old (50+)	13%	39%	15%	4%	28%	1%	52%
Household Income-wise							
Low	13%	37%	16%	8%	25%	1%	50%
Medium	15%	46%	13%	3%	21%	1%	61%
High	16%	51%	13%	3%	17%	1%	67%
Don't Know	12%	26%	19%	6%	36%	1%	38%
No Answer	10%	32%	17%	6%	34%	2%	42%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	12%	36%	22%	11%	17%	1%	48%
KP	26%	43%	9%	4%	18%	0%	69%
Punjab	10%	42%	12%	5%	31%	1%	52%
Sindh	15%	40%	22%	8%	13%	2%	55%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	16%	46%	15%	4%	18%	1%	62%
Rural	13%	39%	15%	7%	26%	2%	52%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	13%	44%	11%	3%	28%	1%	57%
PTI	12%	42%	17%	8%	21%	1%	54%
PPP	9%	38%	22%	9%	20%	3%	47%
All others	17%	38%	16%	7%	20%	1%	55%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 176: Performance of the Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatization (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatization



Approval Rating 2015 = 33%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 184: Performance of the Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatization (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatization

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	26%	23%	9%	33%	2%	33%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	31%	26%	10%	24%	2%	38%
Female	6%	22%	19%	8%	42%	3%	28%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	9%	28%	23%	11%	28%	3%	37%
Middle (30-50)	6%	27%	23%	9%	33%	2%	33%
Old (50+)	4%	22%	24%	7%	41%	2%	26%
Household Income-wise							
Low	6%	21%	24%	11%	35%	2%	27%
Medium	7%	34%	20%	8%	28%	2%	41%
High	9%	34%	25%	7%	24%	2%	43%
Don't Know	4%	13%	23%	7%	50%	2%	17%
No Answer	3%	19%	22%	12%	41%	3%	22%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	9%	28%	28%	12%	17%	7%	37%
KP	21%	33%	18%	8%	21%	0%	54%
Punjab	5%	25%	19%	7%	43%	2%	30%
Sindh	3%	25%	34%	15%	22%	2%	28%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	6%	29%	28%	10%	26%	2%	35%
Rural	7%	25%	21%	9%	36%	3%	32%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	29%	18%	6%	39%	2%	35%
PTI	9%	22%	26%	13%	29%	1%	31%
PPP	4%	22%	30%	11%	30%	3%	26%
All others	8%	26%	25%	12%	27%	3%	32%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 177: Performance of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Foreign Affairs



Approval Rating 2015 = 37%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 185: Performance of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	30%	18%	9%	35%	3%	37%
Gender-wise							
Male	7%	35%	22%	10%	24%	3%	42%
Female	6%	24%	15%	7%	46%	3%	30%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	31%	18%	9%	32%	2%	39%
Middle (30-50)	6%	30%	19%	9%	34%	3%	36%
Old (50+)	5%	27%	17%	8%	40%	3%	32%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	24%	19%	10%	37%	4%	31%
Medium	6%	39%	16%	7%	29%	3%	45%
High	8%	42%	18%	6%	25%	2%	50%
Don't Know	4%	14%	17%	8%	56%	1%	18%
No Answer	3%	17%	22%	8%	49%	1%	20%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	7%	35%	21%	11%	24%	3%	42%
KP	19%	32%	18%	8%	22%	0%	51%
Punjab	5%	29%	13%	7%	43%	3%	34%
Sindh	4%	28%	29%	12%	25%	3%	32%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	5%	34%	22%	9%	28%	1%	39%
Rural	7%	28%	16%	8%	38%	3%	35%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	6%	33%	13%	5%	40%	3%	39%
PTI	8%	27%	22%	11%	30%	2%	35%
PPP	4%	23%	26%	12%	32%	3%	27%
All others	8%	29%	21%	11%	29%	2%	37%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 178: Performance of the Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National



Approval Rating 2015 = 41%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 186: Performance of the Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9%	32%	18%	7%	31%	3%	41%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	33%	20%	9%	26%	2%	42%
Female	9%	31%	15%	6%	36%	3%	40%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	13%	34%	18%	6%	26%	3%	47%
Middle (30-50)	8%	33%	18%	7%	31%	3%	41%
Old (50+)	7%	28%	16%	7%	39%	2%	35%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	30%	16%	8%	33%	3%	40%
Medium	10%	37%	18%	5%	27%	3%	47%
High	9%	41%	20%	6%	22%	1%	50%
Don't Know	7%	18%	16%	9%	47%	3%	25%
No Answer	3%	17%	17%	13%	44%	6%	20%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	14%	34%	19%	10%	21%	1%	48%
KP	20%	34%	17%	8%	21%	0%	54%
Punjab	7%	34%	12%	6%	38%	3%	41%
Sindh	7%	27%	30%	9%	23%	5%	34%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	8%	38%	23%	6%	21%	3%	46%
Rural	10%	29%	15%	7%	36%	3%	39%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	9%	37%	12%	4%	36%	3%	46%
PTI	9%	30%	21%	11%	28%	2%	39%
PPP	7%	26%	24%	8%	32%	4%	33%
All others	11%	30%	21%	10%	26%	2%	41%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 179: Performance of the Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication



Approval Rating 2015 = 45%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 187: Performance of the Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	11%	34%	14%	7%	32%	3%	45%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	32%	18%	9%	29%	2%	43%
Female	11%	36%	11%	5%	35%	3%	47%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	14%	35%	14%	8%	27%	2%	49%
Middle (30-50)	10%	35%	14%	7%	33%	2%	45%
Old (50+)	8%	30%	17%	5%	38%	3%	38%
Household Income-wise							
Low	11%	32%	13%	7%	34%	4%	43%
Medium	11%	40%	15%	7%	26%	2%	51%
High	14%	40%	17%	5%	23%	1%	54%
Don't Know	5%	23%	13%	6%	51%	3%	28%
No Answer	6%	16%	14%	13%	49%	2%	22%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	8%	34%	25%	11%	21%	1%	42%
KP	24%	31%	12%	8%	25%	1%	55%
Punjab	10%	35%	9%	5%	38%	3%	45%
Sindh	6%	33%	24%	10%	25%	3%	39%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	13%	43%	15%	6%	22%	2%	56%
Rural	10%	30%	14%	7%	36%	3%	40%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	11%	38%	9%	4%	35%	3%	49%
PTI	12%	32%	18%	8%	30%	1%	44%
PPP	6%	25%	18%	10%	34%	6%	31%
All others	11%	33%	19%	9%	27%	1%	44%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 180: Performance of the Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control



Approval Rating 2015 = 28%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 188: Performance of the Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	7%	21%	21%	17%	31%	3%	28%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	26%	19%	17%	27%	2%	35%
Female	6%	17%	22%	16%	37%	3%	23%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	8%	22%	22%	18%	27%	3%	30%
Middle (30-50)	7%	21%	21%	17%	31%	2%	28%
Old (50+)	8%	21%	17%	14%	39%	2%	29%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	20%	22%	17%	32%	3%	27%
Medium	8%	23%	21%	21%	26%	2%	31%
High	11%	27%	21%	15%	25%	1%	38%
Don't Know	5%	13%	19%	9%	52%	2%	18%
No Answer	3%	16%	16%	10%	49%	6%	19%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	5%	24%	29%	24%	16%	1%	29%
KP	21%	23%	18%	13%	25%	1%	44%
Punjab	6%	21%	16%	14%	40%	3%	27%
Sindh	4%	21%	30%	21%	21%	4%	25%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	7%	22%	24%	20%	24%	3%	29%
Rural	8%	21%	19%	15%	35%	2%	29%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	8%	23%	16%	13%	38%	2%	31%
PTI	9%	22%	22%	19%	27%	2%	31%
PPP	5%	18%	26%	17%	30%	5%	23%
All others	8%	21%	25%	20%	25%	2%	29%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 181: Performance of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 189: Performance of the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	9%	31%	20%	15%	23%	2%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	31%	23%	19%	18%	1%	40%
Female	9%	31%	17%	12%	29%	2%	40%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	34%	20%	15%	20%	1%	45%
Middle (30-50)	8%	29%	21%	16%	23%	2%	37%
Old (50+)	9%	30%	17%	14%	29%	2%	39%
Household Income-wise							
Low	9%	28%	21%	16%	25%	2%	37%
Medium	10%	36%	20%	17%	17%	1%	46%
High	11%	36%	23%	11%	17%	1%	47%
Don't Know	7%	30%	10%	15%	38%	1%	37%
No Answer	6%	14%	14%	18%	47%	2%	20%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	6%	36%	23%	21%	13%	1%	42%
KP	20%	30%	20%	9%	21%	1%	50%
Punjab	8%	29%	18%	15%	29%	2%	37%
Sindh	6%	35%	25%	17%	15%	2%	41%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	10%	36%	22%	15%	15%	2%	46%
Rural	9%	28%	19%	15%	27%	2%	37%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	10%	31%	17%	15%	27%	1%	41%
PTI	10%	28%	25%	12%	23%	1%	38%
PPP	4%	31%	20%	18%	24%	4%	35%
All others	9%	32%	23%	15%	19%	1%	41%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 182: Performance of the Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms



Approval Rating 2015 = 40%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 190: Performance of the Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	8%	32%	16%	11%	31%	2%	40%
Gender-wise							
Male	9%	32%	19%	13%	25%	2%	41%
Female	8%	32%	13%	9%	36%	3%	40%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	35%	14%	11%	27%	2%	46%
Middle (30-50)	7%	31%	17%	12%	31%	2%	38%
Old (50+)	8%	29%	17%	9%	36%	2%	37%
Household Income-wise							
Low	7%	29%	15%	14%	32%	3%	36%
Medium	9%	37%	16%	11%	26%	1%	46%
High	12%	38%	16%	9%	23%	2%	50%
Don't Know	7%	24%	17%	4%	46%	2%	31%
No Answer	5%	21%	14%	10%	45%	4%	26%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	6%	24%	31%	16%	20%	3%	30%
KP	18%	30%	15%	9%	27%	1%	48%
Punjab	8%	34%	9%	9%	38%	2%	42%
Sindh	5%	31%	27%	15%	19%	3%	36%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	8%	38%	18%	10%	24%	1%	46%
Rural	8%	29%	15%	12%	34%	3%	37%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	9%	37%	9%	8%	35%	2%	46%
PTI	11%	30%	17%	13%	28%	1%	41%
PPP	6%	27%	21%	16%	26%	4%	33%
All others	8%	27%	22%	13%	28%	2%	35%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, constituting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 183: Performance of the Ministry of Railways (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Railways



Approval Rating 2015 = 43%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 191: Performance of the Ministry of Railways (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Railways

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	13%	30%	17%	16%	22%	2%	43%
Gender-wise							
Male	17%	28%	20%	20%	15%	1%	45%
Female	9%	33%	15%	12%	29%	3%	42%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	15%	30%	18%	16%	19%	2%	45%
Middle (30-50)	12%	30%	18%	16%	22%	2%	42%
Old (50+)	13%	31%	15%	13%	27%	2%	44%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	28%	19%	17%	24%	2%	38%
Medium	14%	34%	15%	20%	16%	1%	48%
High	19%	36%	17%	13%	14%	1%	55%
Don't Know	15%	28%	11%	8%	36%	3%	43%
No Answer	8%	17%	17%	11%	42%	5%	25%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	9%	33%	23%	22%	11%	2%	42%
KP	22%	23%	19%	13%	22%	1%	45%
Punjab	14%	31%	14%	15%	25%	2%	45%
Sindh	9%	31%	21%	16%	20%	4%	40%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	17%	35%	18%	15%	13%	2%	52%
Rural	11%	28%	17%	16%	26%	2%	39%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	17%	30%	14%	16%	23%	2%	47%
PTI	12%	31%	21%	11%	25%	2%	43%
PPP	6%	31%	17%	14%	28%	4%	37%
All others	11%	31%	20%	19%	18%	2%	42%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 184: Performance of the Ministry of Water and Power (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Water and Power



Approval Rating 2015 = 27%

Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 192: Performance of the Ministry of Water and Power (Federal)

Question: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries? Ministry of Water and Power

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Respondents from All Over Pakistan	10%	17%	20%	36%	16%	1%	27%
Gender-wise							
Male	11%	17%	22%	37%	11%	1%	28%
Female	9%	16%	18%	35%	21%	1%	25%
Respondent Age-wise							
Young (Under 30)	11%	17%	21%	38%	12%	1%	28%
Middle (30-50)	10%	17%	20%	35%	16%	1%	27%
Old (50+)	10%	16%	20%	33%	19%	1%	26%
Household Income-wise							
Low	10%	15%	19%	38%	17%	1%	25%
Medium	9%	20%	22%	39%	11%	1%	29%
High	16%	21%	23%	30%	9%	2%	37%
Don't Know	7%	11%	22%	23%	37%	1%	18%
No Answer	6%	16%	11%	45%	20%	3%	22%
Province-wise							
Balochistan	2%	10%	26%	54%	5%	3%	12%
KP	21%	13%	11%	43%	11%	1%	34%
Punjab	12%	18%	19%	29%	22%	1%	30%
Sindh	4%	17%	27%	42%	8%	2%	21%

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Location-wise							
Urban	13%	15%	25%	37%	10%	1%	28%
Rural	9%	17%	18%	35%	18%	2%	26%
Voting Intention-wise							
PML-N	13%	19%	18%	30%	20%	1%	32%
PTI	12%	8%	24%	43%	13%	1%	20%
PPP	7%	24%	21%	32%	14%	3%	31%
All others	8%	13%	22%	43%	12%	2%	21%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 185: Performance of the Provincial Department for Education (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Education



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 193: Performance of the Provincial Department for Education (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Education

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	14%	47%	21%	17%	1%	0%	61%
KP	53%	33%	5%	2%	7%	0%	86%
Punjab	22%	56%	10%	3%	9%	0%	78%
Sindh	9%	32%	34%	20%	5%	1%	41%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 186: Performance of the Provincial Department for Health (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Health



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 194: Performance of the Provincial Department for Health (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Health

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	10%	39%	27%	23%	1%	0%	49%
KP	43%	42%	7%	2%	6%	1%	85%
Punjab	17%	50%	17%	6%	11%	1%	67%
Sindh	5%	33%	36%	19%	6%	2%	38%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 187: Performance of the Provincial Department for Interior (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Interior



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

October 2015

Table 195: Performance of the Provincial Department for Interior (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Interior

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	35%	27%	11%	22%	1%	39%
KP	22%	35%	13%	4%	25%	1%	57%
Punjab	7%	26%	12%	8%	46%	1%	33%
Sindh	3%	30%	37%	13%	15%	2%	33%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 188: Performance of the Provincial Department for Finance (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Finance



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 196: Performance of the Provincial Department for Finance (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Finance

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	6%	25%	27%	21%	17%	5%	31%
KP	29%	27%	14%	5%	24%	1%	56%
Punjab	5%	26%	16%	8%	43%	2%	31%
Sindh	2%	22%	34%	17%	23%	3%	24%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 189: Performance of the Provincial Department for Agriculture (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Agriculture



Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 197: Performance of the Provincial Department for Agriculture (Provincial)

Question: **The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Agriculture**

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	18%	36%	31%	8%	2%	22%
KP	21%	32%	22%	5%	20%	1%	53%
Punjab	6%	34%	19%	12%	27%	3%	40%
Sindh	2%	23%	32%	20%	19%	3%	25%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 190: Performance of the Provincial Department for Water and Irrigation (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Water and Irrigation



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 198: Performance of the Provincial Department for Water and Irrigation (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Water and Irrigation

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	1%	15%	37%	38%	8%	1%	16%
KP	22%	31%	16%	13%	17%	1%	53%
Punjab	6%	33%	18%	12%	29%	2%	39%
Sindh	4%	18%	32%	25%	17%	4%	22%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 191: Performance of the Provincial Department for Telecommunication (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Telecommunication



Source: Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 199: Performance of the Provincial Department for Telecommunication (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Telecommunication

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	3%	25%	34%	21%	16%	3%	28%
KP	21%	29%	16%	14%	19%	1%	50%
Punjab	6%	29%	9%	7%	46%	3%	35%
Sindh	3%	22%	28%	18%	26%	3%	25%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 192: Performance of the Provincial Department for Local Governments (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Local Governments



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 200: Performance of the Provincial Department for Local Governments (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Local Governments

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	4%	30%	32%	15%	18%	1%	34%
KP	24%	32%	12%	7%	24%	2%	56%
Punjab	5%	21%	11%	9%	51%	4%	26%
Sindh	2%	21%	32%	24%	18%	3%	23%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 193: Performance of the Provincial Department for Mines & Minerals (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Mines & Minerals



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 201: Performance of the Provincial Department for Mines & Minerals (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Mines & Minerals

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	2%	17%	29%	20%	29%	4%	19%
KP	19%	17%	16%	7%	40%	2%	36%
Punjab	4%	12%	7%	7%	66%	3%	16%
Sindh	2%	16%	22%	15%	38%	6%	18%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 194: Performance of the Provincial Department for Forestry (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Forestry



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 202: Performance of the Provincial Department for Forestry (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Forestry

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	6%	16%	27%	25%	22%	5%	22%
KP	24%	31%	9%	9%	26%	1%	55%
Punjab	5%	19%	13%	8%	52%	3%	24%
Sindh	2%	16%	23%	14%	41%	4%	18%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; **Middle:** Between 30 and 50 years of age; **Old:** Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). **Medium:** Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). **High:** More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.


Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

October 2015

Figure 195: Performance of the Provincial Department for Planning and Development (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Planning and Development



Source: *Quality of Democracy and Governance Survey, 2015 (PILDAT)*

Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level

October 2015

Table 203: Performance of the Provincial Department for Planning and Development (Provincial)

Question: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments? Department for Forestry

Figures are row percentages

	Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know	No Answer	Approval Rating 2015
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 = (1+2)
Province-wise							
Balochistan	10%	19%	30%	17%	19%	6%	29%
KP	24%	24%	17%	7%	27%	2%	48%
Punjab	17%	22%	8%	6%	44%	4%	39%
Sindh	6%	23%	24%	19%	24%	4%	29%

Notes:

Age: Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

Income codes: Low: Up to Rs. 14000 (In 2014 this represented the lowest quintile). Medium: Rs. 14000 to 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 2nd and 3rd Quintile). High: More than 20,000 (In 2014 this represented the 4th and 5th Quintiles)

Location: Urban is defined as location defined as town or city in the census, the total in Pakistan being 470 constituting 35% of the population; Rural is defined as a population living in villages as in the latest census, approximating 45,000, consisting 65% of the population.

Methodology: Sample Size: 3231; Coverage: National/Rural and Urban/All four provinces; Mode: Face to face; Survey dates: June 02 - June 12, 2015. Estimated error margin: +2-5% at 95% confidence level.

1. PERCENTAGES have been rounded off to nearest whole number. As a result the total can sometimes be at slight variation from 100 (for example 99 or 101) Approval Rating has been calculated by adding positive options: 'Very Good'+ 'Good'

APPENDICES

October 2015

Appendix A: Research Methodology

Sampling Methodology

1. Sample Size

The sample size for this survey is 3231 Men and Women

2. Coverage & Exclusion

The sample covered a total of 56 Districts, in all Four Provinces of Pakistan namely: Punjab , Sindh , KP and Balochistan.

About 5% of Population living in FATA , GB and AJK was excluded from the sample universe for this survey due to security reasons as well as inability to undertake Random Sampling methodology.

3. Sampling Stages

a. Definitions

Sampling Frames

The Sampling Frame for this survey was based on electoral lists updated for 2013 General Election. The Polling Station breakdown and list of polling stations was obtained from the Election Commission of Pakistan's (ECP) website. The electoral lists for sampled polling stations were requested and obtained from the Election Commission office in Islamabad in hard copy form after the required payment was made.

PSU

The Primary Sampling Unit for this survey was the polling station

SSU

The Secondary Sampling Unit for this survey was the respondent (Male or Female) randomly chosen from the electoral rolls.

b. Sampling Stages

Stage 1: Pakistan's National Assembly is composed of 272 National Assembly constituencies. These 272 seats are spread across 4 Provinces and FATA according to population share. Systematic Random Sampling (SRS) method was used to select 55 constituencies from the total list of 272.

3 Constituencies falling in FATA region were dropped due to security concerns in FATA.

Stage 2: From the selected 52 constituencies (after dropping the 3 FATA constituencies) all the Polling Stations in these 52 constituencies were listed. 4 Polling Stations were selected through Simple Random Sampling (SRS) from each constituency.

October 2015

In other words a total of 208 Polling Stations were selected falling within these 52 constituencies.

Since size of electoral constituencies in Pakistan is not uniform and can range from 100,000 voters to 600,000 voters, this method of Systematic Random Sampling gave a slight over weight to smaller constituencies at the cost of the bigger constituencies. This can be considered as one of the limitations of the current sampling exercise.

Stage 3: The Polling Stations were categorised into Rural and Urban polling stations with available data from the Pakistan Bureau of Statistics.

Stage 4: For each of the 3 provinces (Punjab, Sindh and KP) 16 interviews were planned in each of the PSUs, i.e., Polling Stations. In Balochistan, 24 interviews were planned in each of the PSUs, i.e., Polling Stations.

Stage 5: Once the Polling Station and sample to be covered per Polling Station was available, two separate procedures were employed, depending on the availability of electoral rolls or voter lists.

PSUs where electoral rolls were available: 12 Male names and 12 female names were drawn from the electoral rolls using Systematic Random Sampling approach. In Balochistan, where interviews per PSU were 24, 18 male and 18 female names were generated from the electoral rolls of the relevant PSU, i.e., Polling Station.

Using the address and name available, the required respondent was searched by interviewers. In case of lack of availability of selected person, the person was replaced with another one from the 24 (or 36 in Balochistan) available with the interviewer. In other words, substitution of respondent was handled by drawing an oversample from the list.

A total of 24 Constituencies (out of 52) followed this method. In other words, around half of the sample survey responses followed this method.

PSUs where electoral rolls were either unavailable or were found to be incomplete.

In these PSUs, a Random Walk Approach with a random starting point was used. The interviewer first listed all the available landmarks around the Polling Station. He/She used a random number generator to select one random location and then used the 'Right Hand Rule' to select first household. Within the household(HH) selected, KISH Grid was used to select a random member of the HH who was the interviewed.

In case of lack of availability of the selected person the entire HH was replaced instead of interviewing from the same HH.

A total of 28 Constituencies (out of 52) followed this method.

4. Achieved Sample Profile

The profile of the achieved sample is as follows

October 2015

Sample Profile

		PILDAT Survey Data		Nationally Representative Sample / Census Comparison
		Count	%	%
BASE:All		3,231	100	100
Area	Urban	1,032	32	33
	Rural	2,199	68	67
Name of province	Balochistan	285	9	5
	KP	414	13	14
	Punjab	1,778	55	58
	Sindh	754	23	24
Gender of the respondent	Male	1,652	51	52
	Female	1,579	49	48
Age Groups	Young (Under 30)	909	28	42
	Middle (30-50)	1,747	54	40
	Old (50+)	561	17	19
Education	No education/Unqualified	1,002	31	25
	Religious education/Cleric	214	7	<1
	Primary	418	13	26
	Matric (High school)	844	26	20
	F.A / FSc (Intermediate)	355	11	7
	Graduate	315	10	3
	Professional education (Doctor/Engineer)	14	0	<1
	Diploma	-	-	3
	No formal education but can read and write	40	1	15
	No answer	12	0	1

Source : 1998 Census information PSLM Data 2013-14

October 2015

Age

Young: Under 30 years of age; Middle: Between 30 and 50 years of age; Old: Above 51 years of age

The achieved sample is very close to National Demographics as measured in the Census of 1998 and Demographic Profile emerging from the Pakistan Social and Living Measurement Survey (PSLM 2013-14).

However, there are a few deviations in the Provinces (Balochistan has been given a larger representation, almost twice its size whereas Punjab's share has been reduced by about 4 percentage points). Similarly, the profile of this sample is slightly less youthful than the National Demographics and also slightly more educated than the National demographics.

5. Weighting of Data

Since the deviation in Sample Profile and National Demographics is deemed by PILDAT as not very large, data has not been weighted and the figures reported in this study are based on the Sample Profile shared above.

Disclaimer: The Urban / Rural division of Polling stations has been done based on the available information. In some areas Polling Stations have mixed populations, i.e., Urban as well as Rural Households. An estimation has been used to put these Mixed Polling Stations into Urban or Rural if greater population lived in either Urban or Rural. In other words, a small minority of Households in Rural areas may have been categorised into Urban respondents and vice versa. It is assumed as one of the crucial limitations of the current sampling approach.

6. Error Margin Calculation

The Error Margin for this survey is calculated to range between $\pm 2.5\%$ at 95% Confidence Interval.

Due to larger cluster size at the PSU level (16 and 24), the design effect for the study would be slightly larger than 10 interviews per PSU, which is generally the norm for polling in Pakistan.

7. Field Work Force

A mixed team of male and female interviewers was used.

The total team comprised 74 individuals

The basic qualification for interviewers was Graduation, mostly unemployed people were selected but about 50% were experienced in interviewing.

8. Field Dates

The field work for this survey was conducted during June 02 – June 12, 2015.

9. Other Protocols followed

Briefing/Training of interviewers:

Briefings were organised for the interviewers on the designed questionnaire in two days training sessions at each Provincial Capital (Lahore, Karachi, Peshawar and Quetta). The interviewers were instructed on measures such as:

October 2015

1. Following sampling/quota instructions properly
2. Ethical code of conduct towards interviewers
3. Following skipping Instructions and other interview instructions on the Questionnaire
4. Maintaining neutrality in asking questions

Mock Calls

After the briefings were completed, all the field workers made mock calls under the direct supervision of an executive or a fieldwork supervisor. This ensured that the field workers properly understood the instructions and could handle various interviewing problems during the interview (in the field). After completion of mock calls, a debriefing session was arranged in which the problems faced by the interviewers during the mock interviews were discussed and solutions suggested and recorded.

Initial Checking/ Intake Edit

After the training, the interviewers went into the field for actual interviewing. A team comprising one male interviewer and one female interviewer worked under the supervision of a Field Supervisor. Field Supervisors observed at least 3 interviews of each interviewer for quality assurance. In case the work of an interviewer was not acceptable, he/she was disengaged from the survey. Field Supervisor was responsible to check the filled-in questionnaires before passing them to data coding/entry department. The problems or missing information identified during field edit were explained to the relevant interviewer and he/she was instructed to rectify them either through telephone and/or revisit the respondent for clarification and re-asking the missing questions.

Supervision & Back Checking

Interviewers were supervised on the job and the work done by them was back checked. At least 20% back checking was done on work completed by each interviewer to ensure authenticity of data.

Data Entry and Verification

Once the questionnaires were received from the field, those were checked and the responses of open-ended questions were coded with the help of a code list.

After the coding of open-ended responses, data was entered into computers. PILDAT used the SPSS data entry programme that has flexibility to implement validity checks and skipping rules, etc. At least 20 % of its entered data was rechecked for the quality assurance.

After completion of data entries, all data was cleaned by using a data-cleaning program written in SPSS which is customized for every project according to the survey/questionnaire needs. Data was thoroughly checked for an omission, displacements and other errors resulting in inconsistencies with the coding scheme.

Acknowledgements

While PILDAT team was responsible for drafting of Research Design, implementation of field work, data entry at PILDAT office and Data Processing, the Gallup Pakistan (affiliate of Gallup International in Pakistan) provided assistance in elaboration of Research Design and assessing the Research Design with respect to International Standards of Opinion Polling as followed by ESOMAR and WAPOR (International governing bodies for survey firms).

October 2015

Appendix B: Questionnaire

Q12a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ امن و امان قائم رکھنے اور ان کے لیے موثر اقدامات کرنے کے حوالے سے (جیسے کہ پولیس فورس میں اضافہ اور اسے موثر بنانے کیلئے اقدامات کرنا) وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Law & Order

Q12a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Federal Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as raising and maintaining an effective police force) please tell us if the Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
9. No Answer

Q12b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ امن و امان قائم رکھنے اور ان کے لیے موثر اقدامات کرنے کے حوالے سے (جیسے کہ پولیس فورس میں اضافہ اور اسے موثر بنانے کیلئے اقدامات کرنا) صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 12b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the practical measures by the Provincial Government to maintain Peace, Stability and Order (such as maintaining and raising an effective police force) please tell us if the Provincial Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
9. No Answer

Q13a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ غربت کے خاتمے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Poverty Alleviation

Question 13a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the issue of alleviating poverty, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
9. No Answer

October 2015

Q14a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تعلیم کی صورتحال (مثلاً شرح خواندگی، بچوں کا اسکولوں میں اندراج اور مجموعی طور پر تعلیم کا معیار وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Education

Question 14a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (such as, literacy rate, children's enrolment and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q14b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تعلیم کی صورتحال (مثلاً شرح خواندگی، بچوں کا اسکولوں میں اندراج اور مجموعی طور پر تعلیم کا معیار وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 14b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement of the education scenario (for example, literacy rate, children's enrolment, and the overall improvement in the quality of education, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q15a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ صحت کی سہولیات (مثلاً مختلف امراض پر قابو پانا اور ان کا علاج، سستی دوائیوں کی فراہمی وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Health Care

Question 15a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q15b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ صحت کی سہولیات (مثلاً مختلف امراض پر قابو پانا اور ان کا علاج، سستی دوائیوں کی فراہمی وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 15b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of healthcare facilities (such as control of different diseases and their treatment, provision of inexpensive medicines, etc.), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q16a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تمام وزارتوں اور حکومتی اداروں کو شفاف بنانے (مثلاً تمام اداروں اور وزارتوں پر لازم ہوتا کہ وہ اپنے سالانہ منصوبوں، بجٹ اور کارکردگی رپورٹ کے حوالے سے عوام کو اپنی ویب سائٹ کے ذریعے آگاہ کریں) کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Transparency

Question 16a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q16b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ تمام وزارتوں اور حکومتی اداروں کو شفاف بنانے (مثلاً تمام اداروں اور وزارتوں پر لازم ہوتا کہ وہ اپنے سالانہ منصوبوں، بجٹ اور کارکردگی رپورٹ کے حوالے سے عوام کو اپنی ویب سائٹ کے ذریعے آگاہ کریں) کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 16b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvement of transparency and public access (such as making it mandatory for each Ministry and Government Institutions to inform the public through placing its Annual Development Plan, Budget and Performance Report on its website), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q17a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ملک بھر میں بجلی کی صورتحال (یعنی بجلی کی مناسب فراہمی، سستی بجلی، منوٹر تقسیم اور بلوں کی وصولی وغیرہ) بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Energy Production & Management

Question 17a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the improvements in the electricity situation in the country (such as adequate), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Question 17b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increasing the electricity production in the country, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q17b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ملک بھر میں بجلی کی پیداوار بڑھانے کے

حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Anti Corruption

Question 18a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding eradication or minimisation of corruption and improving the performance of related institutions such as NAB, Federal Ombudsman, etc., please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q18a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ کرپشن کے خاتمے یا اس میں کمی اور اس سے متعلق ادارے مثلاً

نیب، وفاقی محتسب وغیرہ کی کارکردگی کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 18b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the eradication or minimization of corruption, and improving the performance of related institutions such as the Provincial Department for Anti Corruption, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q18b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ کرپشن کے خاتمے یا اس میں کمی اور اس سے

متعلق ادارے مثلاً صوبائی محکمہ برائے انسداد رشوت ستانی کی کارکردگی کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Investment Friendliness

Question 19a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q19a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ملکی اور غیر ملکی سرمایہ کاری میں اضافے کے حوالے سے وفاقی

حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

October 2015

Q19b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ صوبے میں ملکی اور غیر ملکی سرمایہ کاری میں

اضافے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 19b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding increase in local and foreign investment in the province, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q20a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ٹیکس کی وصولیوں میں اضافے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت

کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Tax Collection

Question 20a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q20b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ٹیکس کی وصولیوں میں اضافے کے حوالے

صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 20b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding improvement in tax collection, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q21a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ یوٹیلٹی (مثلاً بجلی گیس وغیرہ) بلز کی وصولیوں کو یقینی بنانے کے

حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 21a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as electricity and gas bills), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q21b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ یوٹیلیٹی (مثلاً پانی سپورٹج وغیرہ) بلز کی

وصولیوں کو یقینی بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 21b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring collection of utility bills (such as water and sewerage bills), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q22a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بیروزگاری میں کمی لانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک

کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Management of Unemployment

Question 22a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q22b. موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بیروزگاری میں کمی لانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب

تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 22b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in unemployment, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q23a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ترقیاتی پراجیکٹس (کام) منوٹر بنانے (مثلاً کم لاگت، اعلیٰ معیار،

بروقت مکمل ہونے) کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Development Programmes

Question 23a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q23b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ترقیاتی پراجیکٹس (کام) منوثر بنانے (مثلاً کم لاگت، اعلیٰ معیار، بروقت مکمل ہونے) کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 23b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding the effectiveness of development projects (such as cost effectiveness, improving quality and timely execution) of development projects, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q24a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ حکومتی بیوروکریسی کو سیاسی عمل دخل اور اثر و رسوخ سے پاک رکھنے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Quality & Independence of Civil Service

Question 24a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q24b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ حکومتی بیوروکریسی کو سیاسی عمل دخل اور اثر و رسوخ سے پاک رکھنے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 24b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring that bureaucracy and its actions remain independent of political influences and encroachments, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q25a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ سرکاری بھرتیاں اور ترقیاں میرٹ پر کیے جانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Merit-Based Recruitment and Promotions

Question 25a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q25b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ سرکاری بھرتیاں اور ترقیاں میرٹ پر کیے جانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 25b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding merit-based recruitments and promotions of Government employees, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q26a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ پبلک پروکیورمنٹ (سرکاری خریداری جیسے کہ حکومت کی طرف سے اشیاء اور خدمات یا کسی بھی قسم کی تعمیر جس کے لئے سرکاری اخراجات کیے جا رہے ہوں) کو صاف اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Clean, Efficient and Economic Public Procurements

Question 26a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Federal Government, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q26b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ پبلک پروکیورمنٹ (سرکاری خریداری جیسے کہ حکومت کی طرف سے اشیاء اور خدمات یا کسی بھی قسم کی تعمیر جس کے لئے سرکاری اخراجات کیے جا رہے ہوں) کو صاف اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 26b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding ensuring transparency in public procurements by the Government (such as Government expenditure on any Government related goods, services or construction, etc.) by the Provincial Government, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

- Q27a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بہتر حکومت چلانے کیلئے ٹیکنالوجی کے مثبت استعمال اور سرکاری ملازمین کو نئی ٹیکنالوجی سے متعلق تربیت دینے کے حوالے سے اب تک کی وفاقی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟
1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Use of Technologies for Better Governance

Question 27a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

- Q27b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بہتر حکومت چلانے کیلئے ٹیکنالوجی کے مثبت استعمال اور سرکاری ملازمین کو نئی ٹیکنالوجی سے متعلق تربیت دینے کے حوالے سے اب تک کی صوبائی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟
1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 27b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years., Regarding effective use of technologies for better governance and to provide trainings to Government employees in the use of technologies, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

- Q28a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ زراعت سے متعلقہ اشیاء (مثلاً کھاد، کیڑے مار ادویات، بیج، ٹریکٹر اور دیگر زرعی مشینری وغیرہ) کی دستیابی اور فراہمی زیادہ موثر اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے اب تک کی وفاقی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟
1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Management of Supply of Agricultural Inputs

Question 28a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

- Q28b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ زراعت سے متعلقہ اشیاء (مثلاً کھاد، کیڑے مار ادویات، بیج، ٹریکٹر اور دیگر زرعی مشینری وغیرہ) کی دستیابی اور فراہمی زیادہ موثر اور شفاف بنانے کے حوالے سے اب تک کی صوبائی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟
1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 28b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding fair and efficient procurement and distribution of agricultural inputs (such as fertilizers, pesticides, tractors and other agricultural machinery), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q29a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ماحولیاتی آلودگی میں کمی (مثلاً ماحول اور پانی کو آلودگی سے پاک رکھنا) کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Environmental Sustainability

Question 29a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted), please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q29b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ ماحولیاتی آلودگی میں کمی (مثلاً ماحول اور پانی کو آلودگی سے پاک رکھنا) کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 29b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding reduction in environmental pollution (for instance keeping air and water from being polluted) please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q30a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ قدرتی آفات سے نمٹنے یا قدرتی آفات سے رونما ہونے والے اثرات پر قابو پانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Disaster Preparedness and Management

Question 30a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q30b. آپ کے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ قدرتی آفات سے نمٹنے یا قدرتی آفات سے رونما ہونے والے اثرات پر قابو پانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 30b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding managing natural disasters, or managing circumstances that arise as a consequence of natural disasters, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q31a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ بیماریوں کی روک تھام کے لیے ویکسینیشن خصوصاً انسداد پولیو مہم کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 31b: The current Provincial Government of your province has been in power for almost two years. Regarding vaccination to control the spread of diseases, especially the anti-polio drive, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q32a-a. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ملک کے وفاقی علاقوں (مثلاً اسلام آباد، چھانڈیوں کے علاقے اور فاٹا) میں بلدیاتی الیکشن اور مقامی حکومتوں کے قیام کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Devolution of Powers to the Lower Tiers-Establishment of Local Governments

Question 32a-a: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the performance of the Federal Government in establishing Local and Regional Government in Federal Areas (such as Islamabad, Cantonments and FATA) has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q32a-b. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ صوبے میں بلدیاتی الیکشن اور مقامی حکومتوں کے قیام کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 32a-b: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the performance of Provincial Government regarding conducting local body elections and establishment of Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q32b-a. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ صوبوں کے درمیان روپے پیسے کی تقسیم کو منصفانہ بنانے میں وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Devolution of Powers to Lower Tiers-Distribution of Resources to Provincial and Local Governments

Question 32b-a: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether Federal Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among the Provinces has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q32b-b. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ضلعی اور دیگر مقامی حکومتوں کے درمیان روپے پیسے کی تقسیم کو منصفانہ بنانے میں صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 32b-b: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance regarding fair distribution of financial resources among districts and various Local Governments has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q32c-a. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ اٹھارہویں ترمیم کے بعد صوبائی حکومتوں کو اہم فیصلے کرنے کی خود مختاری دینے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Devolution of Powers to Lower Tiers-Effective Devolution of Power

Question 32c-a: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the Federal Government's performance in making Provincial Governments autonomous after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q32c-b. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ اٹھارہویں ترمیم کے بعد صوبائی حکومتوں کو اہم فیصلے کرنے کی خود مختاری استعمال کرنے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 32c-b: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us whether the Provincial Government's performance in making use of the autonomy devolved to it after the enactment of 18th amendment has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q33a. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ عدالتی نظام (مثلاً سرکاری وکلاء کی بڑی تعداد کا تربیت یافتہ ہونا، تحقیقات کے لئے نئی ٹیکنالوجی کا استعمال، جن عدالتوں میں ججز کی دستیابی نہ ہوں وہاں ان کی تقرری کا فوری انتظام وغیرہ) کو موثر بنانے کے حوالے سے وفاقی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Access to Efficient Justice System

Question 33a: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q33b. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی موجودہ صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ عدالتی نظام (مثلاً سرکاری وکلاء کی بڑی تعداد کا تربیت یافتہ ہونا، تحقیقات کے لئے نئی ٹیکنالوجی کا استعمال، جن عدالتوں میں جج کی دستیابی نہ ہوں وہاں ان کی تقرری کا فوری انتظام وغیرہ) کو موثر بنانے کے حوالے سے صوبائی حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 33b: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Provincial Government of your province, please tell us that with regards to making the judicial system effective (such as induction and adequate training of sufficient state prosecutors, use of new technology for investigation purposes, prompt appointment of judges against vacant vacancies), has the Provincial Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q34. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ قومی دفاع اور سلامتی کی پالیسیوں کو موثر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

National Security

Question 34: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to effective execution of national defence and security policies, has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q35. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ خارجہ پالیسی کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Foreign Policy Management

Question 35: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us whether the Federal Government's performance regarding the foreign policy has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q36a. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ریگولیٹری اداروں (مثلاً پیٹرول، اوگرا، نیپرا، سٹیٹ بینک وغیرہ) کو آزاد اور موثر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Performance of Regulatory Bodies-Independence and Efficiency

Question 36a: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to making regulatory bodies independent and effective (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank, etc.) has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q36b. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ ریگولیٹری اداروں (مثلاً پیبیرا، اوگرا، نیپرا، سٹیٹ بینک وغیرہ) میں سربراہان اور دیگر ملازمین کی تقرریاں بروقت اور میرٹ پر یقینی بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Performance of Regulatory Bodies-Merit-Based Appointments

Question 36b: Keeping in view the two years performance of the current Federal Government, please tell us that with regards to ensuring timely appointment of Heads and various employees of regulatory bodies (such as PEMRA, OGRA, NEPRA, State Bank, etc.) based on merit, has the Federal Government's performance been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q37. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ آپ کے خیال میں گزشتہ دو سال میں حکومت مہنگائی پر قابو پانے میں کس حد تک کامیاب ہوئی ہے؟

1. کافی حد تک 2. تھوڑی بہت 3. بہت کم 4. بالکل نہیں 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Management of inflation

Question 37: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past two years, with regards to controlling inflation, please tell us if the performance of the Federal Government has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q38. برائے مہربانی وفاقی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ پانی کے وسائل کی ترقی اور انتظام کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Water Resources Development and Management

Question 38: In your view of the Federal Government's performance over the past two years, with regards to the improvement and management of water resources, please tell us if the Federal Government's performance has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q39. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ پبلک ٹرانسپورٹ (مثلاً بسیں وغیرہ) کے نظام کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Public Transport

Question 39: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement of public transport (such as busses, etc.), please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q40. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ پینے کے صاف پانی کی فراہمی کو یقینی بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Access to Safe Drinking Water Supply

Question 40: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to the provision of clean drinking water, please tell us whether the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q41. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ نکاسی کے نظام (مثلاً ٹالیوں / گندے پانی کی نکاسی اور کوڑا کرکٹ وغیرہ) کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Sanitation (Sewerage, Solid Waste Collection & Disposal)

Question 41: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the sanitation system (such as sewerage and solid waste collection), please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q43. برائے مہربانی اپنے صوبے کی صوبائی حکومت کی گزشتہ دو سال کی کارکردگی کے حوالے سے سوچتے ہوئے بتائیں کہ آبپاشی کے نظام کو بہتر بنانے کے حوالے سے حکومت کی اب تک کی کارکردگی بہت اچھی رہی، اچھی رہی، خراب رہی یا بہت خراب رہی؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Water Resources Development and Management

Question 43: In your opinion of the Provincial Government's performance during the past two years, with regards to improvement in the irrigation system, please tell us if the performance of the Provincial Government of your province has been very good, good, bad or very bad till now?

1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very Bad 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

1. Yes 2. No 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q51. اگر آپ نواز شریف کی حکومت کا آصف زرداری اور ان کی حکومت سے مقابلہ کریں تو آپ کی رائے میں نواز شریف کی کارکردگی مجموعی طور پر بہتر ہے، خراب ہے یا کوئی فرق نہیں۔

1. بہتر 2. خراب 3. کوئی فرق نہیں 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Comparative Position with respect to Zardari

Question 51: If you compare Nawaz Sharif's Government with Asif Ali Zardari and his Government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's Government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

1. Better 2. Worse 3. No Difference 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

Q52. اگر آپ نواز شریف کی حکومت کا پرویز مشرف اور ان کی حکومت سے مقابلہ کریں تو آپ کی رائے میں نواز شریف کی کارکردگی مجموعی طور پر بہتر ہے، خراب ہے یا کوئی فرق نہیں؟

1. بہتر 2. خراب 3. کوئی فرق نہیں 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Comparative Position with respect to Musharraf

Question 52: If you compare Nawaz Sharif's Government with Pervez Musharraf and his Government, do you think the performance of Nawaz Sharif's Government has been better, worse or there has been no difference?

1. Better 2. Worse 3. No Difference 8. Do Not Know
 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q53. آپ کے خیال میں اس بات کا کتنا امکان ہے کہ نواز شریف اپنے موجودہ دور حکومت میں درج ذیل مسائل کو حل کرنے میں اچھی کارکردگی دکھائیں گے۔

جواب نہیں دیا	معلوم نہیں	بالکل نہیں	بہت کم	تھوڑا بہت	بہت زیادہ امکان		
9	8	4	3	2	1	معیشت کو بہتر بنانے میں	a
9	8	4	3	2	1	امن و امان بہتر کرنے میں	b
9	8	4	3	2	1	دہشت گردی پر قابو پانے میں	c
9	8	4	3	2	1	بے روزگاری کم کرنے میں	d
9	8	4	3	2	1	غربت کم کرنے میں	e
9	8	4	3	2	1	امریکہ سے تعلقات بہتر بنانے میں	f
9	8	4	3	2	1	ہندوستان سے تعلقات بہتر بنانے میں	g
9	8	4	3	2	1	افغانستان سے تعلقات بہتر بنانے میں	h

Future Possibility

Question 53: In your opinion, what are the chances that Nawaz Sharif, during his current tenure, will be able to perform well in solving the following problems?

		A lot of chance	Somewhat chance	Little chance	No chance at all	Do not know	No answer
a	Improvement of Economy	1	2	3	4	8	9
b	Improvement of Peace and Stability	1	2	3	4	8	9
c	Controlling terrorism	1	2	3	4	8	9
d	Decreasing Unemployment	1	2	3	4	8	9
e	Poverty Reduction	1	2	3	4	8	9
F	Improving relations with the United States of America	1	2	3	4	8	9
g	Improving relations with India	1	2	3	4	8	9
h	Improving relations with Afghanistan	1	2	3	4	8	9

October 2015

Q57. میں کچھ اداروں کے نام لیتا/لیتی ہوں، برائے کرم بتائیے کہ ان اداروں کی کارکردگی پر آپ کو کتنا اعتبار ہے؟ ہر ادارے کے بارے میں الگ الگ بتائیے کہ اس کی کارکردگی پر بہت زیادہ اعتبار، کافی حد تک اعتبار، کم اعتبار یا بالکل اعتبار نہیں ہے؟ (ہر ایک کے لیے علیحدہ علیحدہ پوچھیں)

	بہت زیادہ	کافی حد تک	کم	بالکل نہیں	معلوم نہیں	جواب نہیں دیا
a. قومی اسمبلی	1	2	3	4	8	9
b. سیاسی جماعتیں	1	2	3	4	8	9
i. سرکاری افسران	1	2	3	4	8	9
j. مسلح افواج	1	2	3	4	8	9
k. پولیس	1	2	3	4	8	9

Trust in Institutions

Question 57: Please tell us to what extent do you trust the performance of these institutions?

Sr		A lot	Somewhat	Less	Not at all	Do not know	No Answer
a.	National Accountability Bureau (NAB)	1	2	3	4	8	9
b.	Government Officers	1	2	3	4	8	9
c.	Armed Forces	1	2	3	4	8	9
d.	Police	1	2	3	4	8	9

Q58. وزیر اعظم نواز شریف کی گزشتہ دو سال کی مجموعی کارکردگی کے بارے میں آپ کی کیا رائے ہے؟

1. بہت اچھی 2. اچھی 3. خراب 4. بہت خراب 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Performance of the Prime Minister

Question 58: What is your opinion of Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif's overall performance over the past two years?

1. Very good 2. Good 3. Bad 4. Very bad 8. Do not know 9. No Answer

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Q59. گزشتہ دو سال میں وزیر اعلیٰ پنجاب، وزیر اعلیٰ سندھ، وزیر اعلیٰ خیبر پختونخواہ اور وزیر اعلیٰ بلوچستان کی مجموعی کارکردگی کے بارے میں آپ کی کیا رائے ہے؟ (ترتیب بدلیں، ہر ایک کے لیے علیحدہ علیحدہ پوچھیں)

جواب نہیں دیا	معلوم نہیں	بہت خراب	خراب	اچھی	بہت اچھی		
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزیر اعلیٰ پنجاب	.a
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزیر اعلیٰ سندھ	.b
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزیر اعلیٰ خیبر پختونخواہ	.c
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزیر اعلیٰ بلوچستان	.d

Performance of the Chief Ministers

Question 59: What is your opinion about the overall performances of the Chief Minister of Punjab, Chief Minister of Sindh, Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Chief Minister of Balochistan for the past two years?

		Very good	Good	Bad	Very bad	Do not know	No Answer
.a	Chief Minister Balochistan	1	2	3	4	8	9
.b	Chief Minister Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	1	2	3	4	8	9
.c	Chief Minister Punjab	1	2	3	4	8	9
.d	Chief Minister Sindh	1	2	3	4	8	9

Public Opinion on Quality of Governance in Pakistan
Second Year of Federal and Provincial Governments: June 2014 – May 2015

October 2015

Q.60. انتخابات کے بعد منتخب کردہ صوبائی حکومتوں کی کارکردگی اب تک کیسی رہی ہے؟ (ترتیب بدلیں، ہر ایک کے لیے علیحدہ علیحدہ پوچھیں)

جواب نہیں دیا	معلوم نہیں	بہت خراب	خراب	اچھی	بہت اچھی		
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبہ پنجاب کی حکومت	.a
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبہ سندھ کی حکومت	.b
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبہ بلوچستان کی حکومت	.c
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبہ خیبر پختونخواہ کی حکومت	.d

Performance of the Provincial Governments

Question 60: What is your opinion about the performance of the Provincial Governments after the General Election 2013?

		Very good	Good	Bad	Very bad	Do not know	No Answer
.a	Government of Balochistan	1	2	4	5	8	9
.b	Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	1	2	4	5	8	9
.c	Government of Punjab	1	2	4	5	8	9
.d	Government of Sindh	1	2	4	5	8	9

Q.68. آپ کے خیال میں اس وقت پاکستان کا سب سے اہم مسئلہ کونسا ہے؟ (صرف ایک جواب دیں)

مسئلہ: 8. معلوم نہیں 9. جواب نہیں دیا

Question 68: In your opinion, what is the biggest current issue of Pakistan?

Issue: _____ 8. Do not know 9. No Answer

October 2015

Q79a. موجودہ وفاقی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ آپ کی رائے میں مندرجہ ذیل وفاقی وزارتوں کی دو سالہ کارکردگی اب تک کیسی رہی؟ (ترتیب بدلیں، ہر ایک کے لیے علیحدہ علیحدہ پوچھیں)

جواب نہیں دیا	معلوم نہیں	بہت خراب	خراب	اچھی	بہت اچھی		
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت تجارت	a
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت دفاع	b
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت خزانہ و اقتصادی امور	c
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت خارجہ	d
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت اطلاعات و نشریات و قومی ورثہ	e
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت انفارمیشن ٹیکنالوجی و ٹیلی مواصلات	f
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت داخلہ و انسداد منشیات	g
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت پیٹرولیم و قدرتی وسائل	h
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت منصوبہ بندی، ترقیات و اصلاحات	i
9	8	4	3	2	1	وزارت ریلوے	j
9	8	4	3	1	1	وزارت پانی و بجلی	k

Performance of the Federal Ministries

Question 79a: The current Federal Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Federal Ministries?

		Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Do not Know	No Answer
a.	Ministry of Commerce	1	2	3	4	8	9
b.	Ministry of Defence	1	2	3	4	8	9
c.	Ministry of Finance, Revenue, Economic Affairs, Statistics and Privatization	1	2	3	4	8	9
d.	Ministry of Foreign Affairs	1	2	3	4	8	9
e.	Ministry of Information, Broadcasting and National Heritage	1	2	3	4	8	9
f.	Ministry of Information Technology and Telecommunication	1	2	3	4	8	9
g.	Ministry of Interior and Narcotics Control	1	2	3	4	8	9
h.	Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Resources	1	2	3	4	8	9
i.	Ministry of Planning, Development and Reforms	1	2	3	4	8	9
j.	Ministry of Railways	1	2	3	4	8	9
k.	Ministry of Water and Power	1	2	3	4	8	9

Q79b. آپ کی صوبائی حکومت کو اقتدار میں آئے تقریباً دو سال کا عرصہ گزر گیا ہے۔ برائے مہربانی بتائیں کہ آپ کی رائے میں مندرجہ ذیل صوبائی محکموں کی دو سالہ کارکردگی اب تک کیسی رہی؟ (ترتیب بدلیں، ہر ایک کے لیے علیحدہ علیحدہ پوچھیں)

جواب نہیں دیا	معلوم نہیں	بہت خراب	خراب	اچھی	بہت اچھی		
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ تعلیم	a
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ صحت	b
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ داخلہ	c
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ خزانہ	d
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ زراعت	e
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ پانی و آبپاشی	f
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ مواصلات	g
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ مقامی حکومت	h
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ کان کنی	i
9	8	4	3	2	1	صوبائی محکمہ جنگلات	j
9	8	4	3	1	1	صوبائی محکمہ منصوبہ بندی و ترقیات	k

October 2015

Performance of Provincial Departments

Question 79b: The current Provincial Government has been in power for almost two years. Please tell us of your opinion regarding the two years performance of the following Provincial Departments?

		Very Good	Good	Bad	Very Bad	Do not Know	No Answer
a.	Provincial Department for Education	1	2	3	4	8	9
b.	Provincial Department for Health	1	2	3	4	8	9
c.	Provincial Department for Interior	1	2	3	4	8	9
d.	Provincial Department for Finance	1	2	3	4	8	9
e.	Provincial Department for Agriculture	1	2	3	4	8	9
f.	Provincial Department for Water and Irrigation	1	2	3	4	8	9
g.	Provincial Department for Telecommunication	1	2	3	4	8	9
h.	Provincial Department for Local Governments	1	2	3	4	8	9
i.	Provincial Department for Mines & Minerals	1	2	3	4	8	9
j.	Provincial Department for Forestry	1	2	3	4	8	9
k.	Provincial Department for Planning and Development	1	2	3	4	8	9

Questions 1-11, Question 58 and Questions 69-78 in the overall PILDAT Questionnaire used for Public Opinion Survey correspond to the section on Quality of Democracy and are contained in that report.



Islamabad Office: P. O. Box 278, F-8, Postal Code: 44220, Islamabad, Pakistan
Lahore Office: P. O. Box 11098, L.C.C.H.S, Postal Code: 54792, Lahore, Pakistan
E-mail: info@pildat.org | Website: www.pildat.org